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Gartland types IIB and III supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children: is Blount’s method effective and safe?
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Background: Blount’s method is controversial for the treatment of Gartland types IIB and III supracondylar fracture of the humerus (SCFH) in children. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical and radiologic outcomes and the failure and complication rates.

Methods: All types IIB and III SCFH treated with Blount’s method from 2003-2013 were included in this retrospective single-center study. Clinical assessment was performed according to Flynn criteria. Baumann angle, anteverision angle, anterior humeral line, and humeroulnar angle were measured for radiographic assessment.

Results: Among 447 children with types IIB and III SCFH, 339 were treated according to Blount’s method. There were 173 boys (51%), and the mean age was 6.3 years (1-14 years); 71% were type III. Mean time to surgery was 5.7 hours. According to Flynn criteria, results were satisfactory in 91% of cases. No compartment syndrome was encountered. There were 16 (4.7%) secondary displacements requiring surgical revision. Five (1.9%) children developed a cubitus varus deformity. At latest follow-up, the mean Baumann angle was 74.7° (95% confidence interval, 74.1-75.3), the mean anteverision angle was 39.9° (95% confidence interval, 39.5-40.3), the anterior humeral line was normal in 87.6% of cases, and the mean humeroulnar angle was 8.7°.

Conclusion: Blount’s method is appropriate to manage types IIB and III SCFH, provided anatomic and stable reduction is obtained.

Level of evidence: Level IV; Case Series; Treatment Study
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Supracondylar fracture of the humerus (SCFH) is the most frequent fracture of the elbow in children. Extension type represents 96% of SCFH. Closed reduction and immobilization of the elbow in flexion were popularized by W.P. Blount in 1954 in his classic textbook, Fractures in Children. The
fracture is stable in flexion only if the posterolateral periosteum is intact.

The management of displaced SCFH type IIB and type III according to the Wilkins-modified Gartland classification is difficult because of the frequent swelling that may cause vascular compression or even compartment syndrome and instability when the posterolateral periosteum is torn. Blount’s method was condemned in France in the 1960s after the report from Lagrange and Rigault because of the high risk of compartment syndrome in case of malreduction. The method was later reintroduced thanks to the shorter delays in treatment, allowing less swollen elbows.

Most authors recommend pin fixation to prevent compartment syndrome and to improve stability. However, complications can occur with surgical treatment, including pin track infections, joint stiffness, neurologic injuries, and secondary displacement. Our hypothesis was that Blount’s method is adequate for types IIB and III SCFH, provided stable and satisfactory reduction is obtained. The aim was to evaluate the clinical and radiologic outcomes, failure rate, and complications.

Materials and methods

This was a single-center retrospective consecutive series. All extension-type IIB and III SCFH treated with Blount’s method from 2003-2013 were included. Among 447 children with Gartland type IIB or III SCFH, 98 (22%) were treated surgically and 349 (78%) were treated with Blount’s method.

We retrospectively reviewed the hospital records of the study cohort, including personal data, preoperative clinical examinations and associated lesions, time from injury to surgery, operative notes, postoperative evaluations, duration of immobilization, presence of complications, need for further surgery, and clinical assessment at final follow-up visit. Patients returned for clinical examination and radiographs in 57% of cases.

Clinical evaluation and overall rating at latest follow-up were performed according to Flynn criteria (Table I). Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the elbow were analyzed using Baumann angle and distal humerus anteversion angle postoperatively, at 1 week, at the time of bone consolidation, and at latest follow-up. Humeroulnar angle was measured at latest follow-up.

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistique R version 2.14.1 software (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The χ² statistic was used for qualitative variables and Student t-test for quantitative variables. Results are displayed with raw values and percentages for qualitative variables and with means, medians, standard deviations, and interquartile ranges for quantitative variables. P was considered significant if < .05.

Description of Blount’s method

Under general anesthesia, the patient was positioned supine with the affected limb placed on the image intensifier. Closed manipulation consisted of traction, pronation or supination, and then elbow flexion, respectively. Elbow flexion was maintained at about 120° by a collar and cuff bandage (Fig. 1) for 4 weeks. In case of an unstable reduction in elbow flexion, pin fixation was performed. If closed reduction was unsuccessful, open reduction and pin fixation were performed. Radial pulse and capillary refill time in the fingertips were checked, and pulse oximetry at the middle finger was monitored systematically immediately after reduction in elbow flexion. Immediate postoperative anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the elbow were performed systematically (Fig. 2). Parents were given basic care and observation guidelines. Clinical and radiologic control was scheduled in the outpatient clinic within 10 days from hospital discharge. No physiotherapy was prescribed. Sport activities were allowed after 3 months.

Results

The records of 447 children with Gartland type IIB or III SCFH were analyzed, of whom 98 (22%) were treated surgically with pin fixation because of the following reasons: open fracture in 12 cases (12%), failed closed reduction in 28 cases (28.5%), instability of the fracture in elbow flexion in 20 cases (20.5%), and distal ischemia in elbow flexion because of edema in 38 cases (39%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Cosmetic factor</th>
<th>Functional factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of carrying</td>
<td>Loss of motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>11-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lower of the ratings is the overall rating, and an elbow that has a varus deformity is automatically graded poor.

Figure 1  Collar and cuff bandage.
A total of 349 patients were treated with Blount’s method: 243 type III (71.7%) and 96 type IIB fractures (28.3%). There were 173 boys (51%) and 166 girls (49%) with a mean age of 6.3 years (1-14 years). The left side was affected in 197 cases (58.1%) and the right in 142 (41.9%; dominant side in 44.4% of cases). The fracture was sustained on the occasion of a sports injury in 207 cases (61%), a fall from standing height in 74 cases (22%), a household accident in 40 cases (11.7%), a motor vehicle accident in 5 cases (1.5%), and an undocumented cause in 13 cases (3.8%). Average time to management was 5.7 hours (23 minutes–20 hours). Associated lesions were recorded in 127 cases (Table II).

Mean operative time (reduction + collar and cuff bandage) was 11.6 minutes (95% confidence interval [CI], 11.11-12.07). Mean hospital stay was 1.6 days (95% CI, 1.49-1.64). Mean immobilization time in a collar and cuff bandage was 26.2 days (95% CI, 25.42-27.02). According to 1 surgeon’s preference, 96 patients (28%) had an additional immobilization in a 90° elbow flexion cast for 16.6 days on average (95% CI, 15.55-17.7).

Ten patients were lost to follow-up. Sixteen patients had a secondary displacement managed with repeated reduction and pin fixation 7 days postoperatively, leaving 323 patients for radiologic analysis at 45 days of follow-up. There were 238 patients who were clinically examined at a mean 36.8 months of follow-up (2.1-134.9 months) (Fig. 3).

**Clinical outcome**

Results were satisfactory in 97% of cases (excellent or good in 95% of cases) according to Flynn criteria (Table III). Including the 16 patients who underwent surgical revision and who were considered to have poor outcome, results were satisfactory in 91% of cases (n = 231/254).

Mean range of motion of the elbow in flexion-extension was 140.3° (100°-160°), with mean flexion of 138.2° (95% CI, 137.5-138.8) and mean extension of +2.1° (95% CI, 1.3-2.9). Mean loss of extension compared with the contralateral side was 9.8° (5°-30°). Range of motion in pronation-supination was..
symmetric. Mean humeroulnar angle was +7.3° (95% CI, 6.73-7.81).

Five patients (1.9%) had cubitus varus with a clinical carrying angle of −10° (−5° to −15°), loss of the humeroulnar angle of 17° (10°-20°) compared with the contralateral side, and Baumann angle of 93.6° (80°-104°). None reported a functional or cosmetic complaint. All patients with initial nerve palsy had fully recovered at latest follow-up. Parents were very satisfied in 98.8% of cases.

Seven patients had fair results, 6 functionally (restricted range of motion) and 1 cosmetically (loss of carrying angle). Mean range of motion in the 6 functionally impaired patients was 124° (100°-140°). Mean loss of extension compared with the contralateral side was 17.5° (10°-25°). One patient had a painful elbow affecting daily activities. This 14-year-old boy had a type III fracture complicated by periarthritis ossifications causing severe stiffness (range of motion 25°). Despite surgical release 3 months postoperatively, his elbow remained relatively stiff (range of motion of 100°) and painful at latest follow-up. The patient with a fair cosmetic result had a loss of carrying angle of 20° without functional consequences.

**Radiologic results**

Baumann angle was 75.7° (95% CI, 75.3-76.2) postoperatively and 74.7° (95% CI, 74.1-75.3) at latest follow-up (P = .00025). Mean distal humerus anteversion angle was 39.7° (95% CI, 39.3-40.1) postoperatively and 39.9° (95% CI, 39.5-40.3) at latest follow up (P = .30).

At latest follow-up, 20 patients (6.4%) had an abnormal Baumann angle, of which 17 were above 81° (mean, 84.9°; 95% CI, 80.25-89.53) and 3 were below 64° (mean, 60°). Anteversion angle was above 40° (mean, 45.1°; 95% CI, 44.3-45.8) in 63 patients (19%). Mean humeroulnar angle was 8.7° at latest follow-up (95% CI, 7.84-9.62).

**Secondary displacement**

There were 36 cases of secondary displacement (10.6%) at the first-week visit. Sixteen (4.7%) were managed with surgical revision. A continued conservative treatment of the remaining 20 patients with secondary displacement was chosen by the surgeon, who considered that it was not clinically significant. This matter was always explained to the patient and family, who accepted this decision.

Of the 20 patients managed with conservative treatment, 3 (15%) had a fair outcome and 1 had a poor outcome (5%) according to Flynn criteria. Three patients had cubitus varus with a Baumann angle above 90°, of whom 2 had an excessive anteversion. One patient had a fair outcome with 15° loss of motion.

**Complications**

Five patients (1.5%) presented with a skin sore at the wrist and 3 (0.9%) at the elbow, necessitating local care with dressings. One patient (0.3%) had his bandage loosen after a fall. There was 1 case of ulnar nerve palsy due to excessive tightness of the bandage, which recovered spontaneously when the bandage was removed. Two patients suffered from a type I complex regional pain syndrome. No compartment syndrome was noted.

**Discussion**

Remodeling of SCFH is mild as the chondroepiphysis of the distal humerus provides only 20% of the longitudinal bone growth. The aim of treatment is therefore to maintain anatomic reduction to allow normal function and range of motion, along with satisfactory cosmesis. The recommended method for treatment must be as simple as possible and reliable while bearing a low risk of complication. Most publications advocate pin fixation for SCFH types IIB and III, with various preferred constructs.6,11,18,19,22,27

Blount’s method, initially described in 1954, relies on the continuity of the posterior periosteum, which provides the necessary stability to maintain the reduction in elbow flexion.5,7 Application to types IIB and III remains controversial because of the risk of compartment syndrome and fracture instability. The author did not recommend the method in case of neurovascular compromise or marked swelling.5 We extended the use of the method to types IIB and III SCFH, except for unstable or unreducible fractures and in case of vascular compromise persisting after fracture reduction. Neurologic deficit did not influence our decision. Severe swelling was a relative contraindication, at the discretion of the surgeon in charge.

The posterior periosteum is torn in about 50% of type III SCFH.17 Yet, Blount’s method is based on an intact posterior periosteum. In other words, about half of type III cases are eligible for this method of immobilization. The current series demonstrated that 66% of such fractures were successfully managed using Blount’s method, whereas Akakpo-Numado et al reported 70% and Williamson and Cole reported 60%.2,26

Clinical results were satisfactory in 91% of cases according to Flynn criteria, which is consistent with the literature. De Gheldere and Bellan,9 in a series of 74 children,
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**Table III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flynn criteria</th>
<th>Loss of carrying angle</th>
<th>Loss of motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 238</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n = 238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reported 94% excellent and good results according to Flynn criteria for type II and 73% for type III. Results of type III were influenced by the direction of displacement: fractures remained stable in 88% of posterior displacements, 58% of posteromedial displacements, and only 36% of posterolateral displacements. Kinkpé et al observed 100% stable fractures and 100% good results in 67 type III fractures. Time to treatment (mean time to referral, 30 hours; mean time to treatment, 46 hours) did not influence anatomic and clinical results. We did not proceed with a comparative study as our indications for Blount’s method and pin fixation were different. However, Sigge et al have reported better results with Blount’s method than with pin fixations. Kennedy et al obtained similar results in both groups and concluded that immobilization in elbow flexion was effective when stable reduction was obtained in Gartland types II and III SCFH. No correlation existed between the type of treatment and poor results. However, surgical treatment was associated with the risk of superficial infection.

Compartment syndrome incidence varies from 0.1% to 0.3%. The combination of the SCFH with ipsilateral forearm fracture represents a major risk factor. Blakemore et al reported 3 cases (7%) among 33 such combined lesions. Ipsilateral forearm fracture and marked swelling did not contraindicate Blount’s method in our series. None of our patients sustained a compartment syndrome, yet this complication is the main argument against Blount’s method in the literature.

Secondary displacement occurred in 36 cases (10.6%), of which 16 (4.5%) were managed with repeated reduction and pin fixation. Nonetheless, 16 of the remaining 20 patients had excellent results according to Flynn criteria, 3 had cubitus varus and remained asymptomatic at latest follow-up, and 1 patient had a 15° loss of elbow motion. Clavert et al recorded 7 secondary displacements in a 120-case series (5.8%), of which 6 involved type IV fractures and 1 involved a type III according to the Lagrange and Rigault classification. Kinkpé et al applied Blount’s method to 67 Gartland III SCFH and described only 2 (3%) secondary displacements. Akakpo-Numado et al had a 25% rate of secondary displacement, also with Blount’s method.

Our surgical revision rate was similar to those of pin fixation series from the literature. Moreover, Blount’s method has several advantages over pin fixation: it is simple and cheap, with a low risk of infection and low risk of nerve injury, and it avoids another procedure for pin removal. The absence of circular immobilization facilitates postoperative care and observation.

Cubitus varus was observed in 5 (1.9%) of our patients vs. 3% to 58% of cases in the literature. In 4 cases, this deformity resulted from a wrong indication or wrong management: 3 presented with a secondary displacement managed conservatively, and 1 had an insufficient initial reduction (40% rotation). Blount’s method should not be used in case of an insufficient fracture reduction because of the low bone remodeling potential at the distal humerus. Close observation is necessary, and surgical revision should be decided in a timely manner in case of secondary displacement. Cubitus varus results from a medial angulation at the fracture site, with or without rotation deformity, rather than from a growth disturbance at the distal humerus. It has been thought in the past that only cosmesis and a lesser degree of function were at stake in cubitus varus. Williamson and Cole obtained 95% excellent results with Blount’s method despite 22.7% cubitus varus. However, long-term complications, such as ulnar nerve palsy and posterolateral instability of the elbow, are now clearly admitted.

Six patients (2.5%) had a poor clinical result according to Flynn criteria, with a mean elbow range of motion of 124° (100°-140°) and a mean loss of extension of 17.8° (10°-25°). One presented with periarticular ossifications and was affected in his daily activities. This patient was aged 14 years at the time of the fracture, and initial pin fixation should have been selected.

We acknowledge some limitations to this study, related to its retrospective and noncomparative nature. Data analyses relied on patients’ records in only 57% of cases.

Conclusion

We were able to use Blount’s method to successfully treat 78% of presented cases of type IIB and III supracondylar humeral fractures in children. However, among the original cohort, 8.5% of the patients showed distal ischemia when placed in elbow flexion, and 10.7% did not maintain fracture reduction. In such situations, pin fixation is warranted. In carefully selected cases, Blount’s method is a reasonable option for treating type IIB and III supracondylar humeral fractures in children. Close monitoring is necessary.
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