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A comparative study of the crystallinity of polyetheretherketone by using density, DSC, XRD, and Raman spectroscopy techniques

M. Doumeng, L. Makhlouf, F. Berthet, O. Marsan, K. Delbé, J. Denape, F. Chabert

A comparative study of the crystallinity of Polyetheretherketone by using density, DSC, XRD, and Raman spectroscopy techniques.
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In this work, the microstructure of Polyetheretherketone is first analyzed with usual techniques such as density, Differential Scanning Calorimetry, X-ray Diffraction, and secondly, compared with Raman Spectroscopy. Assessing the degree of crystallinity of PEEK is challenging because of the different interpretation of the crystallinity according to each technique. The density measurement gives the highest most trusted absolute uncertainty for the degree of crystallinity, around 4%, compared to the other techniques. The Differential Scanning Calorimetry, usually used by the polymer community, overestimates up to 18% the degree of crystallinity due to a competitive phenomenon between crystallization and melting of PEEK over the same temperature range, and a fast crystallization. When analyzing the X-ray Diffraction data, the degree of crystallinity is underestimated up to 11% as a consequence of the broad amorphous halo. Lastly, our investigation proves that Raman microspectroscopy is appropriate to determine the local crystallinity on the sample surface and compares 18 indicators in the same study. The 1651 cm⁻¹ band shift has the highest correlation coefficient of 0.92 with the degree of crystallinity determined by density. This work attempts to correlate the results of degree of crystallinity of PEEK obtained by these four techniques in order to establish the best evaluation of this fundamental property for numerous applications.

1. Introduction

Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) is a high-performance thermoplastic widely used as a matrix in the growing thermoplastic composite industry. Launched in the ’80s by Imperial Chemical Industries, PEEK is still a promising material because of its inert response to chemical reagents and heat resistance, highly elastic modulus and durability in thermo-oxidative conditions. PEEK is synthesized from biphenyl (hydroquinone) and fluorinated aromatic compound in a polar aprotic solvent (diphenyl sulfone). The fluorinated derivatives are privileged for this synthesis for their better reactivity and higher electronegativity than chlorinated derivatives [1]. The chemical reaction is a nucleophilic substitution obtained by polycondensation between 200 °C and 400 °C. The resulting compound, PEEK, is a copolymer composed of ether and ketone groups, as seen in Fig. 1. The ether/ketone ratio units remain equal to two, even if the order of appearance of monomers in the macromolecular chain differs [1]. The molecular weight varies between 3500 and 50 000 g⁻¹ [2]. Short molecular chains have higher molecular mobility which favors the crystallization [3].

Dawson and Blundell [4] were the first ones to describe an orthorhombic crystalline unit cell of PEEK from X-ray data. The a- and b-axis in the radial direction and the c-axis in the lamellae thickness direction are determined with the position of stronger peaks corresponding to plan (110), (111), (200) and (211). The cell parameter c-axis coincides to 2/3 of the elementary pattern, as indicated by the crystal structure unit cell which is presented by Kumar et al. [5] and Jin et al. [6]. Two estimations are proposed for this value. Dawson et al. [4] and Hay et al. [7] described it by 2/3 repeat unit of about 1 nm. Ji et al. [8] and Wakelyn et al. [9] reported a c-axis with two repeat units, with the sum of two repeat units amounting to around 3 nm. The crystallographic plane (110) appears to be a preferential growth plane. Dawson and Blundell [4] and Hay et al. [7,10] measured the unit cell dimensions of a
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disoriented polymer, while Rueda et al. [11] used drawn material. All three denote different values for the unit cell dimensions, which are mentioned in Table 1.

Later, Hay et al. [10] noticed the same dimensions for oriented and disoriented PEEK specimens. The molecular orientation does not affect the cell parameters. The cell volume is $0.463 \pm 0.1 \text{nm}^3$. Based on four equivalent monomer units per unit cell, the crystalline density is calculated at $1.378 \pm 0.005 \text{ g}^{-3}$ and the amorphous density for quenched PEEK is measured as $1.262 \pm 0.001 \text{g}^{-3}$. The density increases linearly with the crystalline rate between these two values.

Wakelyn et al. [9] observed that the unit cell dimensions of PEEK decrease systematically with increasing crystallization temperature from amorphous specimens for annealing time of 1 h. When increasing the annealing temperature, there is a progressive change of diffractogram toward higher and narrower peaks. A closer look reveals a progressive change of the angular position of the major diffraction reflections toward higher angles, and an increase in crystalline perfection in the sense of a more tightly packed assembling of macromolecular chains. The a-, b-, c-axis for each temperature specimen were calculated using the orthorhombic relation and the appropriate (110), (113), and (213) data. The a-axis remains constant from 189 °C to 241 °C then regularly decreases with increasing annealing temperature. There is a general decrease in the b-axis with increasing temperature. The c-axis is not affected. The unit cell parameters were used to calculate the crystallographic density values that rises with increasing annealing temperature. It appears to agree with a more densely packed assembling of macromolecular chains.

Hay et al. [7] explained that PEEK does not exhibit any dependence between unit cell volume and temperature. A change in crystallite size is not correlated with crystallization temperature. These variations are related to higher disorder in the lateral packing of the molecular chains, specifically the increased torsional angle of the phenylene groups along the polymer backbone.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Unit cell dimensions (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson et al. [4]</td>
<td>0.763–0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rueda et al. [11]</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay et al. [10]</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimizu et al. [12]</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fratini et al. [13]</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar et al. [3]</td>
<td>0.779–0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakelyn et al. [9]</td>
<td>0.773–0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay et al. [7]</td>
<td>0.771–0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisani et al. [14]</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Chemical formula of PEEK.

Fig. 2. Unit cell of PEEK reported by a) Fratini [13] and b) Jin [6].

Fig. 3. Time-Temperature-Transformation diagrams: a) from melted state; b) from glassy state. Different relative crystallinity ratios: (×) 5%, (●) 50%, (□) 95% [15].
the c-axis. Steric effects of the adjacent ortho-hydrogen atoms increase the carbonyl and ether chain angles and widen the c-axis dimension.

Recently, Pisani et al. [14] modeled the optimized structure of PEEK with density functional theory, the density reported being 1.450 g·cm⁻³. To deepen the structure of the PEEK, two organizations of the unit cell are found in the literature. Fratini et al. [13] define the unit cell with a subcell of a two-ring unit repeated three times inside the unit cell, as seen in Fig. 2-a. The crystal density of a subcell is 1.392 g·cm⁻³. Jin et al. [6] define the unit cell with a three-ring repeat unit, as seen in Fig. 2-b. The organization of the lamella is different according to the cooling conditions. The lamellar stacks and individual lamellae of 10 nm when the sample crystallized isothermally at 315 °C and only lamellar stacks when the sample is cooled down to ambient temperature.

Unit cell parameters depend on the annealing temperature. Crystallization kinetic is deduced from the unit cell parameters. Bas [15] established Time-Temperature-Transformation (TTT) diagrams representing the relative amount of crystallized material according to the crystallization temperature and the time at this temperature (see Fig. 3). Bas defines the relative crystallinity as the relative amount of crystallized material over the final value at the end of the test. The TTT diagram highlights that a sample cooled at 320 °C from the melted state, reached 5%, 50%, 95% of relative crystallinity for test time of 10, 14, 18 min. Kemmish et al. [16] evaluate the crystallization kinetic of PEEK with the Avrami model. During its crystallization, two competitive mechanisms occur, with two constants of Avrami [17]. For example, the experimental exponent value of 2.5 corresponds to spherulitic, diffusion-controlled growth, with thermal nucleation, and the exponent value of 1.5 corresponds to rod-shaped, diffusion-controlled growth, with thermal nucleation. Rod-shaped crystals emerge from fiber surface, and spherulitic crystals emerge in the bulk. The crystallized volume fraction depends on the rate of temperature descent and remains relatively high (>20%) as long as the rate of temperature descent does not exceed 10 K·s⁻¹.

Wang et al. [18] also highlight the influence of melting temperature and pre-crystallization conditions on the crystallization behaviour of PEEK.

The properties of PEEK are unanimously recognized to rival those of metals and could replace them in some applications. However its processing and assembling are still serious challenges that need to be overcome, before a widespread utilization in the industry. For a better understanding of PEEK properties and PEEK based composites, a deep knowledge of its crystalline structure is crucial. Mechanical properties are directly related to the degree of crystallinity and crystalline morphology. Kemmish et al. [16] observed a progressive increase in yield stress under tensile tests at room temperature from 59 MPa for quenched PEEK to 75 MPa for 32% crystalline PEEK. Similarly, as shown by Cebe et al. [19], the elastic modulus is measured at 1.5 GPa and 2.0 GPa for the 22% and the 32% degree of crystalline respectively, also at ambient temperature. The crystalline phase is considered as a reinforcement in the amorphous phase. Pisani [14] modeled molecular dynamics: the elastic modulus of PEEK increases from 3.62 GPa to 4.50 GPa for degrees of crystallinity of 0% and 70% respectively.

Measuring the degree of crystallinity is a challenging task for industrial quality control. It also gives information about material properties and also related to material intrinsic properties. The main techniques are Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) and density measurement. However, the degree of crystallinity differs according to the technique as each has its limitations.

Mehmet et al. [20] measured the density of PEEK samples and calculated the weight fraction of the degree of crystallinity, using a value for the amorphous density of 1.261 g·cm⁻³. Mehmet compared it with the weight fraction calculated by Wide Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS) and the melting enthalpy obtained by DSC. Wide differences were observed between similar samples, and with the values obtained by other methods. When Mehmet examined the crystalline PEEK samples by the light microscope, he observed that polishing reveals extensive amounts of voids within the specimens. Since the PEEK had been extensively dried, the voids were attributed to trapped air or inserted solvent residue.

More recently, Wang et al. [21] performed measurements on injection-moulded PEEK and PEEK reinforced with fullerene nanoparticles and graphene nanoparticles. From their Wide angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) measurements, they concluded that the process and the nanoparticles do not affect the crystal structure and crystallinity of PEEK.

Thermal analysis with DSC is also used to calculate the degree of crystallinity of PEEK and its composites, but account must be taken for melting and recrystallization that simultaneously occur when heating. Mehmet-Alkan and Hay advice direct measurement of the overall enthalpy change must be performed [22]. Bas et al. [15] note that the double peak observed on the PEEK is linked to two different crystalline structures. Between 175 °C and 290 °C, the crystallization is fast - it takes place in a few seconds, which explains that recrystallization occurs when heating during the DSC scan. As a result, the melting enthalpy is a sum of simultaneous melting-recrystallization effects.

Raman spectroscopy is used to investigate the crystallinity of semi-crystalline polymers since 80s. The position and indexation of each peak are reported in Table 2. Only the most intense peaks are identified. All the peaks were identified by Ellis [23].

Conventional dispersive Raman spectroscopy establishes spectra with high fluorescence [24] and a low signal-to-noise that avoid high-precision calculation of the intensity ratio. Agbenyega et al. [25] used Fourier transform Raman spectroscopy to circumvent this negative effect. Briscoe et al. [26] thoroughly analyzed PEEK spectra and identified the strongest peaks at 808, 1146, 1201, 1595, 1606, 1644 and 3068 cm⁻¹. Agbenyega et al. [25] and Stuart et al. [27] determined that the band at 1644 cm⁻¹ is divided into two bands at 1644 cm⁻¹ and 1651 cm⁻¹ which are assigned to the ν(C=O) modes for the crystalline part and to the amorphous part respectively. These authors proposed to calculate the degree of crystallinity by using the ratio intensity of these bands normalized with the band at 1595 cm⁻¹. Because they assumed this band is less sensitive to the environment and the crystallinity [26]. The ratio of relative intensities between bands 1595 cm⁻¹ over 1607 cm⁻¹ [23,25,26] and 1146 cm⁻¹ over 1595 cm⁻¹ [24,26,27] are used to calculate the degree of crystallinity without destroying the sample.

The degree of crystallinity and crystalline structure depend on processing conditions similarly to any semi-crystalline polymer. However, for PEEK, the processing temperature has a huge impact on the structure. Numerous authors highlight crystallinity differences depending on whether PEEK is crystallized from the glassy state or from the molten state [15,28-31].

From glassy state, for the different annealing conditions, the increase in crystallinity leads to a decrease in the width at half height in XRD. An
improvement of the primary lamellae would therefore, be running during the isothermal treatment [32]. Using small-angle XRD analysis, Fougnies et al. [2] quantify the thickness of the crystalline lamellae of PEEK and follow its evolution during an anisothermal cold crystallization. Their results also seem to show a refinement of the crystal morphology with time after the growth of the main crystalline network. From the melted state, this morphology appears to be different than the one established on a sample crystallized from the melted state then annealed. By thermal analysis, Ko and Woo [33] showed that annealing from the melted state results in one melting peak for each annealing condition on the thermogram. According to them, each peak corresponds to a population of lamellae with their thickness. Being confident in the study of Tardif et al. [34], the minor peak, closest to the main peak, is the consequence of a reorganization.

The paper presented hereby aims to compare the degree of crystallinity of a commercial PEEK using four techniques: density, DSC, XRD and Raman spectroscopy. It is the first time these techniques are compared on the same PEEK samples. Our work highlights the benefits and drawbacks of the techniques and the specificity of the measurement of PEEK crystallinity. Besides, with Raman spectroscopy, we wanted to propose new criteria characteristic of crystallinity. This analysis has the advantage of being local, whereas the other methods are global. By comparing mode shifts or intensity ratios with the crystallinity obtained by density, we underline the strong correlation of these new parameters with the crystallinity of PEEK.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The film of PEEK Aptiv 2000 used in this study was manufactured by Victrex, 250 μm thick. Two experiments were performed: from the glassy state and from the melted state. Based on Martineau’s work [35], films are annealed at 156 °C, above the glassy transition temperature, during 5, 10, 15, 20, 28, 36, 44 and 56 min in the oven of a rheometer to ensure the temperature with the accuracy of 0.1 K. Then, samples are quenched with nitrogen for 1 min and stored at ambient temperature. Crystallization kinetic is thermo-dependent. Tardif and Boyard have shown that no crystallization occurs with a cooling rate of 2000 K/s from the melted state [34]. PEEK is heated at 380 °C, then cooled for 5 min at different temperatures 320, 300, 280, 260 °C, about the temperature of crystallization of PEEK at 300 °C. The crystallization is stopped by cooling it rapidly in nitrogen.

2.2. Density

The density is calculated by an immersion method according to the standard ISO 1183-1:2019. Before weighting, samples are dipped in a mix of water and wetting agent to prevent air bubbles formation on the sample surfaces. Each sample is weighted three times for reproducibility.

2.3. Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry - TA Instruments DSC, Q200 - is performed for each sample to estimate the glass transition Tg, melting Tm, cold crystallization Tcc, hot crystallization Tch temperatures and the degree of crystallinity χc. Samples weighing approximately 10 mg are encapsulated in hermetic aluminum pans, heated with a temperature ramp of 10 K min⁻¹ from 80 °C to 380 °C, then cooled from 380 °C to 80 °C and finally heated from 80 °C to 380 °C under nitrogen flow of 50 mL min⁻¹. Between each step, the temperature is maintained for 1 min. Each analysis is performed twice.

2.4. X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction - Philips, X’Pert Panalytical - is performed to calculate the degree of crystallinity χc of PEEK films. The diffraction angular 2θ is ranged from 5° to 40° with an increment of 0.01°. The diffractometer system uses Cu tube as an X-ray source with an intensity of 40 mA and a tension of 45 kV. The calculation of the degree of crystallinity is obtained by a deconvolution in Gaussian curves, and is performed with 9 curves for the crystalline part and 5 curves for the amorphous part. The degree of crystallinity is the ratio of the sum of the deconvoluted crystalline part over the sum of the crystalline and the amorphous deconvoluted parts. A supplementary data presents the deconvoluted curves for all samples.

2.5. Raman spectroscopy

Raman microspectroscopy provides chemical and structural characterizations of the samples. The spectrometer is a Horiba LabRAM HR 800 with a continue He/Ne source laser that emits at 633 nm The analyses are performed with a magnification of 100 with a numerical aperture of 0.9. Consequently, the spot diameter, the axial resolution and the spectral resolution are 858 nm, 2.8 μm and 3 cm⁻¹ respectively. No surface degradation or debris is detected under these conditions. A confocal hole of 30–35 μm and a holographic network of 600 lines /mm are used for spectrum profiles. Mappings of 60 μm × 60 μm are obtained for samples annealed from glassy state. More than 400 spectra were recorded to study statistically the evolution of the PEEK main vibration modes. For samples cooled from melted state, 10 spectra were performed on the material surface. Spectra profiles were performed with Fourier Transform Raman spectroscopy, given similar profiles. Then, we considered that polarization unaffected the results.
Degree of crystallinity calculated by density and DSC of the PEEK melted samples. The absolute uncertainties of density and crystallinity are noted in the table. The enthalpy variations are presented with relative uncertainties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Density (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>χᵣ</th>
<th>ΔHₑ</th>
<th>ΔHₙ</th>
<th>χᵣ</th>
<th>ΔHₑ</th>
<th>ΔHₙ</th>
<th>χᵣ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.005</td>
<td>± 4</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>± 0.4</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.307</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1. Density

Density is calculated for all samples by an Archimede’s method (Tables 3 and 4). The density of untreated film PEEK is 1.263 g cm⁻³, the same as Blundell [36]. When PEEK is heated at 156 °C, no significant density change is detected until 15 min of annealing. The density of the sample annealed during 15 min is 1.258 g cm⁻³ and lower than the untreated sample, but the value is in the absolute uncertainty which is 0.005 g cm⁻³. From 20 min, the density increases around 1.279–1.283 g cm⁻³ and does not evolve until an annealing time of 56 min. For the sample cooled from the melted state, the density evolves between 1.300 g cm⁻³ and 1.307 g cm⁻³ for the temperature 260 °C, 280 °C and 300 °C. The density of 1.259 g cm⁻³, lower than the untreated sample, is determined for the sample cooled at 320 °C.

The degree of crystallinity χᵣ is calculated from equation (1) by knowing the theoretical density of the amorphous phase ρₐ and the crystalline phase ρᵣ, 1.263 g cm⁻³ and 1.400 g cm⁻³ respectively [36]. The absolute uncertainty is 4% by considering Δρₑ and Δρₙ are theories values and equal to zero.

χᵣ = \( \frac{\rho - \rhoₐ}{\rhoₗ - \rhoₐ} \)  

The degree of crystallinity evolves similarly to the density as detailed previously (Fig. 4). For the samples annealed at 156 °C, the crystallization does not begin before 20 min and the degree of crystallinity is around 0%. After 20 min of annealing, the crystallization process begins, and increases until 13.5% for 56 min of annealing. According to the Time-Temperature-Transformation TTT diagram [15], the crystallization reaches only 50% of the relative crystallinity. For the sample cooled to 320 °C from the melted state, the degree of crystallization is 0% and the same as the unheated film PEEK. When the cooling temperatures are lower, the degrees of crystallization reach 30%. The experiment duration is 5 min, according to the TTT diagram, the crystallization starts around 316 °C. Below this temperature, the degree of crystallinity increases and the crystallization achieves more than 95% of the relative crystallinity, two-times higher than for glassy samples.

3.2. Differential Scanning Calorimetry

The characteristic temperatures, respectively the glass temperature, the cold crystallization temperature, the melting temperature and the hot crystallization temperature, are evaluated by DSC for the untreated sample (Fig. 5): \( T_g = 140 °C, T_{cc} = 168 °C, T_m = 325 °C \) and \( T_{hc} = 304 °C \).

The melting enthalpy \( \Delta H_m \) and the cold crystallization enthalpy \( \Delta H_{cc} \) during the first heating are used to calculate the degrees of crystallinity from equation (2). The melting enthalpy of an ideal crystal of PEEK \( \Delta H_m^{100%} \) is 130 J g⁻¹ [36].
\( \chi_c = \frac{\Delta H_m - \Delta H_{cc}}{\Delta H_{m}} \)  

For the glassy samples, a cold crystallization occurs at 168°C during the first heating for samples annealed until 15 min and evolves between 20.1 and 25.5 J·g\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). The evolution of the melting enthalpy is not linear according to the holding time. The degree of crystallinity evolves between 8.3% and 18.9% for a holding time from 0 to 15 min. Then, a second level between 24.2% and 47.2% appears for higher holding time (Fig. 6). No cold crystallization occurs after 20 min of annealing.

\[ \chi_c = \frac{A_c}{A_c + A_a} \] (3)

For the melted samples, during the cooling until 320°C, the hot crystallization temperature at 304°C is not achieved (Table 4). The degree of crystallinity, 9.4% and 18.4%, is in a similar order of magnitude of the untreated sample. For temperatures lower than 300°C, the crystallization occurs, and the degree of crystallization increases up to almost 50%.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holding time (minute)</th>
<th>( \chi_c ) (%)</th>
<th>a (nm)</th>
<th>b (nm)</th>
<th>V(_{\text{unit}} ) (nm(^3))</th>
<th>( \rho ) (g·cm(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>± 0.5</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Fig. 7 gathers the X-ray diffractogram for every studied samples with the indexation of crystalline peaks [4]. When the degree of crystallinity is low, no crystalline peak appears. The intensity of crystalline peaks increases and crystalline peaks refine with an increase of \( \chi_c \).

The degree of crystallinity is calculated from the area of crystalline peaks of diffraction \( A_c \) and the area of amorphous peaks of diffraction \( A_a \) from equation (3).

\[ \chi_c = \frac{A_c}{A_c + A_a} \] (3)

The crystallinity change is similar to those calculated by density: two levels of crystallinity can be detected and there is a factor of two between the degree of crystallinity of glassy samples and melted samples.
The crystallinity of the sample annealed until 15 min is almost 0\% as there is no crystalline peak in the spectra (Table 5). The detection limit of crystallinity with XRD is reached: this technique is not sensitive for samples with low degrees of crystallinity. On the sample spectra (Fig. 10). First results reveal a low Pearson correlation coefficient of Raman’s indicator with the degree of crystallinity determined by density: positive value (■) and negative value (□).

Fig. 9 shows the spectrum of the untreated sample obtained by Raman spectroscopy. Mappings were performed on each glassy sample to calculate spatial statistics.

Four characteristics are studied by analyzing Raman spectra: band shift (S), full width at half maximum (FWHM), band intensity (I) and band area (A). We use the wavenumber in agreement with the Ellis assignment (Table 2) [23] to name the indicator with its vibrational mode. For example, S1595 and FWHM1595 denote the band shift and the full width at half maximum of the \( v_{C=O} \) mode at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. \( I_{1607/1595} \) signifies the intensity ratio of the \( v_{C=O} \) mode at 1607 cm\(^{-1}\) on the one at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\). In the same way, \( A_{1607/1595} \) symbolizes the area ratio of these two modes. For the six most intense bands, band shift and band full width at half maximum are determined. The mode at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) is less sensitive to the environment and less change occurs. We paid attention to the band at 1146 cm\(^{-1}\), given its dichroic response according to Everall [37]. Intensity and area ratios are determined for the mode at 1146 cm\(^{-1}\) over mode at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\), mode at 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) over mode at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) and mode at 1644 cm\(^{-1}\) over mode at 1651 cm\(^{-1}\). Eighteen Raman indicators are calculated. A correlation coefficient \( r \) is determined with a linear regression between Raman indicators and the degree of crystallinity deduced from the density. \( r \) is calculated according to the Pearson’s formula and represents for each indicator in Fig. 10. First results reveal a low Pearson’s coefficient \( r \) with all samples. At the scale of our mapping and the size of the probe used to record our spectra, we assume that the crystallinity is heterogeneous. To limit the effect of this heterogeneity, we select samples with low crystallinity - annealed at 156 °C during 0, 5, 10 and 15 min - and high crystallinity - cooled from melted state at 260, 280 and 300 °C - are used for the correlation.

Among the 18 indicators calculated, 4 of them have a correlation with the degree of crystallinity determined by density, superior to the absolute value of 0.8: \( S_{1651}, A_{1644/1651}, I_{1644/1651} \) and \( I_{1146/1595} \) (Fig. 12). The results concerning the FWHM reveal a low correlation coefficient with the degree of crystallinity. \( S_{1651} \), the band shift of the band \( v_{C=O} \) Amr. Which is the amorphous part of the band C=O, has the highest correlation coefficient at –0.92. This band moves until 10 cm\(^{-1}\) towards lower wavenumber as the degree of crystallinity increases. Louden [24], Everall [37], Stuart [38] found similar evolution. The shift of the bands
provides information on the local stresses and information of environment. If the band shifts towards lower wavenumber, the measured area of the material can be under tensile stress. Otherwise, the area can be in compression, like the band at 1651/cm. During the annealing, the degree of crystallinity increases, therefore the unit cell lengths, a network of crystallinity grows. The system, and the constraints are released. When glassy samples are annealed, the shift towards higher wavenumber is noticeable (Fig. 11). During the initial forming of PEEK film, macromolecules are in tensile, the annealing relieves stresses in the material.

Concerning area ratios, $A_{1607/1595}$ has the better correlation coefficient at 0.89. When the degree of crystallinity increases, this indicator increases.

Two intensity ratios give high an absolute value of the correlation coefficients: $I_{1644/1651}$, $I_{1146/1595}$ have correlation coefficient of -0.86 and -0.83 respectively. $I_{1146/1595}$ corresponds to the C=O band, crystalline and amorphous phase are indexed at 1644 and 1651/cm respectively. When this ratio increases, the crystalline phase is less important. Ellis [23] found a different result. However, the bands $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O} \ \text{Cryst}}$ and $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O} \ \text{Amor}}$ are close; the deconvolution of these two bands is difficult and may explain this difference with Ellis’s previous studies (See Supplementary Data). Moreover, when the degree of crystallinity increases, the ratio $I_{1146/1595}$ decreases, which is in good agreement with Louden [24] and Stuart [27] works.

4. Discussion and conclusion

In this work, a comparison of the degrees of crystallinity of PEEK is performed as a function of the thermal history undergone by the material. For the same thermal history, the degree of crystallinity is measured with four different techniques: density, DSC, XRD and Raman microspectrometry. The benefits and drawbacks of the techniques were highlighted as well as the specificity of the measurement of PEEK crystallinity. Fig. 13 represents, as a function of crystallinity measured by density, the crystallinity obtained by the other techniques (density, XRD and DSC). The best performing indicator calculated by Raman spectroscopy, $S_{1651}$, has been added.

DSC overestimates degrees of crystallinity of PEEK and gives the highest values, up to 40%. At low degrees of crystallinity, the overestimation comparing density is around 10%–18%, and more important than at high degrees of crystallinity which is around 2%–10%. This technique is based on the heat exchanges measured during the thermal transitions of the material. The sample is subjected to a temperature ramp causing the crystalline zones to melt. However, the crystallization and melting temperature windows overlap for PEEK. When it is heated, the macromolecules of the amorphous phase have more mobility, which leads to the crystallization before they melt. The measured enthalpy of fusion is thus increased, the sample is heated to measure its fusion enthalpy.

DSC is a destructive method. On the contrary, XRD is non-destructive

![Fig. 11. The band shift $\delta_{\text{C}=\text{O}}$ for glassy samples. The line indicates the values of the untreated film PEEK. Error bars represented the standard deviation.](image1)

![Fig. 12. Value of Raman’s indicator according to the degree of crystallinity determined by density; Line: linear regression of the values; r: coefficient of correlation.](image2)
Fig. 13. Degree of crystallinity according to the technique. $S_{1651}$: Wavenumber of the band 1651 cm$^{-1}$. The line indicates the ratio conservation. $S_{1651}$: wavenumber of the band 1651 cm$^{-1}$.

measurement. The XRD underestimates the degrees of crystallinity which are lower than the values determined by density at high degrees of crystallinity. On the one hand, it is difficult, for low crystallinity rates, to extract the crystalline part hidden by the halo from the amorphous phase. On the other hand, the quantity of amorphous phase is difficult to estimate on the samples of which the crystalline part is important. The degree of crystallinity is possibly lowered. The underestimation is negligible for low degrees of crystallinity and around 7% and 11% for degree of crystallinity.

Raman spectroscopy seems to be a good technique to determine the local crystallinity on the sample surface. A link between crystallinity and Raman spectroscopy was highlighted by Louden [24], Briscoe [26], Stuart [27], Ellis [23] and Everall [37]. They proposed several indicators and they didn’t compare those to each other. Several reasons can be advanced concerning the indicators having a low Pearson’s coefficient. Specifically, Ellis [23] shows that the response of some bands can be affected by polarization effects for the isotropic sample. We note that the low sensitivity of the $I_{1444}/I_{1651}$ modes at 1644/cm and 1651/cm affects the estimation of the FWHM and its intensity. Our investigation compares 18 indicators in the same study and proves that the 1651/cm band shift, $S_{1651}$, has the highest correlation coefficient with the degree of crystallinity determined by density. Three other indicators, $A_{1607/1595}$, $I_{1644/1651}$ and $I_{1146/1595}$, have a correlation coefficient with an absolute value superior to 0.8. Thus, we propose four indicators to determine locally on the PEEK surface the degree of crystallinity with Raman spectroscopy.
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