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Thermo-mechanical processing of sugar beet pulp. III. Study of extruded films improvement with various plasticizers and cross-linkers
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**Abstract**

Thermoplastic sugar beet pulp (thermo-mechanical processing was discussed in previous studies) was formed into film strips by extrusion. Film tensile properties are discussed according to the molecular structure of external plasticizer. Sorbitol, fructose and adipic acid have a marked antiplasticizing effect, while urea and xylitol gave higher ultimate tensile stress than glycerol for a comparable strain at break. Xylitol can be considered as the best plasticizer with UTS and EL of, respectively, 4.9 MPa and 11.3% and water absorption (85% RH, 25°C) was less than 25%. Glycidyl methacrylate was directly used in the extrusion process as cross-linker. In high humidity atmosphere (97% RH, 25°C), film water absorption was then kept under 40% while tensile strength and strain were improved of 50% and with a 30 min UV post-treatment the mass gain in absorption was even less than 30% after 5 days.

1. Introduction

Sugar beet pulp (SBP) is the by-product of the sugar extraction process. It consists mainly of cell-wall polysaccharides: arabinans and arabinoxylans hemicelluloses (Sun and Hughes, 1999), highly methylated and acetylated pectins (Oosterveld et al., 2000) and cellulose microfibrils (Dinand et al., 1996). Due to such composition, it is therefore only used as a food complement for animal feed (Bach Knudsen, 1997). However expensive drying process, low protein content and large amounts available have led to investigations to find some alternative uses for this material. Adding value to isolated compounds was first considered: alimentary fibres (Michel et al., 1988), cellulose microfibrils (Dinand et al., 1999), pectins (Oosterveld et al., 2001; Turquois et al., 1999) or ferulic acid (Micard et al., 1994). Raw pulp has as well been proposed as cultivation substrate (Yoo and Harcum, 1999), for divalent cations complexation (Dronnet et al., 1999), as source of polyols for the production of urethanes and polyurethanes (Pavier and Gandini, 2000), as source of fibre in biodegradable composites (Baar et al., 1997; Turbaux, 1997; Liu et al., 2007; Leitner et al., 2007; Mohamed et al., 2008) or for paper manufacture (Wong and Bregola, 1997; Fiserova et al., 2007). Development of SBP-based materials has been considered through the breakdown of the cell structure by chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis (Berghofer et al., 1992) or by thermo-mechanical processing.

In previous studies, we have demonstrated that, in specific conditions, SBP cellular structure could be broken down by twin-screw extrusion processing. SBP becomes then thermoplastic and could be described as a composite made of cellulose micro-fibrils embedded in a matrix constituted of hemicelluloses and pectins that can be molded by injection (Rouilly et al., 2006a,b). Physical chemical properties of extruded pulp (EP), such as hydration and glass transition in relation with “melt” viscosity have then been studied to define optimal conditions for its thermo-mechanical processing in low moisture conditions (Rouilly et al., 2006a,b). In this last study, we used original DSC methods to, on the one hand, characterize precisely the interaction levels between EP and sorbed water, and, on the other hand, to predict processing temperature according to EP water content.

Even more than for bio-based molded objects there is an industrial need for biodegradable films especially for packaging applications. But, due to average plastic properties, most of natural polymers-based films are produced by casting or thermoforming (Rouilly and Rigal, 2002) while extrusion is a continuous, fast and energy efficient process (Fishman et al., 2000). Scientific work has then been focused on composites made of mixtures of natural and synthetic biodegradable polymers (Averous, 2004). Studies of film extrusion of natural polymers concern essentially starch or soy protein blends (Fishman et al., 2000, 2004; Zhang et al., 2001) and nothing has been made about raw agricultural by-products processing and non-starchy polysaccharides use.

But once SBP cellular structure breakdown is achieved, SBP is thermoplastic and has a particularly fine microstructure as
cellulose is in a microfibrillar state. It is then a perfect cheap substrate to investigate possible film extrusion.

In the present work, we have then studied film formation from EP and the improvement of films properties through the use of various plasticizers and cross-linkers.

2. Methods

2.1. Material

The sugar beet pulp (DM = 89.9\%) originated at the Cagny site (France) was transformed following the previously defined twin-screw extrusion procedure (Rouilly et al., 2006a,b).

Glycerol, sorbitol, galactose, adipic acid, fructose, trimethylolpropane, urea, xylitol, ethylene glycol, triethylene glycol TEG, tetraethylene glycol TEEG, triethanolamine, dimethyl sulfoxide DMSO, 1,3-dimethylurea, ethanolamine, diethanolamine, glycicyl methacrylate and sodium persulfate were provided by Aldrich (St. Quentin Fallavier, France) and were of analytic purity and used as received.

2.2. Mixture preparation

Extruded pulp (EP) was grinded through a 1 mm grid. It was then mixed with water (30 parts for 100 parts of EP, corresponding to a moisture content of 44.4\% w/w on a dry basis), plasticizers and cross linkers in a planetary mixer Perrier 32.00 mixer (Montrouge, France). The mixtures were then stored in hermetical containers at 4°C for 24 h before the extrusion.

The experiments with various plasticizers were carried out with a plasticizer ratio of 30 parts for 100 parts of EP.

For the addition of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA), the mixtures were prepared adding 25 parts of GMA and 0.5 parts of sodium persulfate (initiator) to the reference blend (100 parts, 30 parts of glycerol and 30 parts of water).

2.3. Extrusion

Film forming was carried out on a Polylab Haake system (Karlsruhe, Germany) consisting of a single-screw extruder (18 mm diameter screw and 1.8 compression rate) fitted with a 50 mm wide sheet die. Operating conditions are summarized in Table 1.

2.4. Tensile properties

A TA-XT2 texture analyzer (RHEO Stable Micro Systems, London, UK) was used to assess the mechanical properties of the films. The test samples, seven specimens per film, were 65 mm long and 5 mm wide. They were equilibrated at 25°C and 60% RH until constant weight. Their thickness was measured at five points with a digital micrometer (model IDC-112B, Mitutoya Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and the mean value recorded. A speed of 1 mm/s was used, with an initial grip separation of 55 mm.

Ultimate tensile stress (UTS), Young’s modulus (E) and elongation (EL) were then calculated for each specimen.

2.5. Water adsorption

Film pieces equilibrated at 60% RH were placed in a hermetic container above a saturated KCl solution (85% RH) during 25 days for plasticizers comparison and a saturated solution of K₂SO₄ (97% RH) during 6 days for cross-linked films until some of them were not any more handleable. They were regularly weighted and their mass gain calculated in relation to their initial mass. Reported values are the average of three experiments.

2.6. Post treatment

UV treatment of GMA containing films was done by exposing the test specimens under a 254 nm radiation for 2, 10 and 30 min. Specimens were turned upside down at half-treatment.

2.7. Water contact angle

Circular test specimens (10 mm diam.) were used to make the measurement. Milli-q water was used to depose a drop (3 μL) on the surface of the disk and the static contact angle was measured with a goniometer (GBX Instruments, France), equipped with an automatic camera registering still images every 0.1 s. Contact angles were measured automatically using the triple point calculation method. Three specimens were used for each sample. Two contact angle measurements were done per specimen.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Plasticizer effect

3.1.1. Influence of glycerol content

The study of glycerol content influence on films mechanical properties was the first step of the process set up. Without any

![Fig. 1. Picture of an extruded pulp film strip (left) and optical micrographs of films microstructure (right).](image)
external plasticizer, extrusion was possible but flow was irregular and after drying the formed strips were brittle, while the use of glycerol allowed to get continuous film (Fig. 1). As sugar beet pulp is a crude material, films were not totally homogeneous. Two types of inclusions were observed on optical micrographs: black spots and larger bright zones. If their origin is not completely determined, the bright areas could be residues of beet vascular bundle and the black inclusions aggregates of cooked low molecular weight sugars (Fig. 1).

Adding 20, 30 and 40 parts of glycerol to the reference compound led to a large decrease of both ultimate tensile stress and Young's modulus associated, as expected, to an increase of the strain (Fig. 2). This increase reached a plateau value around 7% as soon as 20 parts were added while UTS dropped to 2.4 MPa.

Most of biopolymer films described in the literature are protein-based (Rouilly and Rigal, 2002) and their maximum strain can reach 300% by adding glycerol (Micard et al., 2000). For polysaccharide-based films elongation remains smaller (Arvanitoyannis and Biliaderis, 1999; Nakatsuka et al., 1978) especially for films made from pectin. For example in the work of Pavlath et al. (1999), elongation of pectic films made by casting never exceeded 8% for a plasticizer content of 40 parts. In small amount, glycerol affinity to polysaccharides is good enough for it to penetrate the network and weaken the polymer–polymer interactions but also maybe too strong to allow large scale chain movement.

However trials on specific gluten plasticizers, especially triethanolamine, showed that strong interactions between the biopolymer and the plasticizer can impressively increase film strain without strength reduction (Irissin-Mangata et al., 2001). There is so a second crucial parameter which has to be steric hinderance. A bulky molecule may interact more easily with many macromolecules instead of being linked to only one.

3.1.2. Influence of plasticizer molecular structure

Regarding the complex structure of thermoplastic EP, a complete study of plasticizer/polymer interaction was very difficult to investigate. The aim of our experiments was then to compare different available molecules, some of them being not usual in this kind of study, to evaluate a possible improvement of EP-based materials. All blends have been prepared with a constant mass ratio of plasticizer (30 parts for 100 parts of EP) and as plasticizers molecular weight varies roughly from 60 to 180 the molecular ratio was not kept constant. This would be discussed later.

When compared to a film made without any external plasticizer (reference), adding plasticizer caused a decrease of the ultimate tensile strength from 19.2 MPa for the reference to 1.2 MPa with diethanolamine while the strain varied from 1.4% to 11.8% with xylitol (Fig. 3). More precisely, three different trends appeared.

The first three plasticizers (sorbitol, galactose and adipic acid) affected only very slightly the strength and did not bring more elongation to EP films. They act at this concentration as antiplasticizers (Gaudin et al., 1999) and did not bring more relative motion to biopolymer chains. Second consequence of this effect, the diffusion of small molecules in the polymer network is hindered (Shimazu et al., 2007) as observed on the water adsorption experiments (Fig. 4). The water mass absorbed after 25 days (600 h) was less than 13% for galactose and adipic acid. Sorbitol had an intermediate absorption behavior, the mass gain reached 23%. On molecular structure level, adipic acid is the only molecule with an apolar moiety explaining a weaker compatibility with the highly hydrophilic EP. Concerning the two sugars, their molecular weight is twice more than glycerol one and so their molecular ratio is twice smaller explaining the antiplasticizing effect. However molecular structure affected as well the plasticizing effect: galactose, sorbitol and fructose have almost the same molecular weight but their effect on film properties was different. Galactose really acted as antiplasticizer (no strain increase and low water absorption), sor-
bitol with this ratio seemed to have an intermediate effect, high water absorption and low elongation, the ratio used corresponds to the 27% concentration found as limit value on starch/sorbitol films (Gaudin et al., 1999), while fructose had a more marked plasticizing effect, elongation of films made with fructose reached 8.0% (Fig. 3).

A second group of molecules, which includes fructose, appeared in the study of films mechanical properties. These molecules (fructose, trimethylolpropane, urea, xylitol, triethylene glycol TEG and tetraethylene glycol TEEG) caused a decrease of film UTS but limited to 4 MPa correlated with a real increase of elongation. Among them three plasticizers gave some interesting results. Films containing fructose showed good elongation and good strength (respectively 8.0% and 10.4 Mpa), this positive effect of fructose has already been reported in pea starch films (Zhang and Han, 2008). With xylitol the elongation was the highest while UTS remained relatively high (respectively 11.2% and 4.9 Mpa) and finally urea gave some unexpected results (5.3 MPa and 9.0%), while it was never considered as a good plasticizer for starch (Ma and Yu, 2004). In this group, linear molecules (TEG and TEEG) gave some average results with low strain resulting from a smaller steric hindrance. Considering water absorption, if fructose, xylitol and trimethylolpropane gave some similar results as sorbitol with mass gain remaining lower than 25% (Fig. 4), films made with urea absorbed more than 30%. This could indicate an important difference related to the way urea interacts with polyols by hydrogen bonding from the oxygen of the carbonyl function.

The last group, comprising triethanolamine, glycerol, DMSO, 1,3-dimethyleurea, ethylene glycol, ethanolamine and diethanolmine, gave the worst results. If the strain at break of films containing triethanolamine, glycerol and 1,3-dimethyleurea were quite good (respectively 7.2%, 9.3% and 8.6%) the drop of the ultimate tensile strength was high (respectively 2.4, 2.1 and 2.1 MPa). The other films were discarded of the water absorption study.

3.2. Chemical cross-linking of extruded films

Water sensitivity is the major drawback of polysaccharide-based materials and chemical cross-linking is a promising way of improving this. Compound choice is driven by reaction conditions (temperature, catalyst...) but by toxicity also as all reactive compounds tend to be toxic. Dialdehydes are some interesting candidates as they are reactive and their reaction with free hydroxyl groups borne by polysaccharides does not generate any co-products. Unfortunately experiments conducted using 1.5 to 15 parts of glyoxal (ethanal, less toxic of all dialdehydes and efficient to enhance cotton protein-based material (Marquié et al., 1997)) to the basic pre-extrusion mixture (100 parts EP, 30 parts glycerol, 30 parts water), did not improve the water resistance of films. Competition between water, glycerol and polysaccharide hydroxyls was definitely not in the advantage of biopolymers.

The choice of not modifying the process by adding a complicated post treatment finally led us to a different kind of cross-linking using glycidyl methacrylate (GMA).

GMA is a bifunctional compound bearing epoxy and acrylic moieties. It is then commonly used to functionalize synthetic polymers or compatibilize polar and apolar polymers (Pan et al., 2002; Tedesco et al., 2002). The epoxy moiety reacts around 40–60 °C with any nucleophilic function and by free radical addition between acrylic groups covalent cross-linking can be initiated. GMA has been used on bio-based polymers: starch and polycaprolactone (Kim et al., 2001), gelatin (Koeppf et al., 1993), chitosan (Elizalde-Pena et al., 2007; Noble et al., 1999), pectin (Maior et al., 2008) or to treat wood (Devil and Maji, 2007) and can be directly added in a reactive extrusion process (Alyamac and Yilmazer, 2007).

Even if GMA has a poor water solubility, it was directly added to the reference mixture in the planetary mixer. Operating extrusion conditions were identical excepting the screw speed which had to be increased to 50 rpm to ensure a good flow of the mixture.

Addition of GMA improved greatly films tensile properties even before UV treatment, UTS and EL reached respectively 6.6 MPa and 11.3% without a significant change of the Young's modulus (Table 2). Strength increase was attributed to covalent cross-linking and was confirmed by UV treatment which resulted in an another slight improvement of film properties. The strain increase was more surprising. The possible synthesis of a new plasticizer by reaction between GMA and water could be a reason but it could not be confirmed. And as water absorption is really decreased with GMA this should only be a side effect (Fig. 5).

Water sensitivity of the extruded films was really improved by GMA. In an atmosphere almost saturated in water, the mass gain after more than 5 days remained under 40% while it reached 70% and diverged for the sample made only with glycerol. UV treatment efficiency was particularly remarkable for duration of 30 min, the mass gain at the end of the experiment was less than 30% and tended to a plateau value. In addition, when soaked in water for a week, this sample did not dissolve. For a shorter exposure, UV did not seem to really enhance film properties (Fig. 5).
However, the treatment did not improve the surface properties towards water. It caused a decrease of the water contact angle from 45° to value around 35° (Table 2). This phenomenon has been attributed to a displacement of glycerol towards the outer part of the cross-linked polymer network. The decrease of free hydroxyl groups with the chemical grafting of GMA could hinder glycerol–polysaccharide interactions. This was also observed during the water absorption experiments: glycerol seeped towards sample surface and was then responsible for the larger results deviation of contact angle measurement.

4. Conclusion

Sugar beet pulp can be formed into film strips by extrusion. Being an industrial by-products and a crude material, it can be a promising alternative to classical polysaccharides used for this kind of application (i.e. starch or cereal flour). Films get average mechanical properties due to the small molecular weight of EP polysaccharides (Rouilly et al., 2006a,b) and heterogeneous microstructure.

The use of an external plasticizer is necessary to ensure regular flow in the die. Glycerol is most common plasticizer but did not give the best results when compare to other molecules. Sorbitol, fructose and adipic acid have a marked antiplasticizing effect. However, the treatment did not improve the surface properties towards water. It caused a decrease of the water contact angle from 45° to value around 35° (Table 2). This phenomenon has been attributed to a displacement of glycerol towards the outer part of the cross-linked polymer network. The decrease of free hydroxyl groups with the chemical grafting of GMA could hinder glycerol–polysaccharide interactions. This was also observed during the water absorption experiments: glycerol seeped towards sample surface and was then responsible for the larger results deviation of contact angle measurement.

Fig. 5. Water absorption kinetic of GMA containing EP films in high relative humidity atmosphere (97% RH, 25°C).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Film</th>
<th>UV treatment duration (min)</th>
<th>UTS (Mpa)</th>
<th>€ (Mpa)</th>
<th>EL (%)</th>
<th>α (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>179 ± 12</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>44 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMA</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>160 ± 14</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>174 ± 13</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>159 ± 11</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>164 ± 13</td>
<td>11.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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