Understanding the cumulative effects of salinity, temperature and inoculation size for the design of optimal halothermotolerant bioanodes from hypersaline sediments
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1. Introduction

The integration of microbial catalysis with electrochemistry has given rise to several innovative processes, broadly known as bioelectrochemical systems (BES), with potential energy, environmental and industrial applications such as electricity generation, hydrogen production, wastewater treatment, pollutant removal and biomolecule synthesis [1,2,3,4,5]. In BES, the efficiency of bioanodes, in terms of electron exchange kinetics, ability to recover electrons from soluble molecules and, finally, robustness, is the key to considering their industrial exploitation [2,6]. The apparent rates of electron transfer of bioanodes have greatly improved and, today, it has become possible to obtain very high current densities (greater than several tens of A/m²) by using anodes of different materials, with different geometrical designs, and with chemical, thermal or electrochemical surface treatments or coatings [6,7,8]. Along these lines, Chen et al. obtained a current density of up to 390 A/m² at 0.39 V/SHE by using multilayer structure bioanodes for the oxidation of acetate [9]. Additionally, a power density of 1090 ± 72 mW m⁻² was achieved by using nanostructured macroporous bioanodes fabricated with natural loofah sponge as a precursor material [10]. Ketep et al. obtained >100 A/m² at 0.0 V/SCE using stainless steel foam bioanodes [11]. This evolution gives confidence in the technological feasibility of applying BES in the real industrial world or in environmental applications.

However, the majority of BES research work has been conducted in electrolyte conditions of medium temperature and low salinity, suitable for the growth of the majority of mesophilic environmental bacteria. Such factors as temperature and salinity greatly influence the energy efficiency of BES. Temperature is a critical environmental factor that plays a crucial role in increasing (i) the solubility, distribution and bioavailability of organic matter, (ii) the kinetics of biochemical and electrochemical reactions, and (iii) the mobility of ions in solutions, leading to a higher oxidation rate and, consequently, electron donation by exoelectrogenic bacteria [12,13]. For example, Liu et al. reported that a temperature rise significantly enhanced both the oxygen reduction rate on the cathode and anolyte conductivity in a microbial fuel cell [14]. Also, in the work of Adelaja et al. the maximum power density at
40 °C was double that at 30 °C [15]. High salinity reduces the ohmic drop in BES by increasing electrolyte conductivity and consequently facilitating the transfer of electric charges in solution [5,16]. Unfortunately, the microbial communities that have produced the highest currents so far in BES do not operate at high salinities or high temperatures.

It is therefore accepted that the successful application of BES to the treatment of highly saline and/or hot wastewater is based on the identification and characterization of exoelectrogentic bacteria that are both tenacious and resilient under these particularly extreme conditions. Halothermotolerant microorganisms are thus suitable candidates for the treatment of the high saline wastewaters generated, for example, in the seafood processing (8 to 20 g/L), textile dyeing (2 to 10 g/L), petroleum (few g/L to 300 g/L), and tannery industries (40 to 80 g/L) [17,18]. Some halophilic and/or thermophilic bacterial species isolated from extreme natural or industrial environments have been reported to display electroactive properties [19,20]. In particular, sediments from salt marsh [7,8], saline microbial mats and salt lakes [21], saline ponds [22], the Red Sea [17], the Great Salt Lake [2], and Sambhar Lake [6] have been investigated to select electroactive bacterial biofilms. In the majority of these studies, a positive correlation between salinity and current generation has been demonstrated. However, all these studies were carried out at temperatures lower than or equal to 37 °C and so none of this work examined the combined effect of increased salinity and high temperature on the bioanode current production, yet the salinity and thermal tolerance of halothermotolerant microorganisms would make it possible to consider the design of halothermotolerant bioanodes that would be truly suitable for the treatment of hot, highly saline wastewater. In addition, the approaches used to improve the performance of bioanodes under saline or thermal conditions have so far been carried out by monitoring the influence of a single factor at a time, by following a single experimental response, which is often only the generation of current [3,6,7,8,15,21,22]. These approaches, known as one-variable-at-a-time optimization, do not include the interactive effects between the variables studied. Consequently, this optimization does not depict the effects of all the factors on the response. To overcome this limitation, multivariate statistical techniques, such as response surface methodology (RSM), based on fitting a polynomial equation to the experimental data with the objective of making statistical predictions, could be applied as appropriate tools. RSM can be appropriately applied when a response or a set of responses of interest is influenced by several variables [23,24]. It can be concluded from the published literature that current practices in the area of process improvement recommend employing RSM to express the output parameters (responses), in terms of input factors.

The main objective is to simultaneously optimize the levels of these variables so as to attain the best system performance. Such tools are actually applied mainly in the optimization of analytical chemistry processes such as chromatographic methods, sorption processes, and biomolecule production by microorganisms [25,26,27,28,29]. These statistical designs are now attracting the attention of researchers for (microbial) process optimization. As described by Bezerra et al. [23], application of RSM is a multi-step optimization technique successively organized into (i) the selection of independent variables having major effects on the system, (ii) the choice of the experimental design and the execution of the experiments, (iii) the mathematical-statistical treatment of the experimental data obtained, by fitting a polynomial function, (iv) the evaluation of the model’s fitness, and (v) obtaining the optimum values for each variable studied. Despite their increasing popularity, these tools have not been widely used for the optimization of BES efficiency.

In southern Tunisia, there is a large terrestrial lake, Chott El-Djerid, which is covered by an extensive salt pan during the dry season [18]. Its evaporitic environment is characterized by extreme conditions including, dryness, elevated UV irradiation and relevant salt concentration of chlorides and sulfates varying between 200 and 330 g/L [30]. Desert climatic conditions also prevail at Chott El Djerid, with an average temperature ranging from 40 to 55 °C. Extremophiles inhabiting this particular ecological niche have had to evolve systems to deal with the harsh conditions: mainly high salinity and elevated temperature. Consequently, sediment from this extreme environment is a promising potential source of exoelectrogentic halothermotolerant microorganisms for enriching the microbial communities on electrodes. The aim of this study was thus to demonstrate, for the first time, that a halothermotolerant electrocatalytic bioanode could be designed from Hypersaline Sediment of Chott El Djerid (HSCE) under combined high temperature and hypersaline conditions. Although exoelectrogentic biofilms have been enriched on anodes operated under either hypersaline [6,8] or high temperature [19,20] conditions, there have been no studies showing current generation under both these conditions. Once the electroactivity of HSCE inoculum had been proved, the cumulative effects of temperature (40 to 50 °C), salinity (100 to 200 g/L NaCl), and sediment inoculation size (10 to 30%) were crossed using response surface methodology (RSM) to investigate the effect of interaction among these variables on the formation of bioanodes. Additionally, the maximum current production, the biofilm microscopic structure on the electrode and the anodic bacterial communities were systematically confronted during or at the end of bioanode formation. Both predicted and experimental results showed that HSCE could be used for designing halothermotolerant bioanodes for future BES operations under high salinity and high temperature conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Collection of hypersaline sediment samples

Hypersaline sediments used as the inoculum were sampled from a continental salt lake, Chott El Djerid, located in the south of Tunisia (N 33°59’56” E 08°25’32” ) during the dry season in April (Fig. 1). Samples were a mixture of saturated water and sediment (2:1 vol:vol.) collected from the surface, temperature was 38 °C and conductivity higher than 200 mS cm⁻¹. Samples were stored in closed plastic boxes at +4 °C until bioanode formation experiments were initiated.

2.2. Medium composition

The nutrient medium contained (g/L): 0.5 g K₂HPO₄, 1 g NH₄Cl, 2 g MgCl₂, 0.1 g CaCl₂. Sodium lactate (5 g/L) was added as the anodic substrate for all experiments. NaCl concentration (g/L), temperature (°C) and inoculum size (%) were adjusted to appropriate values as described in the Box-Behnken design (Table 1) before the start of bioanode formation experiments.

2.3. Construction of microbial electrolysis cells (MECs) and electrochemical protocol

All experiments were performed in glass MEC reactors with a total volume of 750 ml (150 ml head space), filled with nutrient medium and inoculated with hypersaline sediment from Chott El Djerid (HSCE). MEC reactors were deaerated for 15 min with a continuous gas flow of N₂ before the experiments start. Sixteen MEC experiments were carried out with a working electrode (WE) made of a porous carbon felt of 6 cm² projected surface area electrically connected to a platinum wire (1 mm diameter and 15 cm long) and polarized at −0.1 V/SCE, a platinum grid used as the counter electrode (CE), and a saturated calomel reference electrode (+0.24 V/SHE) located between the counter and the working electrodes. The distance between the WE and the CE was fixed at 6 cm in all experiments. A detailed scheme of the experimental set-up is shown in Fig. 2. All electrodes were connected to a VSP multichannel potentiostat (Biologic SAS) equipped with EC lab software. Constant polarization was applied on the working electrode at −0.1 V/SCE (chronoamperometry) [31,32], the average current was recorded every 20 min.
2.4. Study of combined effects of salinity, temperature and inoculum size on current production using response surface methodology (RSM)

To investigate the impact of salinity, temperature and inoculation size on the current production response, surface methodology using a Box-Behnken Design (BBD) was applied.

The BBD is a class of rotatable (or nearly so) second-order designs based on three-level incomplete factorial design. The number of experiments \( N \) required for the development of BBD is defined as

\[
N = 2^k (k - 1) + C_o
\]

where \( k \) is the number of factors and \( C_o \) is the number of central points [33].

The BBD design involved three process variables: inoculum size \( \eta_1 \), temperature \( \eta_2 \) and NaCl concentration \( \eta_3 \), each at three equidistant levels \((-1, 0, +1)\), with four replicates at the center point. We thus obtained a total of 16 experiments (Table 2). The graphical representation of the three-variable BBD (Fig. 3) can be seen as a cube where experimental points are located in the middle of the cube edges (12 experiments) and at the center of the cube (4 experiments). Parameters corresponding to the central point \((0,0,0)\) are repeated four times to establish that the experimental data is within the normal dispersion and that repeatability is ensured, and also to estimate the pure error variance. Selected boundaries of the variables for the BBD (Table 1) were based on preliminary experimental tests performed on HCSE as well as results of previous studies [3,19,20].

When evaluating the relationship between the response (current production) and the process variables \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \) and \( \eta_3 \), interaction between any two process variables can be described. Commonly, a second-order model is utilized to find a suitable approximation for the functional relationship between \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \) and \( \eta_3 \) and the response surface \( \Phi \) \((A/m^2)\) (Eq. (1)):

\[
\Phi = \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i \eta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{ii} \eta_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta_{ij} \eta_i \eta_j + \varepsilon
\]

\( \varepsilon \) is the random error.

In matrix form, the above equation can be written as:

\[
\Phi = \delta \eta + \varepsilon \]

and the solution can be obtained using the matrix approach,

\[
\delta = (\eta^T \eta)^{-1} \eta \Phi.
\]

To apply the BBD approach, the NemrodW software was used [34]. After acquiring data related to each experimental point, we fitted a mathematical equation describing the behavior of the response according to the levels of the values studied. A regression model containing 10 coefficients, including the linear and quadratic effect on variables and

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Step of variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum size</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>g/L</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. Location of sampling sites: Chott El Djerid hypersaline environment in southern Tunisia.

Fig. 2. Location of sampling sites: Chott El Djerid hypersaline environment in southern Tunisia.
the linear effect on interactions was generated by the software (Eq. (2)):
\[
\Phi (A/m^2) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \eta_1 + \delta_2 \eta_2 + \delta_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 + \delta_4 \eta_1^2 + \delta_5 \eta_2^2 + \delta_6 \eta_1 \eta_3 + \delta_7 \eta_2 \eta_3 + \delta_8 \eta_3^2 + \delta_{12} \eta_1^2 \eta_2 + \delta_{13} \eta_1^2 \eta_3 + \delta_{14} \eta_2^2 \eta_3 + \delta_{23} \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3
\]
(2)

where \(\delta_0\) is the model constant; \(\eta_{1,2,3}\) are the independent variables; \(\delta_{1,2,3}\) are the linear coefficients; \(\delta_{12,13,14}\) and \(\delta_{23}\) are the cross-product coefficients, and \(\delta_{11,22,33}\) are the quadratic coefficients.

A polynomial regression analysis was used to determine the values of the coefficients and the quality of the model was tested by the coefficient of determination \(R^2\) and two-way ANOVA. The main focus of the two-way ANOVA was to compare the variation due to the change in the combination of variable levels with the variation due to random errors inherent in the measurements of the response generated [28,35]. ANOVA employs sum of squares and F statistics to find the relative importance of the processing variables analyzed, the measurement errors, and uncontrolled parameters. It was used to check the adequacy of the model for the responses in the experiments.

Besides ANOVA verification of the adequacy of the statistical model, additional verification experiments were carried out under the optimal conditions predicted by the model.

2.5. Epifluorescence microscopy

At the end of each bioanode formation experiment, working electrodes covered by HSCE enriched biofilms were immediately stained with acridine orange 0.01% (A6014 Sigma) and incubated for 10 min. Acridine orange stains both the intracellular and extracellular nucleic acids and thus gives a fair representation of the global biofilm structure. Then bioanode coupons were washed carefully with sterile physiological water and dried at ambient temperature overnight. Biofilms were then imaged with a Carl Zeiss Axio Imager-M2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped for epifluorescence with an HXP 200C light source and the Zeiss 09 filter (excitor HP450r HP450200 C light source). Images were acquired with a digital camera (Zeiss AxioCam MRm) every 0.5 mm along the Z-axis and the set of images was processed with the Zen (Carl Zeiss) ® software.

2.6. Bacterial anode analysis

Biofilms were sampled from carbon felt electrodes in order to phylogenetically characterize bacteria occurring on the bioanode. Genomic DNA extraction was performed using a NucleoSpin® Soil kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Yield and quality of DNA and PCR products were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop. To analyze the composition of the bacterial communities in the different biofilms, Illumina Miseq 16S rRNA sequencing was performed. The 16S rRNA gene V4 variable region PCR primers 515/806 were used in single-step 30 cycles PCR using the HotStarTaqPlus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, USA). Sequencing was performed at MR DNA (www.mrdnalab.com, Shallowater, TX, USA) on an Ion Torrent PGM following the manufacturer’s guidelines. Sequences were depleted of barcodes and primers, then sequences <150 bp or with ambiguous base calls or with homopolymer runs exceeding 6 bp were all removed. OTUs were then generated and clustered at 97% similarity. OTUs were taxonomically classified using BLASTn against two databases RDPII (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu) and NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Analysis of synchronous effects of salinity, temperature and inoculum size on design of halothermotolerant bioanodes

Response surface methodology was applied to investigate the combined effects of salinity, temperature and HSCE inoculum size on HSCE-based bioanode current production. A three variable BBD was used to explore interactions among the selected variables. Details of the 16 experimental runs with the set of input parameters used are given in Table 2.

![Schematic cube graphical representation of the three-variable Box Behnken Design.](image)

Fig. 3. Schematic cube graphical representation of the three-variable Box Behnken Design.
experiment were independent of the conditions of previous experiments and did not predict the conditions of subsequent ones. Randomization was crucial for drawing correct, defensible conclusions from the experiment.

Stable maximal current densities, recorded at least for a 12 h time period, ranging from 3.6 to 7.5 A/m² were obtained after using the set of 16 experiments of the BBD. As shown in Table 2, the low levels of salinity (R5, R6 and R10), temperature (R1, R2 and R11) and inoculum size (R1, R3, and R5) resulted in low current production, between 3.6 and 4.2 A/m². Similarly, high levels of salinity (R8, R11 and R12), temperature (R3, R4, R10 and R12) and inoculum size (R2, R4, R6, R8) generated low current, ranging from 3.6 to 4.9 A/m². However, medium levels of salinity, temperature and inoculum size (R13, R14, R15 and R16) provided higher current density, between 6.6 and 6.8 A/m². Interestingly, high values of current production were obtained under conditions different from those described above. In reactor R7, a current density of 7.5 A/m² was obtained at a high level of salinity (200 g/L), medium level of temperature (45 °C) and low level of inoculum (10%). Then, in reactor R9, current density of 6.20 A/m² was obtained at a low level of salinity (100 g/L), low level of temperature (40 °C) and medium level of inoculum (20%). These findings show that understanding the interactions between these variables is of great interest. To analyze the accuracy of the data and the interactions between variables, the significance of the results at a selected confidence level were considered via a multiple-regression fit model and ANOVA.

ANOVA is an important statistical tool widely used to further verify the accuracy of models. The results of the model are summarized in Table 3, including the sum of squares (SS), mean square (MS), Fisher’s F-test values (F values) and P-values, the lack of fit of the model and R² (see supplementary data explaining how these parameters were determined). The P-value is used to check whether the F value result is statistically significant or not [20,36,37]. R² should be examined throughout the analysis because this parameter indicates the percentage of variance explained by the model [36]. R² indicates the accuracy and goodness of fit of the model and, if the data or model is satisfactory, then the R² should be close to 1.0.

Data from Table 3 showed that the R² value was 0.934, indicating a high degree of correlation between the observed and predicted values for current production. From the R² value, it was concluded that only 6.6% of the variation for current production could not be explained by the model.

As shown in Table 3, the F value was 32.3343, indicating that the model was significant and the majority of variation in the responses could be explained by the regression equation. Furthermore, the P-value < 0.0001 indicated that the model terms were statistically significant.

According to the coefficients shown in Table 4, the quadratic term coefficients (b1, b2 and b3), and the interaction terms (b13 and b23) had highly significant effects on current production (P < 0.0001), followed by the linear term coefficients b1 and b3, with significant effect (P < 0.01), and then the linear term coefficient b2 with a significant effect (P < 0.05). However, the interaction term b12 was not significant (P > 0.05). It was concluded that, in the model, the factor having most influence on current production was the interaction between salinity and temperature, followed by salinity and temperature as single effects.

However, the interaction between inoculum size and temperature was relatively weak (P = 54).

The highly significant effects on current production of the interaction terms b23 and b13 demonstrated the combined effects of salinity, temperature and HSCE inoculum size on HSCE-based bioanode current production.

Using values of coefficients from Table 4, the final polynomial quadratic equation for current production was determined as follows (Eq. (3)):

\[
\Phi = \text{current production (A/m²)} = 6.70 - 0.237 \eta_1 - 0.15 \eta_2 - 0.287 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 1.475 \eta_1 \eta_3 - 0.5 \eta_2 \eta_3 + 0.125 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 1 \eta_1 \eta_3 + 0.825 \eta_2 \eta_3 
\]

(3)

The values of the coefficients in the model are related to the effect of these variables on the current production. Given that \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \) and \( \eta_3 \) are the coded values for inoculum size, temperature and salinity, respectively. The previous equation could be expressed in the following form (Eq. (4)):

Current density \( \text{A/m²} = 6.70 - 0.237 \ \text{[inoculum size]} - 0.15 [\text{temperature}] + 0.287[\text{salinity}] - 1.2 [\text{inoculum size}^2] - 1.475 [\text{temperature}^2] - 0.5 \ \text{[inoculum size]} \times [\text{temperature}] - 1 [\text{inoculum size}] [\text{salinity}] + 0.825 [\text{temperature}] [\text{salinity}] \]

(4)

Previous statistical analyses justify the use of the quadratic model in this study as a tool for prediction.

3.2. Model prediction of the effect of inoculum size, temperature, and salinity on current production

The interaction effects of salinity, temperature, and inoculation size on bioanode current density production were examined by plotting the response area curves against two significant independent variables, keeping the other variable at constant level. Response surface plots and their corresponding contour plots for predicted current density are shown in Fig. 4. These plots are suitable tools to understand how the interaction between the variables studied affects current production. A circular contour line indicates that the interaction between two compared independent variables is weak, while an oval or elliptical contour line indicates a significant interaction between the two independent variables [28,38].

---

**Table 3**

ANOVA of the response surface for the quadratic model.

| Source of variation | Sum of squares | MS | F value | P values | Significance R² |
|---------------------|----------------|----|---------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| Model               | 19.5400        | 19 | 1.6156  | 32.3343  | 0.934          | -0.0001***     |
| Residual            | 0.4677         | 6  | 0.0796  | 0.029    | 0.96           | -0.01**        |
| Lack of fit         | 0.4657         | 3  | 0.1552  | 3.8750   | 0.1692         | NS             |
| Pure error          | 0.0200         | 9  | 0.0075  | 0.0001   |                |                |
| Total               | 22.2175        | 15 |          |          |                |                |

*** Significant at the level 99.9%. NS: non-significant.
Figs. 4.A and 4.B describe the two-dimensional (2D) counter plot and corresponding three-dimensional (3D) response surface plot expressing the crossed effect of salinity ($\eta_3$) and temperature ($\eta_2$) on the maximum current density when the inoculation size ($\eta_3$) was kept constant at the center level (20%). At 40 °C, the current density remained constant (5.4 A/m$^2$) in a salinity range of 100 to 150 g/L, then decreased
slightly (5.0 A/m) for salinity values above 150 g/L. However, at 45 °C, the current density rose from 5.4 to 5.9 A/m² for a salinity of 100 g/L, then increased significantly (6.7 A/m²) when the salinity increased from 100 to 175 g/L. Above this limit value of salinity, the current density started to decline. Similarly, Figs. 4C and 4D show that current density increased when salinity rose from 100 to 175 g/L at a constant temperature fixed at 45 °C. Further increase in salinity beyond 175 g/L induced a gradual fall in current density. The information provided by the Figs. 4C and 4D reveal that salinity (from 100 to 175 g/L) and temperature (from 40 to 47 °C) impacted the current production positively. However, salinity >175 g/L and temperature beyond 47 °C lowered the production of current by bioanodes slightly.

By partial differentiation of the established second-order regression model, the optimum conditions for maximum current production were established as: 20% inoculum size, 45.5 °C temperature, and 164 g/L salinity. Under these conditions, the second-order model predicted a maximum current production of 6.74 ± 0.04 A/m².

3.3. Experimental validation of the model

In addition to the verification through ANOVA, the adequacy of the statistical model was validated through three additional verification experiments carried out under the theoretically optimal conditions predicted by the model and leading to the highest current production.

As can be seen in Fig. 5, the variability in current density was low. Actually, from one triplicate experiment to another, the current-time curves exhibited a similar general trend and the absolute current densities were not different. The three replicates showed a maximum current density around 6.98 A/m² with a standard deviation of ±1%. It is worth noting that these findings are of crucial importance because, in less controlled experimental systems like MFCs without potentiostatic control, the results are hardly ever so reproducible [39,40].

The concordance between the experimental results and the results predicted by the statistical model is rather strong (~4% of difference). The experimental mean current density production was 6.98 ± 0.06 A/m², agreeing well with the predicted value of 6.74 ± 0.04 A/m², and indicating the validity and the robustness of the model.

Additionally, the average current density (6.98 ± 0.06 A/m²) measured for the optimized HSCE based bioanodes was within the range of findings reported by previous studies using other saline or thermophilic environments [20,41]. Miceli et al. obtained current densities of 1.5–10.8 A/m² from various anaerobic environmental samples including saltwater samples enriched with progressively increasing salt concentrations (20 g/L NaCl and 3 g/L MgCl₂) [41]. Current densities of 5.0–8.3 A/m² were generated by acetate-fed pure culture of alkalophilic Geoalkalibacter ferrihydriticus under slightly saline conditions (10 g/L) in dual-chamber MECs using anodes polarized at +0.07 V/SHE [20]. However, the elevation of salinity to 17 g/L caused a decrease in current production of >50% (2.4–3.3 A/m²). Using saline sediment from the Red Sea as the inoculum for enriching the exoelectrogenic community on anodes of an MEC, Shehab et al. reported current density of about 6.8 ± 2.1 A/m² with bioanodes operated at a potential of +0.2 V/Ag/AgCl (+0.405 V/SHE), fed with acetate under high temperature (70 °C) and hypersaline (250 g/L) conditions [42].

3.4. Biofilm morphology

At the end of bioanode formation at −0.1 V/SCE, biofilms colonizing working electrodes extracted from reactors R₁, R₃ and R₇, were imaged by epifluorescence microscopy (Fig. 6). These biofilms were obtained at different salinities (150, 200, 165 g/L), temperatures (50, 45, 45 °C) and inoculation ratios (10, 10, 20%) and produced different current densities: 3.80, 7.50 and 6.98 A/m², respectively (Table 2).

Increasing NaCl concentration from 150 to 165 and then to 200 g/L impacted the distribution of microorganisms at the carbon fiber scale in felt electrodes. As shown in Fig. 6A, B and C, two different kinds of biofilm structure were observed depending strongly on the salinity. For NaCl concentrations of 150 and 165 g/L, biofilms covered the interstitial spaces between carbon fibers (Fig. 6A and B). Increasing the NaCl concentration to 200 g/L increased the thickness of the biofilm layer on the fibers (Fig. 6C) but areas between fibers remained empty and dark. In the field of electroactive halotolerant anodic biofilms, Rousseau et al. report similar observations regarding the structure of biofilms formed in salty electrolytes with concentrations between 30 and 60 g/L of NaCl [8]. They concluded that, at the highest salinity (60 g/L NaCl), the bioanode performance was affected by the modification of the biofilm structure and a decrease in the percentage of bacterial coverage on the felt fibers. Interestingly, in our study, conducted in a higher range of NaCl concentrations, despite changes in the biofilm architecture around the carbon fibers, the performance of the bioanode was not affected. Rousseau et al. [8] indicated that the reduction in current density seen at the highest salinity (60 g/L) was due to the restricted structure of the biofilm, which was rarefied in the interstitial spaces but formed sheaths around the fibers. These results do not agree with our findings, where, although rarefied, the biofilm in the interstitial spaces remained efficient. This difference was probably dependent on the nature of the microbial community colonizing the anode.

3.5. Bacterial analysis of the anodic biofilm

Based on the quality of DNA extracted, the salinity, the temperature and the current density produced, four biofilms, named B1, B3, B7 and B17 from reactors R1, R3, R7 and R17, respectively, were selected for bacterial analysis. A glance at the bacterial population of these biofilms
(Fig. 7) indicates a very high abundance of two phyla: Proteobacteria (from 85.96 to 89.47%) and Firmicutes (from 61.90 to 68.27%). Proteobacteria were enriched in biofilms from reactors 1 and 7, at close relative abundances of 89.47 and 85.96%, respectively. However, they showed different current productions, of 3.4 and 7.5 A/m², respectively. Firmicutes were enriched in biofilms from reactors 3 and 17, with relative abundances of 68.27 and 61.90%, respectively. B3 and B17 produced current densities of 4 and 6.98 A/m², respectively. The other part of the community was made up of various phyla (Bacteroidetes, Synergistes, Chloroflexi, and Actinobacteria) the percentage of each always being <2%. It is interesting to point out that the Thermotogae phylum colonized biofilm B17 at a relative abundance of 10.89%. Very few studies have described this phylum as electrogenic. At the species level (Fig. 8), (from the detected phyla, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Thermotogae), the most prevalent bacteria in B1 and B7 were Halomonas spp and Psychrobacter aquaticus with relative abundances of 82.91% and 65.02%, respectively. B3 shows a bacterial profile with the enrichment of Bacillus sp (24.4%), Halanaerobium praevalens (20.3%), Holomonas spp (13.73%), Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus (11.12%) and Halomonas sp (5.3%). However, in the case of B17, the microbiome was dominated by Psychrobacter aquaticus (43.03%), Psychrobacter alimentarius (5.82%), Halanaerobium praevalens (22.1%), Psychrobacter alimentarius (5.82%) and Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus (5.51%).

In the context of isolation of halotolerant bacterial in controlled enrichment conditions (acetate as electron donor, −0.3 V / Ag/AgCl as electrode, 20 g/L NaCl and 3 g/L MgCl₂) Miceli et al. obtained a current density of 4.23 A/m² of biofilm population enriched in single-chamber microbial electrolysis cells [41]. Polarizing the electrode at −0.2 V / Ag/AgCl in the presence of 17 g/L NaCl decreased the current density produced by Gealkalibacter subterraneus to 3.3 A/m² [41]. However, the highest current density, of 4.68 ± 0.54 A/m², was obtained when a graphite planar electrode was polarized at +0.2 V / SCE, under 35 g/L of NaCl [43]. The strains Gealkalibacter subterraneus and Desulfuromonas acetoxidans were found in abundance in biofilms colonizing anode electrodes when saline and hypersaline inocula were used and, specifically, when acetate and butyrate were added as substrate. In this study, MECs fed with lactate demonstrated that
**Psychrobacter aquaticus**, belonging to the dominant family *Moraxellaceae*, was the most prevalent bacteria in biofilms and was correlated with the highest current density. The presence of *Psychrobacter aquaticus* and *Psychrobacter alimentarius* under such experimental conditions was somewhat surprising since it is more frequently found in cold and other non-polar environments of low water activity [44]. However, no previous studies have described the presence of these strains either in anodic biofilm or in Chott el Djerid samples. This is a promising result that encourages research aiming to isolate and characterize *Psychrobacter* strains and test their biocatalytic behavior in pure culture. According to Lasa et al., *Psychrobacter* strains have shown valuable activities involved in bioremediation by producing carboxy anhydrase enzymes [44]. This feature makes the use of this strain possible in coupled bioelectricity generation and saline wastewater treatment. *Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus* is also of great interest for its ability to hydrolyze hydrocarbon and aromatic compounds under anaerobic conditions with petroleum as sole carbon source [22]. *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus* have been identified in microbial communities of MFCs fed with produced water (Barnett Shale), which gave a power of 47 mW/m² and COD removal efficiency of 68% [22]. Additionally, the presence of *Halanaerobium praventum* is reasonable as this bacterium has already been identified as electrogenic in an extremely saline MFC [22,45]. *H. praventum* is of interest because of its ability to reduce a variety of nitro-substituted aromatic compounds at a high rate, and to degrade organic pollutants [45]. The halophilic bacterium *Halomonas* sp found in B3 is also of great concern. In fact, Uma Maheswarri et al. [46] reported for the first time that *Halomonas* sp, isolated from coastal areas in Tuticorin and capable of growing in 20% of salinity, possess particular electrochemical property in which salt is degraded by the microorganism, and the produced chemical energy was converted into electrical energy. Authors also demonstrated that at the same time salt water gets desalinated and the bacterium produce a maximum voltage up 1.42 V after five days.

4. Conclusion

We demonstrate in this study the enrichment of efficient exoelectrogentic bacteria from HSCE able to produce high current density (6.98 A/m²) under optimized conditions (salinity 165 g/l, temperature 45 °C and inoculum size 20%) by applying RSM. These statistical techniques are powerful in elucidating the interactive effects among the variables studied and thus improving the performance of BES. The most abundant species in biofilm obtained under optimized conditions were *Psychrobacter aquaticus*, *Halanaerobium praventum*, *Psychrobacter alimentarius*, and *Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus*. As halotolerophilic bacteria, these strains could be suitable candidates for the design of halotoleromterial bioanodes and economically-efficient microbial electrochemical technologies for treatment and electricity generation from highly saline, high temperature wastewaters and for the development of microbial desalination cells (MDCs).
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