Riparian plant species loss alters trophic dynamics in detritus-based stream ecosystems

Abstract Riparian vegetation is closely connected to stream food webs through input of leaf detritus as a primary energy supply, and therefore, any alteration of plant diversity may influence aquatic ecosystem functioning. We measured leaf litter breakdown rate and associated biological parameters in mesh bags in eight headwater streams bordered either with mixed deciduous forest or with beech forest. The variety of leaf litter types in mixed forest results in higher food quality for large-particle invertebrate detritivores (“shredders”) than in beech forest, which is dominated by a single leaf species of low quality. Breakdown rate of low quality (oak) leaf litter in coarse mesh bags was lower in beech forest streams than in mixed forest streams, a consequence of lower shredder biomass. In contrast, high quality (alder) leaf litter broke down at similar rates in both stream categories as a result of similar shredder biomass in coarse mesh bags. Microbial breakdown rate of oak and alder leaves, determined in fine mesh bags, did not differ between the stream categories. We found however aquatic hyphomycete species richness on leaf litter to positively co-vary with riparian plant species richness. Fungal species richness may enhance leaf litter breakdown rate through positive effects on resource quality for shredders. A feeding experiment established a positive relationship between fungal species richness per se and leaf litter consumption rate by an amphipod shredder (Gammarus fossarum). Our results show therefore that plant species richness may indirectly govern ecosystem functioning through complex trophic interactions. Integrating microbial diversity and trophic dynamics would considerably improve the prediction of the consequences of species loss.
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Introduction

Confronted with the dramatic species extinction rate on Earth (Pimm et al. 1995), ecologists have spent the past decade addressing the potential consequences of species loss on ecosystem function (Chapin et al. 2000; Loreau et al. 2002). Since the first experiments on the diversity–productivity relationship, there has been recent growing interest for understanding how plant diversity effects can be propagated through food webs (Hooper et al. 2000; Raffaelli et al. 2002; Thébault and Loreau 2003). Particularly relevant to this issue is the effect of altered food resources, caused by changed plant diversity, on first-order consumers (e.g., Loreau 2001; Thébault and Loreau 2003). However, this remains poorly understood, and is difficult to study, requiring simultaneous consideration of interacting multiple trophic levels (Polis et al. 1997; Raffaelli et al. 2002).

Stream detritus food webs are ideal systems in which this question can be explored. In most low order heavily shaded streams, detritus derived from terrestrial riparian vegetation represents the dominant energy supply (Vannote et al. 1980; Wallace et al. 1997). This creates a strong unidirectional link between terrestrial primary producers and aquatic consumers (Vannote et al. 1980;
Cummins et al. 1989; Bärlocher 1992; Polis et al. 1997; Wallace et al. 1997). Leaf litter is the most abundant and most predictable food supply of high quality to large-particle invertebrate detritivores (‘shredders’) and microbial decomposers (Webster and Benfield 1986; Graça 2001). Aquatic hyphomycetes fungi are the dominant microbial component in detritus food webs (Bärlocher 1992; Gessner and Chauvet 1994; Hieber and Gessner 2002). In addition to direct breakdown, aquatic hyphomycetes enhance leaf quality for shredders through conditioning (see reviews in Suberkropp 1992, 2003), involving both leaf tissue softening by fungal enzymes (Bärlocher and Kendrick 1975; Graça et al. 1993a) and providing mycelia as food (Cargill et al. 1985; Arsuffi and Suberkropp 1988).

Dynamics of stream detritus food webs are, therefore, based on the interaction between the basal resource, aquatic hyphomycetes and shredders. However, despite interest directed towards the role of species diversity among shredders and fungi in leaf litter breakdown (e.g., Jonsson and Malmqvist 2000; Bärlocher and Corkum 2003), the possible influence of diversity within the leaf litter resource per se has been neglected (Swan and Palmer 2004). Furthermore, while differences in the conditioning ability of different fungal species have been documented (e.g., Bärlocher and Kendrick 1973; Arsuffi and Suberkropp 1984, 1988; Graça et al. 1993a), the influence of fungal diversity on leaf consumption rate by shredders remains unexplored (Suberkropp 2003).

Some theoretical stream ecosystem models predict that a reduction in riparian tree diversity — and therefore in diversity of leaf litter input — will have a strong bottom-up influence on both structure and function of detritus food webs (Pringle et al. 1988; Cummins et al. 1989). Scattered evidence partly supports this statement. Composition and diversity of riparian forest vegetation was found (1) to influence aquatic hyphomycetes assemblages (Bärlocher and Graça 2002; Laitung et al. 2002; Laitung and Chauvet 2005), (2) to affect secondary production of shredders in streams (Stout et al. 1993; Friborg et al. 2002), and (3) to have an impact on leaf litter breakdown rate (Swan and Palmer 2004). Despite these individual lines of evidence, there has been no attempt to assess how leaf litter diversity may influence detritus food webs and therefore affect stream ecosystem functioning.

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that altering resource diversity entering a detritus-based stream would influence ecosystem functioning through effects on shredders and aquatic hyphomycetes. For this purpose, we compared leaf litter breakdown in headwater streams running through either mixed forests or forests dominated by beech. We used a fast and slow decomposing leaf species as, respectively, high- and low-quality food resource for shredders to assess how differences in species composition of litter inputs between both forest types influence resource–consumer links. To limit the effects of confounding factors such as litter fall timing and input amount, which might co-vary with riparian plant diversity, we focused on fully deciduous riparian vegetation comprising only native species. In addition, a feeding experiment was conducted in the laboratory to test whether changes in fungal composition and richness alter leaf consumption rate by shredders, and thus, indirectly, litter breakdown.

### Materials and methods

#### Study sites

The experiment was carried out in the Montagne Noire, South-Western France, a 1,450-km² highland region covered by a mixed broadleaf forest. Climatic conditions are marked by high rainfall (average 1,500 mm year⁻¹). Eight first- or second-order permanent streams with similar physical characteristics (Table 1) were selected in forested areas, situated between 2°05'19"E and 2°26'27"E longitude and 43°24'44"N and 43°29'23"N latitude. In all catchments, forestry management was the only major anthropogenic disturbance, without however any marked alterations of stream habitats (Laitung et al. 2002). Some areas were still under traditional forest management, in which riparian vegetation remains a diverse mixture of species; in contrast, a significant area was converted during the twentieth century to intensive production of beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). Even-aged pure beech stands had been created by selective cutting of non-commercial trees during forest rotation; this kind of treatment has little effect on the quantity of litter fall (Höl}scher et al. 2002), but reduces the diversity of leaf litter inputs considerably. The effect of riparian plant diversity was assessed by comparing four reference streams (Fraissèg€e, Ladoux, Oréval, Montaud), chosen in mixed deciduous forests, with four streams (Lestr€épe, Linon, Prune, Rieu$ou$) located in mature

### Table 1

Physical and chemical characteristics of study streams according to forest type: range of stream values for altitude, slope, catchment area, discharge (determined once at medium water level during the winter 2003) and degree-days (cumulative temperature during the time of alder and oak exposure); range of mean stream values (n=3 monthly samples) for other factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Mixed forest</th>
<th>Beech forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altitude a.s.l. (m)</td>
<td>500–800</td>
<td>500–800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (m m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.05–0.25</td>
<td>0.05–0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment area (km²)</td>
<td>0.4–2.3</td>
<td>0.6–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge (l s⁻¹)</td>
<td>14–67</td>
<td>5–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree-days (alder)</td>
<td>264.1–300.9</td>
<td>313.6–327.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree-days (oak)</td>
<td>496.5–607.4</td>
<td>597.0–655.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean temperature (°C)</td>
<td>6.5–7.8</td>
<td>7.8–8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.3–6.5</td>
<td>5.7–7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>38–62</td>
<td>27–210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (mg CaCO₃ l⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.5–7.3</td>
<td>0.9–68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-PO₄ (μg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.0–3.1</td>
<td>1.6–4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO₃ (μg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>1,151–1,803</td>
<td>395–2,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
beech forests. For each of our study streams, the whole water course from the source to the sampling station ran under the same forest type, thereby avoiding any bias due to upstream-downstream linkage.

**Stream characterization**

During the breakdown study (from mid-December 2002 to February 2003), water temperature was recorded every 2 h (SmartButton, ACR System Inc.) and water chemistry was assessed monthly. Conductivity and pH were measured in the field (WTW pH-meter 320i; WTW Cond 320i). Alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite and ammonia concentrations were determined following colorimetric standard methods (APHA 1989), while orthophosphate concentration was determined by the malachite green method, which is appropriate when quantifying extremely low concentrations (Motomizu et al. 1983).

Riparian plant diversity and composition were estimated by sampling ten naturally accumulated leaf packs with a hand net in each stream (ca. 100 m around the study site), and then pooling these to provide approximately 5 l of leaf material. Leaves were washed, sorted, oven-dried at 105°C for 48 h and weighed by species. Leaf species were then assigned to three categories (i.e., fast, medium or slow decomposing leaf species; Petersen and Cummins 1974) according to their breakdown rate in streams as determined in the literature (Webster and Benfield 1986; Gessner and Chauvet 1994) and from personal observations. Natural leaf packs were sampled on two occasions; immediately prior to beginning of the field experiment in mid-December 2002 and at its termination when the final mesh bags were removed in late February 2003.

**Leaf litter breakdown**

The litter bag method (Boulton and Boon 1991) was used to assess breakdown rate and the litter-associated biological parameters of two leaf species belonging to two distinct decomposing categories. Oak (*Quercus robur* L.) was preferred to beech, a tree species belonging to the same slow decomposing category, to avoid confounding effects because of beech leaf dominance in beech forest streams. Alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.) leaf litter was selected to represent the fast decomposing species.

Freshly fallen leaves of both species were collected at abscission during autumn 2002 and placed into single-species mesh bags. About 5 g (±0.05) of air-dried leaves were enclosed in fine (0.5-mm nylon) and coarse (10-mm plastic) mesh bags closed in a tetrahedral shape (Jonsson et al. 2001). The coarse mesh allowed large shredders such as limnephilid caddisflies to enter, whereas fine mesh excluded most of the invertebrates without interfering with microbial colonization (Boulton and Boon 1991). An experimental unit consisted of the combination of both leaf species and both types of mesh bags. Six replicates of each bag type were installed on December 13, 2002 in each of the eight streams and secured by a rebar anchored in the sediments. Prior to transporting to the field, leaf litter was sprayed with distilled water to prevent breakage during handling and transport. Four additional fine mesh bags of each leaf species were constructed but kept in the laboratory as controls to determine initial leaf ash-free dry mass (AFDM). Alder and oak bags were retrieved after 36–37 and 77–78 days, respectively, and stored individually in plastic zip-lock bags at stream temperature during transport to the laboratory.

Upon retrieval the leaves were washed individually with tap water to remove sand, exogenous organic matter and invertebrates. Two sets of five 12-mm diameter discs were cut in five leaves from fine mesh bags, avoiding the central veins, and used latter to analyse the aquatic hyphomycetes assemblage. The remaining leaf material from both tree species was dried at 105°C for 48 h and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g. Samples were then ground using a Culatti micro hammer mill with 0.5-mm mesh. Portions of about 500 mg were ashed at 550°C for 4 h, then weighed to determine the organic matter content of leaf litter dry mass.

**Fungi**

Because slow decomposing leaves were naturally present in both mixed and beech forest streams, only oak was used for fungal analysis. Five oak leaf discs were frozen at −18°C until processing for ergosterol extraction. The other five were placed into 100-ml Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 25 ml filtered stream water (Whatman glass fibre GF/F) and used to induce sporulation (production of asexual fungal spores) by gently shaking (100 rpm, 25.4 mm orbital path) at 10°C (Gessner et al. 2003). After 48 h, spore suspensions were transferred into 50-ml polyethylene centrifuge tubes, the flask and discs were rinsed with distilled water to collect spores no longer in suspension, and the volume was adjusted to 35 ml with 2 ml of 37% formalin and distilled water as required. The sets of discs were then oven-dried and weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg. Tubes were stored in the dark until counting and identification of the fungal spores. For that purpose, samples were treated with 1 ml of Triton X-100 (0.01% solution) and stirred for at least 10 min. Then, 5 ml of suspension was filtered through a membrane filter (5 μm pore size), and the spores on the filter were stained with 0.02% Trypan blue in lactic acid. At least 200 spores were identified and counted under the microscope (×320). Sporulation rate was calculated as the number of spores released per milligram leaf litter AFDM and per day.

The frozen leaf discs were used to determine ergosterol content as a measure of fungal biomass (Gessner et al. 2003). Leaf material was freeze-dried, weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg and then lipids were extracted with...
5 ml hot alkaline ethanol (KOH: 8 g l⁻¹) at 80°C for 30 min. We used solid-phase extraction (SPE) and the high-performance liquid chromatography method, slightly modified from Gessner and Schmitt (1996) to use more convenient cartridges (Waters Oasis HLB, 60 mg, 3 cc). After acidification of the extract (1 ml HCl 0.65 N), 3 ml was passed by gravitation through a conditioned cartridge and ergosterol was recovered with 1.4 ml isopropanol. Extraction efficiency (90.8 – 98.1%) was monitored for each series using control samples to calculate the ergosterol content in leaf litter. Fungal biomass in litter was expressed as ergosterol mass per milligram leaf litter AFDM.

Invertebrates

Invertebrates from coarse mesh bags were preserved in 70% ethanol, identified to genus level, assigned to functional feeding groups (Tachet et al. 2000) and counted. The biomass of each group was determined by weighing dried animals (60°C, 48 h) to the nearest 0.1 mg, and then expressed per gram AFDM of the remaining leaf litter.

Feeding experiment

Litter conditioning

Following the procedure of Suberkropp (1991), autoclaved packs of twenty 10-mm diameter leaf discs of oak were inoculated for 42 days in stream-simulating microcosms consisting of aeration chambers containing unconstrained leaf discs in 40 ml of nutrient-enriched water [per litre: 100 mg CaCl₂ 2H₂O, 10 mg MgSO₄ 2H₂O, 0.5 g morpholino propane sulfonic acid (MOPS), 100 mg KNO₃, and 5.5 mg K₂HPO₄] with aeration and pH adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH. The leaf discs were then freeze-dried (Sridhar et al. 2001) and weighed to the nearest 0.001 mg. The treatments of the feeding experiment were selected randomly among unfragmented discs.

Nine multi-species hyphomycetes combinations for each of the four richness levels (2, 4, 6 and 8 species) were drawn at random from a pool of 12 species. In addition, all species were grown in monoculture. This translated into a total of 49 treatments including one non-inoculated treatment. The fungal species used were Alatospora acuminata Ingold, Anguillospora longissima (Sacc. and Sid.) Ingold, Articulospora tetractadia Ingold, Flagellospora curvula Ingold, Goniopila monticola (Dyko) Marvanová and Descals, Heliscus lugdunensis Saccardo and Théry, Lemonniera aquatica de Wildeman, Lemonniera terrestris Tubaki, Tetrachaetum elegans Ingold, Tetracadium marchalianum de Wildeman, Tricladium chaetocladium Ingold and Tumularia aquatica Ingold (Descals and Marvanová). All strains originated from streams in the Montage Noire and these fungal species were among the most common representatives from the study streams.

Feeding trial

The feeding experiment was conducted in the laboratory to assess the link between aquatic hyphomycetes diversity and leaf consumption rate by Gammarus fossarum Koch amphipods. Animals were collected from the Montaud stream and acclimated for 1 week to the laboratory (10°C in the dark, in stream water). They were provided with conditioned leaf litter for 6 days, but starved for 24 h prior to the beginning of the experiment. One sexually mature male (9.3 ± 0.6 mm: total length ± SD) was placed in an 80-ml plastic tray filled with 60 ml filtered water from the stream (Whatman glass fibre GF/F) after the addition of a set of two pre-wetted leaf discs. Every 2 days, one-third of the water was renewed to remove excreted compounds and to add oxygen. After 5 days, the animal was removed, killed by freezing and measured to determine mass using a length–mass relationship (body mass = 0.0609×(length)² + 0.2897×length + 0.4291, where body mass is expressed in milligrams AFDM and body length in millimetres: Feltén 2003). Leaf fragments were freeze-dried and weighed to the nearest 0.001 mg. The treatments of the feeding experiment were run on two successive occasions, each run consisted of two replicates and one control (without animal). Thus a total of 294 experiments were run: [(49 treatments × 2 replicates) + 49 controls] × 2 times. Consumption rate (CR) was calculated as follows:

\[
CR = \frac{\% \text{ leaf mass loss with amphipod} - \% \text{leaf mass loss without amphipod}}{\text{amphipod body mass}}
\]

where leaf mass loss without amphipod is derived from the control treatment.

Data analysis

The effect of forest type on stream temperature, water chemistry (mean parameters over three sampling dates) and diversity of leaf litter standing stocks was investigated using the Mann-Whitney test (U-test). Both mean stream temperature and cumulative temperature (degree-days) during the exposure period of alder and oak leaves were determined. Leaf litter diversity was defined here as leaf species richness (for each sampling date and cumulative over both sampling dates), Shannon-Wiever index (Shannon 1948) and percentage of fast plus medium decomposing species.

Leaf litter breakdown rate \( k \) was calculated for each bag by Petersen and Cummins (1974) formula:

\[
k = \frac{1}{t} \times \ln \left( \frac{M_f}{M_i} \right)
\]

where \( M_i \) is the initial leaf litter AFDM (g), \( M_f \) is the leaf litter AFDM (g) remaining after exposure and \( t \) is the cumulative temperature during the time
of exposure (degree-days). Breakdown rates were calculated for coarse mesh bags (\(k_c\)) and fine mesh bags (\(k_f\)).

Differences in shredder genus assemblages between streams were assessed using correspondence analysis on square-root transformed abundance data. We used the scores from the first axes to explain more than 50% of total inertia as a synthetic variable in ANOVA. A similar procedure was applied to aquatic hyphomycetes species assemblages. These analyses were performed using ADE 4 software (Thioulouse et al. 1997).

Data were analysed using a General Linear Model procedure from Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft 2001). Type III sum of squares (SS) was used for nested designs and type I SS for multiple linear regression. We performed three-way nested-crossed ANOVAs to test whether leaf litter breakdown rates (\(k_c\) and \(k_f\)) and shredder descriptors (biomass, genus richness and axis score of correspondence analysis) depended on the leaf species used, forest type and streams. Leaf species effect (fixed) was crossed with the forest type (fixed) and the stream effect (random), which is nested within forest type. Breakdown rate \(k_c\) was also tested against shredder biomass using regression analysis, including the effect of leaf species and the leaf species x shredder biomass interactive term. Because the fungal assemblage was studied only in oak, we used two-way nested ANOVAs to test for the effect of forest type (fixed) and stream (random) on fungal descriptors (ergosterol, sporulation rate, species richness and axis score of correspondence analysis). Consumption rate (\(\text{arcsinus-transformation}\)) from the feeding experiment was analysed by two-way nested ANOVA, in which the species identity effect (random) is nested in the richness effect (fixed) of aquatic hyphomycetes. Significant differences were considered at \(P < 0.05\) in all cases.

**Results**

**Field experiment**

**Site characterization**

Streams were circum-neutral or slightly acidic with low to moderate conductivity and buffering capacity (Table 1). While orthophosphate concentration was normally low, relatively high nitrate concentration suggested substantial atmospheric deposition in the Montagne Noire. Forest conversion into pure beech forest had no consistent effect on water chemistry (\(U\)-test for all chemical parameters: \(P > 0.20\)), but water temperature was significantly higher in beech forest streams (mean difference 2.1°C; \(U\)-test: \(P < 0.05\); Table 1).

There were clear differences in the relative composition of leaf litter between forest types (Table 2). Mixed forest streams provided a high diversity, and here natural leaf packs in December were relatively diverse and contained a large proportion of fast and medium decomposing species. During the same period, beech forest streams had a significantly lower diversity of leaf litter, and fast and medium decomposing species were rare (\(U\)-test for all descriptors: \(U = 0, P = 0.021\)), their inputs being dominated by \(F.\) sylvatica and, to a lesser extent, \(Q.\) spp. (Table 2).

There were consistent reductions in leaf species richness, Shannon-Wiener diversity and percentage of fast and medium decomposing species in leaf packs in mixed forest streams between December and February, whereas no changes were observed in the beech forest streams (Table 2).

Leaf litter breakdown

Leaf litter breakdown rate in coarse (\(k_c\)) and fine (\(k_f\)) mesh bags was primarily dependent on leaf species; hence breakdown rate of alder was around twice as fast as that of oak (Fig. 1a, b). In coarse mesh bags, the most striking result was the appreciably lower oak litter breakdown rate in beech forest streams, which was approximately one-third that in mixed forest streams (Fig. 1a). However this effect resulted from a leaf species-by-forest type interaction (Table 3). No forest effect was found for alder leaf litter (Fig. 1a, b), and forest type was not significant per se (Table 3). In the fine mesh bags, microbial breakdown rate (\(k_f\)) did not show the same pattern (Fig. 1b), with no effect of either forest type or leaf species-by-forest type interaction (Table 3).

Stream, and to a less extent, leaf species-by-stream interaction determined leaf litter breakdown in coarse (\(k_c\)) and fine (\(k_f\)) mesh bags (Table 3).

Shredders accounted for more than 90% of the macroinvertebrate biomass in both alder and oak coarse mesh bags. Shredder biomass varied with forest type following the same pattern as breakdown rate \(k_c\) (Fig. 2), and hence we found a significant leaf species-by-forest type interaction (Table 3). There were also consistent differences in shredder biomass across streams (Table 3). At bag-level, shredder biomass was related to leaf litter breakdown rate \(k_c\), independently of leaf species used. Thus, after suppressing the leaf species effect (GLM type I SS: \(F_{1.81} = 20.1, P < 0.0001\)), shredder biomass appeared to be positively related to \(k_c\) (\(F_{1.81} = 21.4, P < 0.0001, \text{normalized coefficient of regression} = 0.37\)). The leaf species-by-shredder biomass interaction was not significant (\(F_{1.81} = 2.0, P = 0.16\)).

Taxonomic structure of shredder assemblage, as determined by the first axis of the correspondence analysis, differed significantly between the two leaf species used (Table 3). The amphipod \(G.\) fossarum was the dominant shredder in oak, and to a less extent, in alder leaf bags; other common shredders were Plecoptera, mainly \(N.\) in alder and \(P.\) in oak, and Trichoptera, mainly \(P.\) (Table 4).

There was no effect of forest type per se or of leaf species-by-forest type interaction on shredder genus richness or assemblage structure, although both parameters showed significant variations with stream and leaf species-by-stream interaction (Table 3).
Although microbial breakdown rate ($k_f$) of oak leaves did not differ between forest types (Fig. 1b), aquatic hyphomycetes species richness varied consistently (Table 5). Total species richness per stream (combining the six replicated bags) ranged from 20 to 28 in mixed forest streams and 12–18 in beech forest streams. Total fungal and leaf species richness were positively related by a log-linear function ($r^2=0.656$, $F_{1,6}=11.5$, $P=0.015$; data not shown). In contrast, fungal biomass

Table 2 Leaf species composition in mixed and beech forest streams in December and February; LC = leaf decomposing category: slow (S), medium (M) and fast (F) decomposing leaves; for each species, the range of percentage occurrence (by dry mass) across the four study streams in each forest type is given for each collection period. Ranges of stream values are also presented for percentage (by dry mass) of fast and medium decomposing leaf species (F + M), leaf species richness, and Shannon-Wiever index scores; total species richness refers to cumulated value by stream over the two sampling dates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf species</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>Mixed forest</th>
<th>Beech forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fagaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagus sylvatica L.</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>12.0–28.6</td>
<td>6.2–59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hedera helix L.</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–1.3</td>
<td>0.0–5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ilex aquifolium L.</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–1.1</td>
<td>0.0–1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platanus hybrida Broth.</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–0.3</td>
<td>0.0–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quercus petrea Lieblin</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–44.0</td>
<td>7.2–80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q. robur L.</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–21.6</td>
<td>3.9–12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q. rubra L.</strong></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0–0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acer campestre L.</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Betula pendula Roth</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–22.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Castanea sativa Miller</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–49.7</td>
<td>0.0–26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corylus avellena L.</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.3–52.1</td>
<td>0.0–8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crateagus monogyna Jacq.</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robinia pseudoacacia L.</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sorbus aria (L.) Crantz</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.0–1.6</td>
<td>0.0–0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alnus glutinosla (L.) Gaertn</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fraxinus excelsior L.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rubus fruticosus L.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–0.5</td>
<td>0.0–1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salix caprea L.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. cinerea L.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–15.5</td>
<td>0.0–0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sorbus aucuparia L.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tilia cordata M.</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0–0.3</td>
<td>0.0–0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F + M</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.8–72.5</td>
<td>1.0–27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species richness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>4–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shannon-Wiever index</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05–2.28</td>
<td>0.91–1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total species richness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1** Alder and oak litter breakdown rate in degree-days$^{-1}$ (+1SE) in streams surrounded by mixed forest (open bars) and beech forest (black bars); a breakdown rate in coarse mesh bags ($k_c$); b breakdown rate in fine mesh bags ($k_f$).

**Fig. 2** Shredder biomass per gram leaf litter AFDM (mean +1SE) in alder and oak coarse mesh bags in streams surrounded by mixed forest (open bars) and beech forest (black bars); note the logarithmic scale on the vertical axis.
Feeding experiment

The feeding experiment showed that consumption of oak leaf litter by *G. fos sarum* was positively related to fungal species richness. After 5 days, leaf consumption by the amphipods ranged over the treatments between 1 and 18.4% (Fig. 3a). Because the results did not differ significantly between the two experimental runs (one-way ANOVA: $F_{1,43,1} = 0.66, P = 0.42$), the nested ANOVA did not include this effect. Consumption rate of leaves increased substantially when inoculated with aquatic hyphomycetes compared to non-inoculated leaves ($t$-test: $t_{4,199} = 3.0, P$-one-side-tail = 0.001; Fig. 3a). Leaves inoculated with a monoculture of *G. monticola* were consumed at least two times faster than all other treatments (Fig. 3a). As a result, a first nested ANOVA highlighted a significant fungal species identity effect on consumption rate ($F_{4,3,1} = 1.74, P = 0.048$), caused by the monoculture of *G. monticola* (Tukey’s test: $P < 0.05$). This obviously hid the fungal species richness effect ($F_{4,3} = 1.40, P = 0.25$), finally highlighted in a second nested ANOVA excluding the monoculture of *G. monticola* ($F_{4,42} = 3.36, P = 0.0178$). Fungal species richness and consumption rate were then related by a positive log-linear relationship (Fig. 3b). Fungal species identity effect was removed without the monoculture of *G. monticola* ($F_{4,2,1} = 0.88, P = 0.68$; statistical power = 0.81).

Discussion

Riparian plant species reduction alters resource quality for shredders

Leaf litter breakdown in the study streams was apparently mainly mediated by invertebrate activity, as shown by the breakdown rates that are five times higher in coarse mesh compared with fine mesh bags (Fig. 1a, b). As in Hieber and Gessner’s (2002) mathematical model, shredder biomass primarily determined invertebrate activity in coarse mesh bags. Hence, the six-fold difference in shredder biomass in oak leaves between the two stream categories (Fig. 2) probably accounted for the three-fold difference in litter breakdown rate (Fig. 1a). The difference in shredder biomass between forest types was not associated with a shift in shredder genus assemblage. Thus variations in leaf litter breakdown rate were a simple consequence of variations in shredder abundance and body mass (Jonsson and Malmqvist 2000; Zhang et al. 2003). As a result, we can expect direct effects of riparian plant species loss on shredder populations as suggested by Cummins et al. (1989).

Difference in shredder biomass on oak leaves between forest types was associated with species diversity and composition of leaf litter inputs. As the fast and medium decomposing species were greatly depressed in beech forest, our results suggest a bottom-up control on shredders by leaf litter quality. Such a relationship has rarely been established at stream ecosystem-level, although it is supported by results from microcosm experiments (Ward and Cummins 1979; Canhoto and Graça 1995; Yanoviak 1999; González and Graça 2003). The highest shredder biomass were recorded in litter bags placed in mixed forest streams, where litter inputs included a large amount of fast and medium decomposing leaf species. These leaves represent high quality resources to shredders because of their low concentration of refractory compounds and high nutrient (N and P) content (Webster and Benfield 1986; Graça 2001; Gjerlov and Richardson 2004). Exploitation of a high quality food resource is likely to lead to higher secondary production of shredders and hence greater biomass (Stout et al. 1993; Friberg et al. 2002). Water temperature is probably not a confounding factor in this study because high temperature would normally be expected to increase shredder biomass and fungal activity (Ward and Cummins 1979; Chauvet and Suberkropp 1998; González and Graça 2003), whereas these were lowest or unaltered in the warmest streams. The leaf species-by-forest type interaction in determining litter breakdown rate and shredder biomass (Table 4) suggested aggregation of

---

**Table 3** ANOVA for total leaf breakdown rate ($k_1$), microbial leaf breakdown ($k_2$) and shredder assemblage descriptors (transformations are indicated in parentheses) in the litter bags in mixed and beech forest streams; shredder biomass was standardized by litter AFDM remaining in coarse mesh bag; shredder assemblage structure was tested using score from axis 1 of correspondence analysis (CA) summarized as 58.3% of total inertia of the table (shredder genus × litter bag).
animals onto alder leaves placed in beech forest streams. Alder bags hence formed ‘resource islands’ of high quality that the most mobile shredders are likely to track and exploit (Rowe and Richardson 2001; Zhang et al. 2003). Thus rapid breakdown of alder leaves, even in beech forest streams, would provide additional evidence for food quality limitation to shredders.

Fungal diversity on leaf litter alters shredder performance

If shredders in streams select resource patches with the highest quality, the significantly higher biomass of shredders on oak bags in mixed forest rather than beech forest streams would imply that shredder response is not determined simply by initial chemical composition of leaf litter. Nutritional quality of a slow decomposing leaf species such as oak will vary across forest types as a result of microbial conditioning effects. Evidence for this comes from the observed differences in fungal diversity colonizing such leaf litter. Litter conditioning by aquatic hyphomycetes can consistently enhance resource quality for shredders (Arsuffi and Suberkropp 1988; Grac¸ a et al. 1993a), so enhanced diversity of enzymes involved in partial hydrolysis of leaf tissue (Arsuffi and Suberkropp 1984; Chamier 1985; B¨ arlocher 1992). It is probable that differences occur between the enzymes of the most important determinants of diversity among riparian plant species richness indirectly influences leaf breakdown rate

Riparian plant species richness indirectly influences leaf breakdown rate

Riparian vegetation composition and structure are some of the most important determinants of diversity among aquatic hyphomycetes assemblages (Gulis 2001; B¨ arlocher and Gra¸ ca 2002; Laitung et al. 2002; Laitung and Chauvet 2005). Recently, Laitung and Chauvet (2005) found a positive relationship between woody plant species richness and richness of free conidia of aquatic hyphomycetes in adjacent streams. The present study corroborates this result and extends it to leaf-associated assemblages. It therefore provides evidence for the indirect functional importance of riparian plant species richness on leaf litter breakdown in streams, as fungal richness enhances shredder performance.

Table 4 Shredder assemblages identified at genus level, in alder and oak coarse mesh bags; mean (SE) relative abundances (%) calculated separately for alder and oak bags

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Alder</th>
<th>Oak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphipoda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammarus</td>
<td>33.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>57.3 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plecoptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemoa</td>
<td>19.2 (4.2)</td>
<td>7.1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protonemura</td>
<td>7.1 (3.3)</td>
<td>10.0 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuctra</td>
<td>4.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipiniosa</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichoptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamophylax</td>
<td>15.3 (3.5)</td>
<td>9.3 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sericostoma</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontocerum</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptothrix</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydratophylax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiephala</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipula</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleoptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elodes</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 ANOVA for descriptors (data not transformed) of aquatic hyphomycetes species assemblages colonizing oak leaves in fine mesh bags in mixed and beech forest streams; ergosterol content represented the fungal biomass on leaves; sporulation rate represented the reproductive activity of aquatic hyphomycetes; fungal assemblage structure was tested using score from axis 1 and 2 of correspondence analysis (CA) summarized respectively as 35.1 and 15.0% of total inertia of the table (aquatic hyphomycetes species × litter bag)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>Stream</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ergosterol content (µg mg⁻¹ leaf AFDM)</td>
<td>0.6 0.4599 3.5 0.0069</td>
<td>4.1 0.0884 10.7 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal sporulation rate (no mg⁻¹ leaf AFDM day⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.0 0.0385 1.2 0.3201</td>
<td>1.1 0.3161 59.9 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal species richness (CA axis 1)</td>
<td>1.5 0.2562 15.8 &lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal assemblage structure (CA axis 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
richness through host-specificity of some endophytes (Kowalski and Kehr 1996; Petrini 1996), and these terrestrial species are suspected to inoculate streams. Although the origin of aquatic hyphomycetes in streams remains partially obscure (Bärlocher 1992), their regular occurrence in the terrestrial environment (Bandoni 1981), often as endophytes of riparian vegetation (Fisher et al. 1991; Sridhar and Bärlocher 1992), makes this hypothesis plausible.

Conclusion

Our results clearly illustrate the need to integrate trophic dynamics into diversity-ecosystem function research (Loreau et al. 2002; Raffaelli et al. 2002; Thébault and Loreau 2003). The indirect effect of plant species richness on leaf litter breakdown is certainly the most relevant finding emerging from this study. We provide some of the clearest evidence to date that microbial diversity can regulate decomposition through trophic interactions. Finally our study demonstrates the importance of conserving the riparian zone diversity, and especially woody plant species richness. However, methodological limitation of our study area resulted in a covariance of quality of litter input and riparian plant richness. Therefore, the relative importance of species composition and overall richness per se in explaining differences in leaf litter breakdown between mixed and beech forest streams remains unclear.
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reported in terrestrial ecosystems, remain largely unknown despite being potentially numerous (Hooper et al. 2000). In addition to few cases of preference of aquatic hyphomycetes for particular leaf species (Gulis 2001), we suggest three non-exclusive mechanisms to explain the close relationship between fungal and riparian plant richness, niche differentiation, energy availability and host specificity. The two former mechanisms assume direct trophic determinism by litter and the latter a biotic association between living trees and fungi. Thus niche differentiation may allow more species to coexist in streams under the richest riparian vegetation as organic compound richness increases with plant richness (Loreau 2001). At the same time, local extinction of rare species would be avoided by higher energy availability (Wright 1983) related to the presence of plant species producing high quality leaf litter. The host-specificity explanation is supported by the fact that more tree species may lead to an increase in terrestrial fungal
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**Fig. 3** a consumption rate (mean ± 1SE) of *G. fossarum* on oak leaf discs in relation to fungal species identity and combination: non-inoculated treatment (0 = sterile discs; open bar); conditioned by different fungal monoculture (*At* Alatospora acuminata, *Al* Anguillospora longissima, *At* Articulospora tetractadla, *Fc* Flagellospora curvula, *Gm* Goniopila monticola, *Hl* Heliscus lugdunensis, *La* Lemmoniera aquatica, *Lt* Lemmoniera terrestris, *Te* Tetracladium elegans, *Tm* Tetracladium marchalianum, *Tc* Tricladium chaetoctadium and *Ta* Tumulalaria aquatica; grey bars); multi-species fungal combinations for the richness level 2, 4, 6 and 8 (black bars); b log-linear regression curve was adjusted for fungal species richness and mean consumption rate (± 1SE) by richness level; the monoculture of *Gm* was excluded in Fig. 3b.
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# Leaf consumption rate (mean +1SE) of *T. tumulularia aquatica*; grey bars; multi-species fungal combinations for the richness level 2, 4, 6 and 8 (black bars); b log-linear regression curve was adjusted for fungal species richness and mean consumption rate (± 1SE) by richness level; the monoculture of *Gm* was excluded in Fig. 3b.
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