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Abstract — This communication concerns the detection of 13.56 MHz (HF) RFID “small” tags. Herein, the term “small” refer to an effective area below 1 cm² and the detection principle is in volume, especially inside a tube of 9 cm in diameter and 2m in length. The ability to detect the “small” tags in the tube is achieved by using a coil resonator conformed on the tube surface, following a principle of multiple magnetic coupling, also referred as magnetic field guide. Theoretical considerations on mutual coupling formula and electrical model fit to CST simulations and VNA measurements concerning the evaluation of impedance and coupling factors range. Detection tests with an RFID reader (from IB technology) and NXP SLI-X chip confirm the possibility of detection by providing a first result of 2 cm range. This detection was impossible inside the tube without using the resonator. Perspectives of improvement evoked at the end of the paper are numerous for that structure.
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I. RFID APPLICATION CONTEXT

Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID) is a well-known concept using a mature technology for traceability of objects and persons [1][2]. This technology can involve two main types of radio links: propagation backscattering for UHF (433/868 MHz) or SHF (2.45 GHz) and load modulation thanks to magnetic coupling for HF (13.56 MHz) and LF (125/134 kHz) [1][2].

RFID is used nowadays in a lot of applications, but it still exist some difficult cases in which the technological breakthrough are challenging and for which research and prototyping are still a difficult task. One of such context is, in our case, the traceability of small tags, in the range of 1 cm², [3][4] and especially metallic medical devices (mMDs). The term “small” refer to the size (ergonomic constraint) of the mMDs and the size of the RFID reader antenna/loop. MMDs are used at the hospital where the radio link of UHF RFID is difficult to deploy due to the presence of metal and many others communication systems. We investigated magnetic coupling solutions for that reason because HF and LF are less sensitive to the metal (magnetic coupling phenomenon) and are in a frequency band lower than communications systems (GPS, GSM, 3/4G, WiFi) and ISM (2.4/5GHz). Some low-cost LF prototypes were tested [5][6] and the conclusion is that it is possible to use this technology but the penalty is the very high number of turns needed for realizing loops antennas for a sufficient reactance value. In this paper, we focused on the HF magnetic coupling solution and, for the prototypes, use the 13.56 MHz ICODE SLI-X long-range chips from NXP with a RFID reader from IB Technology.

Our goal is, more precisely, to detect mMDs with a minimum of manipulation by the hospital technical staff. The tag has also to be of minimum size, below 1 cm² for ergonomic considerations, and the control of tagged mMDs should be automatized. If possible, multiple detections ability is preferred. Consequently, we investigated the principle of detection in volume, for the tagged mMDs, driving us to consider a case study of magnetic coupling where the sizes of the tag and reader loops are very different [5][6][7]. Such problematic is presented in Figure 1 with additional consideration about tag orientations. Our work corresponds to a focus on case 2 and 3.

![Figure 1: Magnetic coupling cases study. Orientation and dimension of the secondary loop (usually the tag) drives to difficult and weak magnetic coupling (i. e. cases 2 and 3).](image)

The coupling phenomenon studied is based on the Neumann formula and drives to equation (1), where E and K are elliptical Bessel integrals of first and second kinds, R₁ and R₂ the radii of the two (coaxial) coils, d the distance between the coils and μ₀ the vacuum permeability:
\[ M = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} I_1 \int \frac{dl_1 \cdot dl_2}{r_{12}} = -\mu_0 \sqrt{R_1 R_2} \left[ \left( \rho - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) K(\rho) + \frac{2}{\rho} E(\rho) \right] \]

\[ = \mu_0 \sqrt{R_1 R_2} \Psi(\rho) \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \frac{2\sqrt{R_1 R_2}}{\sqrt{(R_1 + R_2)^2 + d^2}} \leq 1 \]

(1)

It is possible to study the optimum size of the reader loop for maximizing the value of \( M \), at different distances between the two loops. In Figure 2, these results are plotted for a coaxial configuration and show a shifting of the maximum value of \( M \) in function of the reader loop size (area) and the distance. Also, the value of \( M \) is highly decreasing with the distance and can be the reason of a non RFID tag detection if the limit for powering the chip is below the possible values for \( M \).

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2: Theoretical computing of “M” for a loop of 1 cm diameter and a reader loop whose area increases. Curves show a shifting of the maximum for “M” in function of the distance.

To counter this decrease in the mutual coupling effect, the case 3 in Figure 1 expressed the geometrical consideration of multiple loops possibilities. As studied in the literature and in past works at LF [5][12], the geometrical criterion is highly efficient for magnetic coupling increasing if the reader loop/coil structure is optimized and more complex. In HF, the principle of “magnetic-field-guide” is preferred due to the electrical imperfections added by the connections (parasitic capacitance especially). This corresponds to study a multiple magnetic coupling whose parameters are dedicated to the maximization of RFID detection. A resonator will be used in order to relay the magnetic field from the reader loop to the tag loop. The transfer function will be globally maximized for power transfer (high quality factor \( Q \)) and also will present sufficient bandwidth for RF Identification (low \( Q \)) as it is usually the case in RFID (\( Q \) in the range of 20 to 40) [1][2][8][5].

II. MUTUAL COUPLING WITH A RESONATOR

The operation principle can be theoretically schematized by the electrical circuit in Figure 3. The volume detection is performed by inserting mMDs in a tube (2 m long, 9 cm in diameter) for the control. This tube has an encircling loop along its length and its diameter and a resonator is added on the tube surface. We use a copper foil with 100 \( \mu \)m thickness and 6 mm width and the resonator has 2 turns and a square loop/coil shape of dimension 6x6 cm\(^2\). In Figure 3, the tube reader/interrogator loop is the inductance \( L_{ant} \) at the port 1, the resonator is the port 2 in which the loop/coil \( L_{res} \) is loaded by a capacitance \( C_{res} \) (\( Q \) factor represented by \( R_{chip} \)) and the RFID tag the port 3, made of a tag loop/coil \( L_{tag} \) loaded by the RFID chip (\( R_{chip} \) // \( C_{chip} \)). As the electrical elements are all passive, the system is reciprocal: \( M_{12} = M_{21} = M_{13} = M_{31} = M_{23} = M_{32} \). Moreover, the difference of size between the reader loop and the tag loop drives us to consider that the \( M_{13/31} \) mutual coupling is quasi-null, at the origin of the problem for which adding a resonator is the proposed solution in this work.

![Figure 3](image)

Figure 3: Principle of mutual coupling between the reader “tube loop”, the coil resonator and the small tag. Harmonic equations are simplified in our context due to electrical reciprocity (passive) and \( M_{13} = M_{31} = 0 \) (due to the difference in loops sizes).

This schematic is in good agreement with our prototype, as it is reported in Figure 4 where the size of the reader loop and the tag can be observed. Also, the simulation performed with CST shows the magnetic field strength around the resonator when the reader loop (tube loop/coil) is fed by its port. The CST simulation gives a theoretical value of \( L_{ant,CST} = 3.1 \mu \)H for a tube of 2 meters length. Photography of the prototype and the RFID (NXP SLI-X) circular tags used in the next part (test of detection) is shown in Figure 4 (top right).

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4: RFID tags and the detection tube (top right), prototype principle and description (left) in agreement Figure 3. CST simulation (bottom right) of the magnetic field magnitude.
In order to maximize the detection performance, the coupling (M) between the tag loop/coil and the resonator is from 1% to 5% for M_a, see (3), of 1% in the case of M_b when the tag is near the resonator. A computation under MATLAB is done in order to evaluate the dZ with our realistic values (L_res = 3 \mu H + a resonator with L_res = 0.2 \mu H and a quality factor Q_{res} = 40) and is reported in Figure 5. As it can be seen in Figure 5, the real part variation (resistive load) is weak but in a sufficient range of 1 \Omega with our parameters. Moreover, a slight mistuning due to the imaginary part variation (reactive load) is observed in function of the coupling between the tag and the resonator, which can affect the load variation seen by the reader in AM mode.

Next part will report the loops/coils prototype measurements with the VNA (S and Z parameters) and the RFID detection test with the reader and real small tags.

III. TESTS OF DETECTION AND MEASUREMENTS

The prototype is built thanks to a Plexiglas tube of 2 m length with a diameter of 9 cm and a thickness of 2 mm. This material is magnetically transparent at 13.56 MHz. The copper used is the copper foil above mentioned (6 mm width / 100 \mu m) and the resonator is based on a 2 turns square (6x6 cm²) loop/coil with 4 mm inter-turns, as seen in Figure 6 (top left). The measured impedance of the 6x6 cm² loop/coil is 0.23+j24 \Omega, i.e. 285 nH, as seen in Figure 6 (top right).

In our context, mutual coupling are weak due to the difference in size between the considered loops/coils. Preliminary observations drive us to consider coupling factor k_b, see (3), of 1% in the case of M_a and to evaluate a coupling factor k_b, see (3), between 1% and 5% for M_b when the tag is near the resonator. A computation under MATLAB is done in order to evaluate the dZ with our realistic values (L_res = 3 \mu H + a resonator with L_res = 0.2 \mu H and a quality factor Q_{res} = 40) and is reported in Figure 5. As it can be seen in Figure 5, the real part variation (resistive load) is weak but in a sufficient range of 1 \Omega with our parameters. Moreover, a slight mistuning due to the imaginary part variation (reactive load) is observed in function of the coupling between the tag and the resonator, which can affect the load variation seen by the reader in AM mode.

In our context, mutual coupling are weak due to the difference in size between the considered loops/coils. Preliminary observations drive us to consider coupling factor k_b, see (3), of 1% in the case of M_a and to evaluate a coupling factor k_b, see (3), between 1% and 5% for M_b when the tag is near the resonator. A computation under MATLAB is done in order to evaluate the dZ with our realistic values (L_res = 3 \mu H + a resonator with L_res = 0.2 \mu H and a quality factor Q_{res} = 40) and is reported in Figure 5. As it can be seen in Figure 5, the real part variation (resistive load) is weak but in a sufficient range of 1 \Omega with our parameters. Moreover, a slight mistuning due to the imaginary part variation (reactive load) is observed in function of the coupling between the tag and the resonator, which can affect the load variation seen by the reader in AM mode.

Next part will report the loops/coils prototype measurements with the VNA (S and Z parameters) and the RFID detection test with the reader and real small tags.

III. TESTS OF DETECTION AND MEASUREMENTS

The prototype is built thanks to a Plexiglas tube of 2 m length with a diameter of 9 cm and a thickness of 2 mm. This material is magnetically transparent at 13.56 MHz. The copper used is the copper foil above mentioned (6 mm width / 100 \mu m) and the resonator is based on a 2 turns square (6x6 cm²) loop/coil with 4 mm inter-turns, as seen in Figure 6 (top left). The measured impedance of the 6x6 cm² loop/coil is 0.23+j24 \Omega, i.e. 285 nH, as seen in Figure 6 (top right).

In our context, mutual coupling are weak due to the difference in size between the considered loops/coils. Preliminary observations drive us to consider coupling factor k_b, see (3), of 1% in the case of M_a and to evaluate a coupling factor k_b, see (3), between 1% and 5% for M_b when the tag is near the resonator. A computation under MATLAB is done in order to evaluate the dZ with our realistic values (L_res = 3 \mu H + a resonator with L_res = 0.2 \mu H and a quality factor Q_{res} = 40) and is reported in Figure 5. As it can be seen in Figure 5, the real part variation (resistive load) is weak but in a sufficient range of 1 \Omega with our parameters. Moreover, a slight mistuning due to the imaginary part variation (reactive load) is observed in function of the coupling between the tag and the resonator, which can affect the load variation seen by the reader in AM mode.
and $k_b$ around 5.76%. In the same manner, we evaluate $k_s$ with the resonator coil impedance measurement when the tube loop is unloaded and shortened. This gives $Z_{\text{coil-tubeloop-unloaded}} = 0.1885 + j 22.256$ and $Z_{\text{coil-tubeloop-shortened}} = 0.184 + j 22.236$, and drives us to evaluate $M_t$ around 27.9 nH and $k_s$ around 2.9%. $M_{13}$ was not evaluated in that manner due to the too high level of noise on the measurement, corresponding to the hypothesis of a too weak and neglectable value.

The resonance at 13.56 MHz is achieved with parallel capacitors ($560 \text{ pF} + \text{variable } 5/50 \text{ pF}$) and the measured $Q_{\text{res}}$ factor is 45.2. The tube is the support of a single turn loop (and same copper foil) whose measured impedance with the VNA is $7+j240 \, \Omega$, i.e. 3.17 $\mu$H, in good agreement with the CST simulation. These steps (and the following ones) are reported in Figure 7.

When the resonator is fixed on the tube, the measured impedance is $13+j273 \, \Omega$, i.e. 3.2 $\mu$H. A parallel capacitor was used for tuning and variable resistance for reducing the global $Q$ factor in the range of 30-40, see the impedance magnitude (dB) in Figure 7. It is important because the tuned tube, with the resonator fixed on it, was connected to the RFID reader as an external RFID antenna.

Once the RFID reader is connected to the detection tube carrying its resonator, the small (below 1 cm²) circular tags used for our tests were detected at a distance below 2 cm from the resonator. These same tags were not detected at all without the resonators. As mMDs will go through the tube, the detection performances are encouraging and illustrate the potentiality of this original structure.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the work illustrates the potentiality of using coupled resonator for creating a magnetic field guide whose consequence is to enable a complex multiple mutual coupling between several small RFID tags, a resonator and the RFID reader/interrogator at 13.56 MHz. After theoretical consideration and CST numerical simulations, results of our first prototypes show that it is possible to detect some HF RFID tags whose effective area is below 1 cm². The potentiality of this structure is clearly encouraging because the tags are finally detected inside the tube at a distance of 2 cm from the resonator conformed on the tube surface. The focused application concerns metallic medical devices detection in hospital environment. Perspectives of this work, already in progress, are to study the impact of the different resonator parameters (geometry, turns, $Q$, ...) in order to improve the detection performances.
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