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Abstract—This paper presents a method for choosing the most appropriate contact model from several models, by comparing their efficiency for predicting hysteresis behaviour in different applications. The first part describes an application for a belt tensioner used for automotive engines. The second part concerns an academic application and focuses on a simple case of vibration in the presence of dry friction. The hysteresis loops obtained at contact locations are usually used to reproduce the nonlinear forces transmitted along the contact surface as accurately as possible. Although such techniques can be used when the contact zone is easily accessible, this is not generally possible for real, complex structures. Consequently, different techniques are developed and validated.
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I. Introduction

One of the most common failure modes for mechanical components is high-cycle fatigue. To avoid high amplitudes and extend the working life of machines, natural frequencies have to be distinguished from excitation frequencies. However, in practice, real structures are characterized by so many natural frequencies that it is impossible to eliminate resonance, while vibratory stresses must to be reduced either by using specific devices (for example, belt tensioners for automotive applications) or by increasing structural damping (friction devices in blade-disc assemblies in turbomachinery).

The objective of this work is to improve understanding and modelling of stick/slip phenomena encountered in different industrial applications. For example, coupling devices (Griffin [1]) are introduced in bladed stages to increase resonance frequencies above the range of possible excitations. They are not only often used to stiffen flexible structures, but also to increase damping by dry friction, thereby reducing the amplitude of vibration. Likewise, tensioners used in belt drive systems act as passive controllers by maintaining nominal tension in the slack span and reducing transverse vibration levels (Beikmann [2]).

The literature describes many kinds of contact models. Friction devices (Panning et al. [3]) are used in many mechanical applications to decrease vibrational amplitudes and reduce stress levels. Different contact models (Menq [4]), (Mindlin [5]) and (Petrov and Ewins [6]) have been developed to simulate the dynamic behaviour of structures with dry friction effects. The hysteretic behaviour of components allows efficient control of mechanical systems but their significant nonlinearity [7, 8] makes predicting response difficult. Vestrioni and Noori in [8] and Visintin in [9] give an overview of hysteresis models.

However, choosing the best adapted contact model for a specific application is far from obvious. Rheological models and restoring force models are the two main categories used in mechanical engineering to predict component behaviour, as described by Michon [10]. The former provide damping and stiffness parameters (see §3), while the latter provide a restoring force to be introduced in the second member of equations. The objective of this work is to prove, via different applications, that different phenomenological and rheological models can be used successfully when linked with pertinent hypotheses and/or measurements. Therefore analysis of the contact can be “global” and encompass an entire mechanical system or else it can require more precision in order to describe the real phenomena in the contact zone. Firstly, the application of a restoring force model is tested with sufficient accuracy for a belt tensioner automotive system. A second application demonstrates that Frequency Response Functions can be used to facilitate the choice between more dedicated macro or microslip models.

II. A phenomenological model used on an automotive tensioner

Satisfying technological challenges often leads to complicated design solutions for tensioners, and involves considerably nonlinear behaviour mainly due to stick-slip motion, see for example [13, 14]. The modified Dahl model [11, 12], known as the restoring
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force model or phenomenological model, is selected for this analysis and used globally, without analyzing the real nature of the contact or dissipation. The modified Dahl model is based on a first differential equation that provides the time derivative of restoring force F from the velocity of deflection u and from the envelope curves of the hysteresis.

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \beta \frac{du}{dt} \left( h - F \text{sgn} \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right) \right)
\]

(1)

\[
h = \frac{1}{2} \left( h_u + h_l \right) \text{sgn} \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right) + \left( h_u - h_l \right)
\]

(2)

Parameters \(\beta\) and \(\mu\) are constants. Functions \(h_u\) and \(h_l\) define respectively the upper and lower asymptotic equations of the model. These functions depend on many parameters such as forcing frequency, motion amplitude and temperature. An initial experimental set-up is used for identifying the model parameters associated with the global dissipation of the system. Then, a second set-up permits comparing the predicted and measured FRFs in order to discuss the efficiency of the model proposed.

II.1 Description and parameters identification

As illustrated in figure 1, the tensioner is composed of four parts: Part 1 is a solid (Idler pulley) that rotates around axis \(\Delta = (AB)\) of part 2; part 2 is the tensioner arm, ABC, that rotates around the fixed axis \(\Delta'\) of part 3, which is bolted to the reference part 4 (i.e. an engine for automotive applications). All the parts are considered as rigid bodies. The pin joint of axis \(\Delta'\) between parts 2 and 3 includes a torsion spring and friction components that cause dry and lubricated contact forces, and a moment between parts 2 and 3. The phenomena involved cause the joint to have highly nonlinear behaviour.

An experimental set up has been designed to identify the parameters of the belt tensioner model. The idler pulley is removed and segment \(AB\) is connected to a rigid bar that subjects a vertical alternative displacement on point \(A\). The vertical components of point \(A\), displacement \(u(t)\), and force \(F\) are considered positive when oriented toward the ground, since the tensioner is always preloaded when in use. Force \(F\) remains positive. The displacements are measured using laser optical sensors, while the forces are measured with load cells. Data acquisition is performed simultaneously at a sampling frequency \(f_{sao} = 5000\) Hz. The measurements can be filtered to remove measurement noise. An alternative vertical displacement is imposed on point \(A\). After a transient state (starting from initial position), a steady hysteretic loop is observed as shown in figure 2 and the measured force \(F\) versus time is periodic. In order to identify the parameters defining \(h_u\) and \(h_l\), we draw advantage from the fact that \(h_u\) and \(h_l\) represent the upper and lower envelop curves of the hysteretic loop to which the pair \((\alpha(t), F(t))\) belongs. By analysing the measured loop shown in figure 2, the envelop curves \(h_u\) and \(h_l\) can be considered as straight lines, so the asymptotic equations can be determined by using the mean square approximation method.

![Fig. 2. Force-deflection hysteretic loop for the tensioner: Experiment (-) and model (—). Parameter identification of the envelop curves of the model: \(h_u, h_l\).](image)

II.2. Dynamic system

In the previous section, the Modified Dahl model was formulated for the belt tensioner. The tensioner is now a part of a mechanical system subjected to a variable load excitation. The purpose is to test the model’s efficiency by considering a multi-degree of freedom system and performing an experimental investigation. The tensioner model is implemented in the system motion equations that are solved numerically. The predicted and measured results are compared.

The dynamic system considered is composed of the previously studied tensioner, a poly-V belt and a mass (see figure 3). The tensioner base is fixed on a rigid frame. Its idler pulley of mass \(m_2\) has a belt wound around it. The two adjacent belt spans are joined at their ends and connected to mass \(m_1\). Mass \(m_1\) is excited by the imposed force \(f\) generated by an electro-dynamic shaker (see figure. 3). Two displacements \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) of the two masses
are measured with laser-optical displacement sensors. The transmitted force \( f \) is measured with a piezo-electric load sensor and the belt tension is measured with an S-shape load sensor. In this two degree of freedom system, mass \( m_i \) is used both for tensioner preload and for system dynamics.

**Equations of motion:**

Let \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) be the vertical displacements of masses 1 and 2 along the \( x \) axis; they are both positive and oriented downward. \( F \) is the force exerted by the tensioner on the pulley, it is positive, oriented upward and modeled using the previously identified Modified Dahl model. Force \( f \) is positive and oriented downward. Let \( T/2 \) be the tension in each belt span. Due to the ratio between the radius of the pulley and the belt span lengths, it is assumed that tension \( T \) is oriented vertically.

![Dynamic system and model](image)

By considering the belt as a spring-damper of stiffness \( K \) and equivalent viscous damping \( C \), the belt behaviour law is defined by:

\[
T(t) = K(u_1 - u_2) + C \left( \frac{du_1}{dt} - \frac{du_2}{dt} \right) + T_0
\]  

(3)

By neglecting effects on the horizontal axis, the dynamic equilibrium of the tensioner pulley projected along the vertical axis \( x \) leads to the following nonlinear equation:

\[
m_2 \frac{d^2 u_2}{dt^2} = T(t) - F(t) + m_2 g
\]  

(4)

By neglecting effects on the horizontal axis, the dynamic equilibrium of the lower mass projected along the vertical axis \( x \) leads to:

\[
m_1 \frac{d^2 u_1}{dt^2} = -T(t) + f(t) + m_1 g
\]  

(5)

**II.3 Results: Global behaviour FRF**

The amplitude \( |\text{max}(T) - \text{min}(T)| \) versus forcing pulsation are measured for several values of \( f \). Measured and predicted responses are plotted in figures 4 and 5. The computed frequency response represented in these figures is obtained after a series of calculations in the time domain: each point of a frequency response curve corresponds to the amplitude of belt tension fluctuation calculated when steady state is reached for a given frequency and excitation amplitude. The dot-dashed curves correspond to the predicted results obtained for higher excitation force amplitudes not obtained experimentally due to set-up limitations. Experimental observations show that even if the excitation force amplitude increases, the resulting belt tension variation is bounded within a frequency range. This phenomenon is well predicted and proves the efficiency of the modified Dahl model for such an application. The system’s behaviour, shown in figure 5, is similar to that described in [16, 17]. The tensioner sticks for low forcing amplitudes, but mostly slips for high forcing amplitudes.

![Global behaviour of the dynamic system: Experimental FRF](image)

![Global behaviour of the dynamic system: Restoring force model FRF](image)

In conclusion, we can see that this restoring force model is suitable for a dissipative mechanical system, and not limited to only dry friction. Nevertheless, it requires...
measurements under harmonic excitation. One main
drawback is that the parameters identified have no
physical significance. Unfortunately, although such
techniques may be used when the contact zone is easily
accessible, this is generally not possible for real, complex
structures used in turbomachinery. Therefore more
sophisticated models have to be employed, with respect to
the real nature and behaviour of the contact zone.

III. Rheological model: vibration analysis of a
cantilever beam with a dry friction contact in an
academic application

Rheological models can be divided into two categories:
macroslip and microslip models according to the
homogeneity of contact states, as observed by
D’Ambrosio [15, 16]. Briefly, for stick/slip contact states,
the hysteresis loops are represented by a perfect
parallelogram when associated with macroslip cases
(stuck contact stiffness remains constant) and by a curved
parallelogram when associated with microslip cases (stuck
contact stiffness is no longer straight and the slope of the
hysteresis loop decreases). The macroslip model allows
dissipation only when the whole surface slips.

Recent experimental applications (Sextro [17]) confirm
that roughness may have an important influence on the
identification of contact parameters. For low normal
loads, adherence between contact surfaces is imperfect
because of roughness. Consequently, the real contact
surface or equivalent contact stiffness is lower than the
asymptotic value reached when the roughness is
completely worn (obtained in the case of very high normal
loads).

For real complex industrial structures, it is not always
possible to carry out an experimental approach (Toufine et
al. [18]), making it necessary to seek different
techniques. Here, a numerical analysis based on a single
degree of freedom system is used to point out the main
differences between the Frequency Response Functions
(FRFs) obtained from different contact models (macroslip,
microslip with and without roughness effect). After
analysis of the different dynamic behaviours obtained,
a criterion is proposed in order to choose the most
appropriate contact model directly from the FRFs, without
performing any experimental hysteresis loop analysis.
Finally, an experimental / numerical study of a cantilever
beam with dry friction effects is performed in order to
validate the proposed approach.

III.1 Dynamic behaviour with macroslip and microslip
models without roughness effects

A single dof system (figure 6) is used to show the
influence of the contact model on dynamic behaviour. For
such systems, the motion equation is:

\[ m \ddot{x} + c \dot{x} + k x = F_{\text{ex}} \cos(\omega t) - f_t \]

with \( m \) being mass, \( k \) stiffness, \( c \) viscous damping, \( F_{\text{ex}} \)
amplitude of the excitation force (\( \omega \) pulsation) and \( f_t \)
nonlinear force at the contact. The classical Harmonic
Balance Method (Masiani et al. [19]) is used to solve the
nonlinear equation in the frequency domain and obtain
results faster than in the time domain.

The FRFs obtained from a Masing macroslip model are
reported in figure 7. Two resonance peaks are present: the
first at high frequency is associated with a fully stuck case
obtained when the ratio between the excitation force and
the normal load is very low (\( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n=0.001 \)), while the
second, associated with the case in full slip state, is
obtained when this ratio is high (\( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n=10 \)).

For intermediate \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n \) values, the contact state is
stick/slip and the associated dynamic behaviour is
strongly nonlinear with a classical peak flattening
characteristic.

Moreover, for \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n \) ratios <0.2 the contact is stick/slip
with a majority stuck and the FRFs flatten in conformity
with the fully stuck peak, but also remain within the
envelope curve of the fully stuck case. The same
numerical results may be obtained for different values of
excitation forces or normal loads but in every case, the
FRFs depend only on the ratio \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n \).

With a microslip model, two different resonance peaks are
also associated with the fully stuck and full slip states,
obtained respectively for \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n=0.001 \) and \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n=10 \).

As for the macroslip case, a nonlinear dynamic
behaviour is associated with stick/slip contact states for
intermediate values of \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_n \) (figure 8). However, here,
the FRFs associated with stick/slip states when most of
the contact remains stuck do not belong to the envelope
curve of the completely stuck response, but move toward
lower frequencies. This displacement of FRFs is a
function of the amount of slipping at the edges of the
contact surface while the rest of the surface remains stuck.

This phenomenon depends exclusively on the presence
of different contact states along the contact surface, thus
other microslip models (Sanliturk and Ewins [20]) produce
the same behaviour with a translation of FRFs to the
left. Whatever the case, for microslip and macroslip
models, FRFs depend exclusively on the ratio between
excitation force and normal load and, consequently, the
only possible difference is due to the small displacement of the maximal amplitude peaks in a microslip case for a mostly stuck stick/slip contact state. However, the dynamic behaviour is quite different when the excitation force is \( F_{\text{ex}} = 1 \text{N} \). Considering the same ratios of \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_{\text{n}} \), normal loads are very low (\( F_{\text{n}} = 0.1 - 2.5 - 10 \text{N} \)); consequently, the contact surface is not completely adhesive and the tangential stiffness is lower than its asymptotic value. The main consequence is that FRFs translate toward lower frequencies (figure 9). Then, when the adherence of the contact surface is not perfect because of roughness effects, a displacement is observed toward lower frequencies of the FRF and, consequently, FRFs are no more a unique function of the \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_{\text{n}} \) ratio (see figures 7 and 9).

The same conclusions may be drawn for microslip models. Low normal loads do not produce fully adherent surfaces and the value of the nominal contact area is lower than the asymptotic value.

The effects of roughness are now considered for macroslip and microslip models and two different values of the excitation force (\( F_{\text{ex}} = 1 \) and 1000 N). The same values of contact properties considered in(Sextro [17]) have been used. For the macroslip case when \( F_{\text{ex}} = 1000 \text{ N} \), the tangential stiffness reaches its asymptotic value because normal loads are higher than the normal limit load at 300 N (\( F_{\text{n}} = 5e3 - 1e4 - 1e6 \text{ N} \)). For \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_{\text{n}} \) ratios = 10 - 0.5 - 0.2 - 0.1 - 0.001, the dynamic behaviour obtained is identical to the behaviour associated with a “pure” macroslip case without roughness effects. The FRFs are identical to those shown in figure 7. When the normal load is 100 N (then for \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_{\text{n}} = 10 \)), the value of the tangential stiffness decreases and the global behaviour of the contact is in full slip state. Consequently, tangential stiffness has no influence on the FRF. Thus for very high levels of excitation force and normal load, the influence of roughness is negligible and dynamic behaviour is globally identical to that obtained in the case of “pure” macroslip.

The same values of \( F_{\text{ex}}/F_{\text{n}} \) as those used for the macroslip analysis are considered here.

When the excitation force is very high \( (F_{\text{ex}} = 1000 \text{ N}) \), there is no difference in comparison to a “pure” microslip case because the normal load is sufficient to crush the surface roughness. The contact surface is fully adherent and the FRFs are identical to those shown in figure 8.
When the excitation force is $F_{ex} = 1\text{N}$, the roughness
effects are very significant (figure 10), and the
placement of maximal amplitude peaks toward lower
frequencies, is stronger than in the case of a “pure”
macroslip. In conclusion, a criterion is proposed to permit
choosing an adapted contact model on the basis of an
analysis of dynamic behaviour. If the FRFs depend only
on the $F_{ex}/F_n$ ratio, then a “pure” microslip or a “pure”
macroslip contact model can be selected. In the case of a
mostly stuck stick/slip contact state, if the maximal
amplitude peak moves towards lower frequencies, the
best-adapted model is microslip. On the contrary, if the
dynamic behaviour is not the unique function of the $F_{ex}/F_n$
ratio, the roughness effects cannot be neglected. An
analysis at very high levels of normal load can be
performed in order to crush the surface peaks and
establish the adherence between the contact surfaces. In
this case, if the maximal amplitude peak still moves
toward lower frequencies, the best contact model is
microslip with roughness effects. If this is not the case
then a macroslip model with roughness effects should be
chosen.

III.2 Combined Experimental/Numerical Validation

To validate the proposed method, a simple experimental
analysis considering a cantilever beam in the presence of
dry friction was performed. Displacements of maximal
amplitude peaks in a stick/slip contact state and the
influence of the $F_{ex}/F_n$ ratio on the FRFs were analysed to
determine the most appropriate contact model.

A cantilever beam 0.50 m in length, with a rectangular
cross section of 0.008 m by 0.04 m linked with a rubbing
element at its free end was considered (figure 11) and
instrumented with four eddy sensors. The FRFs measured
(figure 12) show the influence of normal load on the
dynamic behaviour. More specifically, when the normal
load was very high ($F_n = 40\text{N}$) in comparison with the
excitation force ($F_{ex} = 2\text{N}$), the dynamic behaviour was
linear and the FRF reached a resonance peak of $87\text{Hz}$.
For lower normal loads, the FRFs became flat and the
maximal amplitude peak disappeared completely when the
normal load was equal to 1 N. Finally, when the normal
load was much lower ($F_n = 0.5\text{N}$) than the excitation
force, the contact was in full slip state while the dynamic
behaviour was linear with a lower resonance frequency
($24\text{Hz}$). It clearly appears that the FRFs belonged to the
same envelope curve associated with the completely stuck
case.

This first characteristic implies that a macroslip contact
model should be adapted to reproduce the dynamic
behaviour of the structure. Moreover, an analysis
considering the influence of the $F_{ex}/F_n$ ratio was
performed to verify roughness effects.

Three different $F_{ex}/F_n$ ratios were considered: 0.5, 1 and
2. Each of these ratios were obtained from various values
of normal loads, such as $2.5 - 3 - 5 - 10\text{N}$.

![Fig. 12. Measured FRF's](image)

Figure 13 gives the FRFs associated with the first sensor
and obtained from the 12 tested configurations. These
results show that response levels can reasonably be
considered as being dependent only upon the $F_{ex}/F_n$ ratio.
In conclusion, the FRFs lie within the same envelope of
the fully stuck case and they depend only upon the $F_{ex}/F_n$
ratio. Consequently, according to the criterion, a
macroslip model without roughness effects can be
considered for numerical applications. The whole
structure was modeled using 13 beam elements (2 nodes
with 3 dof for a total of about 40 dof). A frequency
domain solution method (HBM) was chosen in order to
obtain a good experimental / numerical comparison
(figure 14) and validate the proposed approach.

Here, a criterion adapted to the choice of contact models
based on an analysis of FRFs has been proposed and
validated experimentally. The influence of different
contact models (macroslip - microslip models with and
without roughness effects) on the dynamic behaviour has
been illustrated using a single dof system. The choice of
the most appropriate contact model is based on the

![Fig. 11. Experimental Set up](image)
properties associated with the influence of $F_{e}/F_{s}$ ratio and with the displacement of the maximal amplitude peaks toward lower frequencies. Finally, the proposed criterion was validated by a very good experimental / numerical fit, demonstrating the possibility of choosing contact models by only considering FRFs, without any experimental analysis of hysteresis loops.

![Fig. 13. Measured FRFs](image)

![Fig. 14. Experimental/numerical correlations](image)

**V. Conclusion**

Consequently, this study succeeded in demonstrating that different techniques can be used to describe stick/slip phenomena in industrial mechanisms. Modeling the dynamic behaviour of structures in the presence of dry friction and stick-slip phenomena was considered by using 2 spatial scales, i.e.

- That of a phenomenological analysis capable of examining complicated systems and applicable to other types of specific behaviour, e.g. viscoelastic,
- That of rheological analysis which provides more in-depth examination of the contact state by using the macroslip and microslip approaches. This analysis incorporates tribological considerations such as roughness and wear.
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