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In this work we have synthesized and characterized new hybrid nanoplatforms for luminescent biolabeling based on the concept of Eu$^{3+}$ complexes encapsulation in mesoporous silica nanoparticles (≈100 nm). Eu complexes have been selected on the basis of their capability to be excited at 365 nm which is a currently available wavelength, on routine epifluorescence microscope. For Eu complexes encapsulation, two different routes have been used: the first route consists in grafting the transition metal complex into the silica wall surface. The second way deals with impregnation of the mesoporous silica NPs with the Eu complex. Using the second route, a silica shell coating is realized, to prevent any dye release, and the best result has been obtained using Eu-BHHCT complex. However, the best solution appears to be the grafting of Eu(TTA)$_3$-Phen-Si to mesoporous silica NPs. For this hybrid, mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) full characterization of the nanoplatforms is also presented.

1. Introduction

Recent breakthroughs in the synthesis of mesoporous silica materials with the control of the particle size, the morphology, and the porosity, along with their chemical stability, have made silica matrices highly attractive as the structural basis for a wide variety of nanotechnological applications such as adsorption, catalysis, sensing, and separation [1–7]. In addition, some authors have highlighted that surface-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticle (MSN) materials can be readily internalized by animal and plant cells without posing any cytotoxicity issue in vitro [8, 9]. These new developments offer the possibility of designing a new generation of drug/gene delivery systems and biosensors for intracellular controlled release applications.

Another possible application consists in encapsulating a luminescent dye in plain or mesoporous silica nanoparticles for optical biolabeling [10–12]. For this goal, the dye molecule must be perfectly trapped inside the mesoporous matrix in order to prevent the leaching and bleaching effects. Many dye molecules can be encapsulated inside mesoporous NPs; however, we think that lanthanide complexes as Eu$^{3+}$ or Tb$^{3+}$ have the strong competitive advantage (versus commercial
organic probes) to allow the time delayed measurement for complete extinction of the biological self-fluorescence during the measurement [13]. Moreover, encapsulated lanthanide chelates are not, or weakly, subjected to photobleaching or photobleaking, they are chemically very stable and non-toxic. All these factors constitute major advances, as it has been well demonstrated by Dr. Jin and his team [14].

However, for most luminescent Eu$^{3+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$ complexes, one of the major drawbacks is that optical excitation window is limited to the far-UV (<330 nm) range. Far-UV excitation is often problematic in biology because it causes damages to the cellular matter. Excitation below 330 nm involves poor transmission in most optics, is bulky, expensive, and has limited light sources. Most of commercial flow cytometers and microscopes are not used at these wavelengths [14–16].

Several longer-wavelength-sensitized Eu$^{3+}$ complexes have been developed in recent years [17–22] and used as biolabels for time-resolved luminescence bioimaging applications. Their properties have been illustrated, for example, by the highly specific and sensitive imaging of an environmental pathogen, that is, Giardia lamblia [23], and by the use of bioconjugated silica nanoparticles embedding an europium complex to mark cancerous cells [24]. However for our knowledge, encapsulation of such complexes inside mesoporous nanoparticles has not been done systematically. The main advantage of mesoporous NPs is their high loading capability compared to plain SiO$_2$ NPs, which can potentially lead to brighter probes. Consequently, the main goal of this work is to encapsulate these new long wavelength-sensitized Eu$^{3+}$ complexes and to characterize the derived new nanohybrids, for cellular labeling, using light excitation in the NUV range (355–365 nm) given by laser (or Hg lamp) sources available on flow cytometer or fluorescent microscope. To reach this goal we have used two different approaches. The first route consists in grafting transition metal complexes into the silica wall surface by using a bifunctional ligand which can chelate the metal on one side and react with the silica wall on the other side (samples named as mSiO$_2$-XXX in the following). The second way is easier and consists in impregnating the mesoporous silica NPs with the Eu$^{3+}$ complex and then to elaborate a silica shell coating which will prevent any dye release (samples named as mSiO$_2$@XXX in the following).

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Reagents and Materials. Most reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. N,N$^*$-Dimethylformamide (DMF), hexane, chloroform, and ethanol were of analytical grade and used without any further purification. Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$ was aqueous stock solution from Rhodia.

2.2. Chemical Synthesis

2.2.1. Synthesis of Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles (mSiO$_2$). Typically, 0.2821 g of NaOH (PRS Panreac) and 1.048 g of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) were mixed with 480 mL of distilled water. After this, the mixture was kept under constant stirring, and the temperature was increased up to 80˚C. 5 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was added as the silica precursor, dropwise, slowly (in 20 min approximately). The mixture was kept at 80˚C under vigorous stirring for 2 h. The obtained precipitate was centrifuged and washed with water. The sedimented product was rapidly dried in an oven at 60˚C and then treated at 500˚C for 5 h (increase 1˚C/min, in order to decompose all the surfactants. The final weight of the obtained silica was approximately 1.0 g.

2.2.2. Synthesis of Eu(TTA)$_2$(Phen-Si) and Grafting in mSiO$_2$. Eu(TTA)$_2$(Phen-Si) complex was prepared via a two-step process as shown in Figure 1.

(a) Synthesis of Ligand Phen-Si. The ligand was prepared according to the procedures described by Li et al. [25, 26]. Typically, 5-amino-1,10-phenanthroline (4.1 mmol, 800.4 mg) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (75 mL), and 3-(triethoxysilyl)propyl isocyanate (4.5 mmol, 1.11 mL) was added to the solution. The mixture was then reduced to a volume of 5 mL and refluxed at 65˚C under Ar overnight. Cold hexane was then added to precipitate the powder. This powder was collected by centrifugation, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum overnight.

Elemental analysis for C$_{52}$H$_{30}$N$_2$O$_7$Si, %, found (calcd.): C 52.8 (57.4); H 5.7 (6.22); N 12.1 (13.4). $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz; CDCl$_3$, $\delta$ ppm) 6.03 (2H, m, CH$_2$, 14-H), 6.0 (1H, t, J$_{AB}$ 7, CH$_3$, 16-H), 6.18 (2H, m, CH$_2$, 13-H), 3.34 (2H, m, CH$_2$, 12-H), 3.75 (6H, q, J$_{AB}$ 7, CH$_3$, 15-H), 2.54 (1H, br, CH, 4-H), 7.20 (1H, dd, CH, 3’-H), 7.57 (1H, dd, CH, 3-H), 8.15 (1H, m, CH, 4’-H), 8.18 (1H, br, NH, 11-H), 8.37 (1H, m, CH, 4-H), 8.38 (1H, br, NH, 9-H), 8.86 (1H, m, CH, 2’-H), 9.00 (1H, m, CH, 2-H). $^{13}$C$_{11}$H NMR (75.5 MHz; CDCl$_3$, $\delta$ ppm) 7.7 (s, CH$_2$, 14), 18.3 (s, CH$_3$, 16), 23.7 (s, CH$_2$, 13), 42.8 (s, CH$_2$, 12), 58.4 (s, CH$_2$, 15), 118.1 (s, CH, 7), 122.4 (s, CH, 3’), 123.5 (s, CH, 3), 125.0 (s, C, 6), 129.0 (s, C, 5’), 131.0 (s, CH, 4’), 132.8 (s, C, 5), 135.9 (s, CH, 4), 143.0 (s, C, 6’), 146.2 (s, C, 8), 149.0 (s, CH, 2’), 149.6 (s, CH, 2), 156.8 (s, C, 10).

(b) Synthesis of Complex Eu(TTA)$_2$(Phen-Si). The complex was prepared according to the procedure described by Duan et al. [28]. 2-Thenoylfluoroacetone (TTA) (6 mmol, 1.332 g) was dissolved in 20 mL of absolute ethanol, and triethylamine (6 mmol, 0.81 mL) was added. After 10 min of stirring, the ligand (Phen-Si) (2 mmol, 0.738 g) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was then reduced to a volume of 5 mL and refluxed at 65˚C under Ar overnight. The obtained precipitate was centrifuged and washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum overnight.

Elemental analysis for C$_{66}$H$_{99}$N$_4$O$_{23}$F$_3$S$_2$SiEu, %, found (calcd.): C 41.4 (44.0); H 3.6 (3.1); N 8.2 (8.16). SM. (m/z); found 839.9. Calc. for (Eu(Phen-Si)(TTA)(NO$_3$)$_2$): 837. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2945 $\nu_3$ (CH$_2$, CH$_3$); 2808 $\nu_3$ (CH$_2$, CH$_3$); 1546, 1497, 1445 ($\delta$ CH$_2$; $\nu$ COO), 1228 $\nu_3$ (NO$_3$-O), 861 $\nu_3$ (NO$_3$-O); 1548 $\delta$ (CH$_3$), 1466, 1404, 1419 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 1378 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 1376 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 1365 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 1250 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 1138 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 740 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 738 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 737 $\nu_3$ (Si-O), 696 $\nu_3$ (Si-O).
(c) Grafting of Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) into mSiO$_2$ Nanoparticles. The grafting was carried out according to a modified protocol from Rocha et al. [27]. mSiO$_2$ NPs were suspended in DMF. 94.2 µmol/g of Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) complex was also suspended with DMF. Then the two suspensions were mixed, and the final concentration of mSiO$_2$ was 1 mg/mL. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h. The powder was then centrifuged, washed three times with ethanol, and dried at 80°C in an oven overnight. The europium content, determined by TEM-EDX, is 0.1% (mol).

2.2.3. Synthesis of Si-DBM-Eu(DBM)$_2$ Complex and Grafting in mSiO$_2$

(a) Preparation of Sodium β-Diketonate (Na-DBM). The ligand was prepared according to the procedure described by Machado et al. [29] and De Oliveira et al. [30]. Na(s) (0.7 g, 30.0 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of anhydrous methanol under an argon atmosphere to produce sodium methoxide. 6.7 g (30.0 mmol) of dibenzoylmethane (DBM) was added to the methoxide solvent to obtain a viscous suspension. Subsequently, the powder was collected by filtration, washed with anhydrous methanol, and dried under vacuum at 50°C producing Na-DBM with a yield of 85%.

(b) Synthesis of Silylant Agent with 3-Chloropropyltrimethoxysilane (TMOSCl). TMOSCl (1.13 mL, 6.0 mmol) and 1.482 g (6.0 mmol) of Na-DBM were added to 30 mL of anhydrous methanol. The solution was stirred under argon atmosphere at 50°C for 24 h. The silylating agent was denoted by Na(Si-DBM). Figure 2 shows the chemical structure that represents this process.

(c) Grafting of Na(Si-DBM) inside mSiO$_2$ Nanoparticles, Complexation with Eu$^{3+}$. The grafting was carried out according to a modified protocol from Rocha et al. [27]. mSiO$_2$ NPs (50 mg) were added to anhydrous ethanol (0.35 mol) and 30% NH$_4$OH (10 mmol) mixture. Na(Si-DBM) solution (1.31 mL) was then added to the above mixture that was then stirred for 1 h. The powder was then centrifuged, washed three times with ethanol, and dried at 50°C in an oven overnight. Finally, the powder was suspended in anhydrous ethanol (10 mL) containing EuCl$_3$ (2.35 mL, 0.10 mol L$^{-1}$), producing mSiO$_2$-Eu(Si-DBM). To complete the coordination sphere of Eu$^{3+}$, 20 mg of DBM-Na was added to produce the final luminescent material, SiDBM-Eu(DBM)$_2$ [30]. The powder was again centrifuged, washed three times with ethanol, and dried at 50°C in an oven overnight.
FTIR spectrum of sample is presented Figure 3. The typical bands of both DBM and silica structure, such as a large band centered on 3434 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to OH stretching of silanol groups of inorganic mesoporous structure of material and also adsorbed and/or bonded water can be seen. Three peaks at 2964, 2923, and 2852 cm\(^{-1}\) are related to C–H stretching of CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\) groups. The mesoporous silica structure can also be seen with the bands at 1065, 805, and 455 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the different Si–O–Si vibrations (stetching, bending, and rocking, resp.). Signal related to the beta-diketone can also be observed with the four bands at 1597, 1548, 1458, and 1313 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned as (C=O), (C=C), (C=O), and (C–C) of DBM [30].

FTIR spectrum of Si-DBM-Eu(DBM)\(_2\) is presented in Figure 3. The complex was prepared according to Melby et al. [31] by addition of 113.6 \(\mu\)L of a solution of KOH in methanol (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was then added dropwise under magnetic stirring, to give the precipitated complex [Eu(DBM)\(_2\)(Phen)]. This suspension was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and then centrifuged. The precipitate was carefully washed with ethanol, recovered by centrifugation, and dried at 60°C in air overnight. The complex was then dissolved in DMSO and stirred with 30 mg of mesoporous silica nanoparticles during 24 h at room temperature, in order to encapsulate it. The amount of europium complex impregnated was calculated to be 6.25 \(\mu\)mol per 5 mg of mesoporous silica. Finally, the sample was coated with silica-amine shell as described in the next topics. After analysis, the Eu\(^{3+}\) content has been found to be 0.42% (mol).

2.2.4. Impregnation of mSiO\(_2\) NPs with Eu(DBM)\(_2\)(Phen) and Eu(BHHCT) Complexes

(a) Synthesis of Eu(DBM)\(_2\)(Phen) and mSiO\(_2\) Impregnation. The complex was prepared according to Melby et al. [31] with some modifications. The ligand was first deprotonated by addition of 113.6 \(\mu\)L of a solution of KOH in methanol 0.990 mol-L\(^{-1}\) to 750 \(\mu\)L of an ethanolic solution of dibenzoylmethane (DBM) 0.150 mol-L\(^{-1}\), followed by 375 \(\mu\)L of an ethanolic solution of 1,10-phenantroline 0.1 mol-L\(^{-1}\). Then, 375 \(\mu\)L of an aqueous solution of Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) 0.1 mol-L\(^{-1}\) was added dropwise under magnetic stirring, to give the precipitated complex [Eu(DBM)\(_2\)(Phen)]. This suspension was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and then centrifuged. The precipitate was carefully washed with ethanol, recovered by centrifugation, and dried at 60°C in air overnight. The complex was then dissolved in DMSO and stirred with 30 mg of mesoporous silica nanoparticles during 24 h at room temperature, in order to encapsulate it. The amount of europium complex impregnated was calculated to be 6.25 \(\mu\)mol per 5 mg of mesoporous silica. Finally, the sample was coated with silica-amine shell as described in the next topics. After analysis, the Eu\(^{3+}\) content has been found to be 0.42% (mol).

(b) Synthesis of Eu(BHHCT) Complex and mSiO\(_2\) Impregnation. The ligand 4,40-bis(1\(^{+}\)H,1\(^{-}\)H,1\(^{2}\)H,2\(^{2}\)H,2\(^{3}\)H,3\(^{3}\)H,3\(^{4}\)H,6\(^{3}\)H-heptafuorono-4\(^{4}\)H,6\(^{6}\)H-hexanediol-6\(^{-}\)H-y) chlorosulfo-o-terphenyl (BHHCT) was prepared as previously reported [32]. In order to form the complex Eu-BHHCT, 5 mg (6.25 \(\mu\)mol) of BHHCT ligand was dissolved in 15 mL of propanol. 2.29 mg (6.25 \(\mu\)mol) of EuCl\(_3\) \(\cdot\) 6H\(_2\)O was dissolved in 0.25 mL of distilled water and then added to the BHHCT solution. The mixture was aged at room temperature in darkness, in order to form the complex. Then, 5 mg of mesoporous silica nanoparticles was added to the complex solution, and the suspension was kept under stirring for one night, at room temperature, in darkness. In order to prevent any leak of the impregnated complex, the silica coating was elaborated without any purification. However, after silica coating, Eu\(^{3+}\) content has been determined by MET-EDX and was found to be 1% (mol).

(c) Aminosilane Coating. In order to avoid the leak of the impregnated complexes, the nanocapsules were closed by coating them with a thin silica layer. Typically 30 mg of impregnated silica nanoparticles was dissolved in 80 mL of propanol under ultrasound for 2 h. Then, 8.94 mL of NH\(_4\)OH (28%), 7.5 mL of distilled water, and 25 \(\mu\)L of TEOS were added to the mixture and stirred at 40°C for 2 h. Then, 100 \(\mu\)L of (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 more hour. The reaction
mixture is then centrifuged and washed with propanol, and the obtained precipitate is dried in oven overnight. The final weight of the material was approximately 45 mg. Note that the APTMS molecule does not play any role to prevent the dye release. This molecule has been added at the end of the coating protocol in order to introduce amine functions which are very useful for further biofunctionalization.

2.3. Physical and Chemical Characterizations. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Advance 300, with chemical shifts (in ppm) reported relative to tetramethylsilane. Mass spectra were recorded by FAB or IS techniques using a Normas R10-10 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed on elementary analyses (EA) which were performed using a Perkin Elmer 2400 series II elemental analyser. Chemical bonding was characterized by infrared spectroscopy using a Perkin Elmer spectrometer 100 series. Samples were prepared by mixing the powders with potassium bromide (1/100 by weight) in a pellet. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption curves were measured with a Belsorp-mini (BEL Japan Inc.) between 0 and 99 p/p$_0$ at 77 K. Pretreatment was performed under vacuum during 24 h at 80°C. Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analyses were performed on an INEL XRG3D device. Small angle X-ray scattering signal from mesoporous silica was obtained with X-rays produced by a Cu anode. The X-ray beam was then filtered and focused onto the specimen using Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors, thus delimiting a small and nondivergent beam. Scattered intensity was recorded on an imaging plate, located 38 cm behind the specimen. Particle shape, size, and composition were examined by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) using Philips CM20 FEG microscope, equipped with EDX detector. This EDX detector was used to quantify Eu contents of samples. Fluorescence spectra were recorded with a Fluorolog FL3-22 Jobin Yvon spectrometer equipped with a R928 Hamamatsu photomultiplier and a 450 W excitation lamp. For the analysis of emission decay versus time, a pulsed Xe source was employed. The emission decays have been recorded under excitation at 365 nm, monitoring the $^5$D$_0$ $\rightarrow$ $^7$F$_2$ at its maximum (612 nm). Experimental decays have been calculated according the formula $\tau = \left[ \int_0^\infty t * I(t)dt \right] / \left[ \int_0^\infty I(t) \right]$, with an error range estimated to be 15%.

2.4. Cell Culture, Cytotoxicity Test, and Fluorescence Imaging. An indirect cytotoxicity test was performed using an elution method as described previously [33]. The used cells are MDA-MB231 which are triple negative breast cancer cells [34]. The cells are maintained in culture in RPMI 1640 medium complemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$. For in vitro labeling as for cytotoxic tests, cells were placed in 96-well plate at 10000 cells/well. The particles were added at different concentrations to the cell medium after sonication. The MTT (methyl thiazolotetrazolium, Sigma) test is used to evaluate the viability of the MDA-MB231 in the presence of different
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis of Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles. The synthesis procedure is based on the protocol proposed by [35]. After a full optimization procedure with many varying parameters as reactant concentration (TEOS: 0.93 mmol to 4.7 mmol and CTAB: 0.77 mmol to 7.1 mmol), temperature (25 to 90 °C), and reaction time (2 to 20 h), we find that the best results (average size close to 100 nm, spherical shape, no agglomeration, high surface area, and a high porous volume) were obtained with the procedure reported in Section 2. Figure 4 shows SEM images (a) of particles. Average particle size (feret diameter, counted on 242 particles) is 116 nm with a standard deviation of 45 nm. On the TEM image of Figure 4(b) one can clearly see the well-ordered mesostructure of particles. SAXS analysis presented in Figure 5 is characteristic of a hexagonal MCM 41 well-organized mesostructure [36] with three visible diffraction peaks: $d_{100} = 3.37$ Å, $d_{110} = 2.22$ Å, and $d_{200} = 1.91$ Å. The adsorption/desorption isotherm (BET) experiments done at 77 K under nitrogen give a specific surface area equal to 1018 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The average pore size is centered at 5.476 nm whereas total porous volume is estimated at 1.397 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$.

3.2. Comparison of Luminescent Properties of Eu Complexes Incorporated in mSiO$_2$. In order to verify that no release of complexes occurs in aqueous solution we have checked that nanoplatforms do not lose luminescence intensity (<5%) after severe water leaching (3× 1 h in water). Then, to compare performance of the different luminescent nanoplatforms...
we have recorded an emission spectrum after excitation at 365 nm (laser diode or Hg lamp wave length usually found on many epifluorescence microscope) under exactly the same condition (0.25 mg·mL$^{-1}$ of NPs in water). Results, presented in Figure 6, show that all complexes have a maximum emission band centred around 613 nm. However, emission intensities, recorded under the same conditions, are different. The sample presenting the most intense luminescence is the one with Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) complex, grafted inside the mesopores of the mSiO$_2$ NPs. As this sample presents the highest luminescent intensity, it has been selected for further characterization.

3.3. Characterization of the Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) Complex Grafted in mSiO$_2$. Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) complex was successfully prepared via a two steps process as shown in Figure 1. Ligand (Phen-Si) was first obtained by reacting 5-amino-1,10-phenanthroline and 3-(triethoxysilyl)propyl isocyanate (Figure 1(a)). Europium complex was then prepared from Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$, 2-thienoyltrifluoroacetone (TTA), and phenantroline ligand (Phen-Si) in the presence of triethylamine in ethanol at 50–60$^\circ$C (Figure 1(b)). Figure 7 shows infrared spectra of Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) complex, mSiO$_2$, and the sample of mSiO$_2$ incorporating the complex (mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si)). The spectrum of the complex presents the characteristic bands of phenanthroline as well as those of TTA, indicating that the complex has been obtained. On the mSiO$_2$ spectrum, the well-known bands of SiO$_2$ are observed. The mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) spectrum exhibits both bands of the complex and mSiO$_2$, especially in the region of 1700–600 cm$^{-1}$.

The sample mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) has also been investigated by BET analysis. After loading, the porous volume decreases down to 0.9570 m$^3$·g$^{-1}$ (instead of 1.397 m$^3$·g$^{-1}$), as well as the specific area to 684 m$^2$·g$^{-1}$ (instead of 1018 m$^2$·g$^{-1}$). This loss of porous volume and specific area confirms that the complex Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) is well grafted into the mesopores of the NPs.

Figure 8 presents the elemental cartography obtained by EDX spectroscopy on STEM microscopy. It can be seen that after incorporation, mSiO$_2$ keeps its spherical shape without any aggregation. EDX spectroscopy results show that silicon atoms are homogenously dispersed to form the mesoporous silica matrix (Figure 8(b)). Nitrogen and europium atoms are also detected, corresponding to the grafted complex (Figures 8(c) and 8(d)). Images reveal that these elements are homogenously well dispersed all inside the NPs, confirming the good repartition of the complex, all around the walls of the mesoporous silica.

In Figure 9(a) emission spectra of the grafted complex recorded in ethanol after excitation at 365 nm are gathered. For the free complex the concentration was 0.25 mg·mL$^{-1}$, corresponding to 2·10$^{-4}$ mol·L$^{-1}$ in Eu$^{3+}$, and for the grafted complex the concentration was 1 mg·mL$^{-1}$, corresponding to 2·10$^{-5}$ mol/L in Eu$^{3+}$ (considering a grafting rate of 0.1% (mol)). The characteristic emission lines of transitions $^5$D$_0$ $\rightarrow$ $^7$F$_J$ of Eu$^{3+}$ are observed for both samples. Some differences may be noticed: for instance, the shape of the
Figure 9: (a) Emission spectra recorded after excitation at 365 nm, (b) excitation spectra recorded at 612 nm, and (c) emission decay curves recorded at 612 nm under excitation at 365 nm for pure Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si) complex and mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si).

Figure 10: Cytotoxicity test of mSiO$_2$-Eu(TTA)$_3$(Phen-Si). The higher is the DO, the higher is the living cells number.
estimates to about 1 ms is also observed. The average decay, estimated with the formula \( \tau = \frac{\int_0^\infty t \cdot I(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty I(t)} \), is \( \tau = 0.80 \pm 0.08 \) ms. From the comparison of emission spectra and of emission decays, at least two populations of \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) are then observed after grafting into mSiO\(_2\). A detailed investigation of luminescence data, necessary to discuss the possible structures of these populations, is beyond the scope of this paper. It is important to notice here two essential features for the potential applications. The first point is the red emission observed for the dispersed NPs and the pure complex in solution, both excited at 365 nm, that is, in the organic antenna, and recorded under the same experimental conditions and have the same intensities. The other point of interest is that the emission lifetime of the grafted NPs is suitable for microsecond time gated detection of luminescence.

3.4. Cytotoxicity Tests on Nanoparticles. The optical density (OD) is directly proportional to the living cells number. The comparison of the proliferation of MDA-MB231 cancer cells, in contact (during 3 days) with growing concentrations of NPs 0.1; 0.5; 1; and 2 mg/mL, emphasizes a significant decrease of cell viability, and an inhibition of cell growth for doses of NPs higher than 0.1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) (Figure 10). Nevertheless, for particles of concentration around 100 \( \mu \)g.mL\(^{-1}\), we consider that the cytotoxicity of NPs is negligible.

3.5. Observation of Particles Fluorescence in Living Cells. The spherical mSiO\(_2\)-Eu(TTA)\(_3\)(Phen-Si) NPs have been allowed to react with MDA-MB231 cancer cells under conditions where NPs are shown to be nontoxic (0.1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\)) overnight. Images in Figure 11 show that NPs have been internalized by the cells. Indeed, a strong red fluorescence is observed in their cytoplasm with a higher intensity in the perinuclear area. The nucleus, stained in blue with DAPE, appears to be totally free of NPs as shown by time gated detection. Time gated detection collects the emission light only 100 \( \mu \)s after the excitation, keeping only the long-lasting luminescence of \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) and removing all the background coming from the DAPE dye and self-fluorescence of the biological media [14].

4. Conclusion

We have synthesized new hybrid nanoplatforms for luminescent biolabeling based on the concept of \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) complexes encapsulation inside mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Europium complexes have been selected on the basis of their capability to be excited at 365 nm which is a wavelength currently available on routine epifluorescence microscope. For \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) complexes encapsulation two different routes have been used: the first route consists in grafting the transition metal complexes into the silica wall surface. The second way deals with physicochemical impregnation of the mesoporous silica NPs with the Eu complex. Then a silica shell coating will prevent any dye release. For this last protocol, the best result has been obtained using Eu-BHHCCT complex. However the best solution appears to be Eu(TTA)\(_3\)(Phen-Si) complex covalently grafted inside the mesoporous silica NPs.
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