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Abstract
In this paper, we propose an offline and online machine health assessment (MHA) methodology composed of feature extraction and selection, segmentation-based fault severity evaluation, and classification steps. In the offline phase, the best representative feature of degradation is selected by a new filter-based feature selection approach. The selected feature is further segmented by utilizing the bottom-up time series segmentation to discriminate machine health states, i.e., degradation levels. Then, the health state fault severity is extracted by a proposed segment evaluation approach based on within segment rate-of-change (RoC) and coefficient of variation (CV) statistics. To train supervised classifiers, a priori knowledge about the availability of the labeled data set is needed. To overcome this limitation, the health state fault-severity information is used to label (e.g., healthy, minor, medium, and severe) unlabeled raw condition monitoring (CM) data. In the online phase, the fault-severity classification is carried out by kernel-based support vector machine (SVM) classifier. Next to SVM, the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) is also used in comparative analysis on the fault severity classification problem. Supervised classifiers are trained in the offline phase and tested in the online phase. Unlike to traditional supervised approaches, this proposed method does not require any a priori knowledge about the availability of the labeled data set. The proposed methodology is validated on infield point machine sliding-chair degradation data to illustrate its effectiveness and applicability. The results show that the time series segmentation-based failure severity detection and SVM-based classification are promising.

KEYWORDS
fault severity, fault-severity classification, filter-based feature selection, inferential statistics, machine health assessment, point machine sliding-chair degradation, time series segmentation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Safety, reliability, and availability have been concerned as one of the important and challenging issues for different industries to meet the market requirements while increasing the production quantity and quality. Hence, it’s essential
to develop a more robust monitoring systems that can control and assess the health state of the components before they fall into a critical stage.

Machine health assessment (MHA) can be described as an inspection process of the degradation by monitoring the machine health state transitions in order to determine the state changes due to anomalies and extract the fault severity information from the condition monitoring (CM) data.\(^1\) Hence, the MHA information can be used in the development of failure diagnostics and prognostics algorithms for complex systems CM (eg, railway infrastructure,\(^2,3\) wind turbines,\(^4\) rotating machinery\(^5\), nuclear power plants,\(^6\) and electric vehicles\(^7\)).

Railway point machines are one of the complex structures in railway infrastructure, which are used to control the train turnouts at a distance. Thus, it is important to monitor the point machine components’ health states in order to increase operational reliability, availability, and passengers safety.\(^8\) The accuracy of data–driven-based MHA methods depends on extraction and selection of good features from the raw CM data. The better feature captures the machine degradation, the better is the CM information can be achieved.

In literature, feature extraction techniques are categorized as time based, frequency-based, and time-frequency based,\(^9\) whereas feature selection methods are classified as a filter, wrapper, and embedded methods.\(^10\) The filter-based methods use general properties of the features to filter the least interesting ones using some ranking threshold (eg, correlation, monotonicity, prognosability, trendability,\(^11\) and separability\(^12\). The wrapper methods use evolutionary or searching algorithms (eg, particle swarm optimization [PSO]\(^13\)) to find the optimum number of features that maximize the objective function of the given algorithm. The embedded feature selection methods combine filter and wrapper methods\(^14\) in order to build good features for CM. In a previous study,\(^15\) the authors proposed a feature extraction methodology for diagnostics of point machines using empirical mode decomposition (EMD) and singular value decomposition (SVD) for fault detection. In literature, there are plenty of works conducted in feature extraction and selection problem applied for gearbox,\(^16,17\) bearings,\(^12,18\) batteries,\(^19\) and point machine\(^20\) monitoring using different CM data types. It is important to note that, despite the variety of feature selection techniques, it is hard to have a generic feature selection technique, which is superior to others.\(^21\) Thus, the feature extraction and selection process play an important role in fault detection, diagnostics, and prognostics of degrading systems, and there are still many issues to be tackled to build good machine health indicators.

Fault detection methods study the change of machine health state magnitudes by measuring the statistics of fault propagation to characterize them as normal or faulty. In literature, the fault detection and diagnostics has been extensively studied for point machine monitoring by using machine learning and signal processing tools. For example, the authors in a previous research\(^22\) studied the fault detection and classification of point machine using discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and support vector machine (SVM). The DWT was used in feature reduction, whereas the SVM was trained to classify the failure modes. Similarly, a fault diagnostics approach was also presented by the authors in other studies\(^23,24\) based on the principal component analysis (PCA) and SVM classifier using the extracted statistical features. Similar works for fault detection of point machines have been studied in literature as well.\(^25\) Next to machine learning tools, statistical techniques were also used in point machine CM.

A simple statistical fault detection methodology for anomaly detection by using dynamic time warping (DTW) metric was presented in a previous study.\(^26\) The methodology was validated using the infield point machine Direct Current (DC) data. In the same domain, a generic fault detection methodology was proposed in another study\(^27\) based on segment evaluation, data fusion, and inferential statistics for point machine CM. An expert fault identification and detection system was proposed for point machine CM in an existing research,\(^8\) using simulated point machine data. First of all, generated data were denoised using the moving average (MA) smoother, and the DTW was utilized in health state detection afterward. Similarly, a fault detection approach using Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test statistics for point machine monitoring was proposed in another study.\(^28\) The authors simulated sliding chair and obstacle on stock rail failure modes, and the method was validated using the point machine DC current signatures for failure detection. A statistical process control-based fault detection and prognostics approach has been investigated in another work\(^29\) for train gearbox using extracted vibration features.

The fault detection only deals with the identification of normal and faulty processes from the CM data but cannot provide any fault severity information about the degradation after detection. The fault severity (magnitude) information can be used in MHA to make decisions whether to trigger diagnostics/prognostics tasks or not.

The fault severity evaluation can be defined as an analysis of degradation levels to retrieve severity information about machine health states. The fault severity information can be used in fault diagnostics and prognostics to support decision making.

A point machine failure prognostics methodology based on state durations was proposed in an existing study.\(^30,31\) The authors utilized k-means clustering in the point machine health states identification and calculated the state
transition probabilities for prognostics by using hidden Markov models (HMMs). In the same domain, a data-driven-based point MHA methodology was proposed in a previous research\(^\text{32}\) for failure prognostics. In this work, the point machine degradation levels were extracted and the remaining-useful-life (RUL) was calculated using the state transition time values. The authors in a previous study\(^\text{33}\) proposed a systematic health assessment methodology based on self-organizing maps (SOMs) and PCA techniques for point machine fault diagnostics. In this work, different statistical features were extracted from the segmented power signals. The extracted features were further used in point machine degradation-level assessment and incipient fault detection. An air leakage detection and failure prediction approach for the train braking system was proposed in another study\(^\text{34}\) based on regression and clustering. The regression classifier was used in failure severity prediction, and the density-based clustering was utilized to detect the leakage anomalies. However, clustering-based degradation level detection, ie, health state or fault severity detection, approaches may not guarantee that the change in health state transitions are due to the machine degradation. Because, the clusters, ie, health states, found by these tools may refer to variations of the operational conditions, rather than the variation due to degradation, which is one of the disadvantages of using unsupervised learning for fault detection and severity evaluation. In addition, the clusters extracted by using any clustering algorithms can be different,\(^\text{35}\) and therefore may not be a consistent approach for machine health state detection.

To fill the aforementioned gaps in literature, this paper proposes a new MHA methodology for point machine degradation, particularly through feature selection, fault detection, and severity classification. Furthermore, although there are plenty of works that studied fault severity evaluation and classification problem for bearings\(^\text{1,36,37}\) and gearboxes,\(^\text{38}\) to the best of our knowledge, the problem of fault severity evaluation is not explicitly studied for railway point MHA. The proposed MHA methodology for point machine CM is composed of offline and online phases, as shown in Figure 1.

The main contributions of this current work can be summarized as follows:

- Proposition of a new two-step filter-based prognostics feature selection approach. Compared with the wrapper and ensemble approaches, our filter-based approach is computationally efficient and effective.
- Development and application of a time series segmentation and inferential-statistics-based fault detection and fault severity evaluation approach. Unlike HMM and clustering-based techniques, the segmentation-based approach is computationally less consuming and more robust against the nonmonotonicity problem in fault detection.
- Unlike traditional supervised fault classification approaches, the proposed method does not require any a priori knowledge about the availability of the labeled data. The data are labeled automatically using the fault severity information derived from segment evaluation algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 explains the proposed methodology. The data collection procedure for point machine sliding-chair monitoring is explained in Section 3. The results of proposed methodology are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 concludes the paper.

**FIGURE 1** The proposed methodology workflow [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
In this section, the offline and online phases of the proposed health assessment methodology will be explained.

In the offline phase, a new filter-based feature selection approach is developed to select the best representative feature. The feature selection is carried out in two steps. In step 1, an affinity matrix is built from the feature pool to calculate interclass similarities and relative importance weights. In step 2, degradation parameters of the features such as monotonicity, correlation, and robustness are calculated. A linearly weighted fitness function is then constructed by combining the interclass (ie, relative importance weights) and intraclass (ie, degradation parameters) feature analysis steps for feature selection. The best feature should have the highest fitness value. Afterwards, the selected feature is segmented by utilizing the bottom-up (BUP) time series segmentation\textsuperscript{41} algorithm to extract the machine health states, ie, degradation levels. Then, a within feature segment analysis is performed using the coefficient of variations (CV)\textsuperscript{40} and the rate-of-change (RoC), ie, slope,\textsuperscript{41} statistics to derive the segment fault severity for classification. Finally, the fault severity information is used to label (eg, healthy, medium, and severe) the unlabeled raw data for fault severity classification.

In the online phase, the fault severity classification for sliding-chip monitoring is carried out by a kernel-based SVM classifier. Next to SVM, the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) is also presented in comparative analysis on the fault severity classification problem. Then, supervised classifiers are trained in the offline phase and tested in the online phase. Finally, the proposed methodology is implemented on infeld point machine sliding-chip degradation data, which were collected from the real system, to illustrate its effectiveness and applicability.

2.1 Feature extraction and selection

In this paper, time-domain-based feature extraction techniques such as skewness, root mean square (rms), kurtosis, mean, standard deviation (stdev), variance (var), crest factor (crfactor), and peak-to-peak (p2p) are used in sliding-chip health assessment. Extracted statistical features with different degradation behaviors (eg, increasing or decreasing) in different scales should be first normalized before selection. The features are normalized and put into standard scale as monotonicity, correlation, and robustness are calculated. A linearly weighted fitness function is then constructed by combining the interclass (ie, relative importance weights) and intraclass (ie, degradation parameters) feature analysis steps for feature selection. The best feature should have the highest fitness value. Afterwards, the selected feature is segmented by utilizing the bottom-up (BUP) time series segmentation\textsuperscript{41} algorithm to extract the machine health states, ie, degradation levels. Then, a within feature segment analysis is performed using the coefficient of variations (CV)\textsuperscript{40} and the rate-of-change (RoC), ie, slope,\textsuperscript{41} statistics to derive the segment fault severity for classification. Finally, the fault severity information is used to label (eg, healthy, medium, and severe) the unlabeled raw data for fault severity classification.

In the online phase, the fault severity classification for sliding-chip monitoring is carried out by a kernel-based SVM classifier. Next to SVM, the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) is also presented in comparative analysis on the fault severity classification problem. Then, supervised classifiers are trained in the offline phase and tested in the online phase. Finally, the proposed methodology is implemented on infeld point machine sliding-chip degradation data, which were collected from the real system, to illustrate its effectiveness and applicability.
\[\mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M_i} \text{dist} \left( \frac{f_{i1}}{M_i} \right) \]  \tag{5}

\[w_i = \exp(-1 \times \mu_i)\]  \tag{6}

In step 2, degradation parameters of features such as monotonicity (Mon), correlation (Corr), and robustness (Rob) are calculated using Equations 7, 8, and 9. The features’ monotonicity parameter is used to extract either increasing or decreasing trend information. The features’ correlation measures the linearity statistics between features’ degradation health states and time. The robustness parameter stands for the features’ resistance to the measurement noise. The correlation parameter utilized in this paper is based on the Pearson’s correlation coefficient.\(^{10}\)

\[\text{Mon}_i(f_i) = \left( \frac{\# \frac{d}{df_i} > 0}{N-1} - \frac{\# \frac{d}{df_i} < 0}{N-1} \right)\]  \tag{7}

where \(\text{Mon}_i\) is the monotonicity value for the \(i^{th}\) feature (\(f_i\)) with length of \(N\). The absolute value of the difference between number of positive (\(\# \frac{d}{df_i} > 0\)) and negative (\(\# \frac{d}{df_i} < 0\)) derivatives gives the monotonicity value. A feature with the higher monotonicity indicates the better degradation with an increasing/decreasing trend.

\[\text{Corr}_i(f_i, T_i) = \left( \frac{\text{cov}(f_i, T_i)}{\sigma_f \sigma_{T_i}} \right)\]  \tag{8}

where \(\text{cov}\) is the covariance of \(i^{th}\) feature (\(f_i\)) with the time vector \(T\) and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation. To calculate the features’ robustness, first of all, the given feature should be decomposed into trend and residual components. The residual component (\(\text{res}_i\)) of the feature \(f_i\) is extracted by subtracting the smoothed feature \(\text{smoothed}_i\) (trend) from the original (noisy) feature \(f_i\), as given in Equation 9.

\[\text{res}_i = f_i - \text{smoothed}_i\]  \tag{9}

\[\text{Rob}_i(f_i) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp\left( - \frac{\text{res}_i}{f_i} \right) \right) / \text{N}\]  \tag{10}

where \(N\) is the length of \(i^{th}\) feature (\(f_i\)). Then, the goodness of the feature is evaluated using the linearly weighted fitness function, which is given in Equation 11.

\[\text{fitness}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i \times [\text{Mon}_i, \text{Corr}_i, \text{Rob}_i]\]  \tag{11}

Consequently, a feature with the highest fitness (\(\max[\text{fitness}_i = 1 : M]\)) value is then selected as the best feature and used in fault detection and fault severity evaluation step. We also present a comparative analysis between our feature selection approach and the work proposed in another study,\(^{42}\) to show the effectiveness and efficiency in the feature selection problem, which will be covered in the results and discussions section.

2.2 Fault detection and fault severity evaluation by time series segmentation

Time series segmentation has been studied and applied in many applications, such as security\(^{43}\) and machine fault detection\(^{27,44}\) as well. The time series segmentation is the decomposition process of series into homogeneous groups with similar characteristics. In this paper, the BUP time series segmentation technique\(^{39}\) is used in fault detection
and fault severity evaluation. The BUP, which is the piecewise linear approximation technique, relies on divide and
merge strategy. The two adjacent segments are merged by calculating the cost function, and the same procedure is
repeated iteratively until the stopping criteria are met. Interested readers can refer to this paper for more information
about the BUP time series segmentation. A pseudocode for the BUP algorithm is given in Table 1.

Since the BUP decomposes the given feature into homogenous subsequences, each segment can be treated as a dif-
f erent machine health state with different degradation levels. Thus, the feature segments can be used in MHA for fault
detection and fault severity evaluation. Generally, all segmentation techniques are supervised, and they need a
predefined threshold or segment number a priori before the segmentation. Therefore, a segment evaluation is needed
to optimize the segment numbers to get the best homogeneous segments.

An inferential statistics, which is CV, and the RoC are used in this paper for segment optimization, fault detection,
and fault severity identification. The RoC can be defined as a ratio between the change in data points over a specific
period of time. In this study, the RoC is used to measure the within segment degradation rates. The within segment
RoC is calculated by using Equation 12 and fed to Equation 13. The CV is the measure of dispersion that measures
the variability of points around the mean. The advantage of CV is it is dimensionless and can be used in comparative
analysis of different measures. The CV is the ratio of the standard deviation ($\sigma$) to the mean ($\mu$), as given in Equation 13.
We assume that if there is a significant change (ie, either minimum or maximum) in CV distribution of calculated
within segment RoC values, this is due to between segment heterogeneity, and this can be interpreted as an optimum
number for time series segmentation. The between segment heterogeneity can be defined as the dissimilarity of
segments with different statistical characteristics. The main goal in time series segmentation is to decompose the given
feature into homogenous groups while increasing the between segment heterogeneity.

\[
\text{RoC}_i(D_{FSi}, L_{FSi}) = \sum \left( \frac{\text{diff}(D_{FSi})}{\text{diff}(L_{FSi})} \right) \times 100, \tag{12}
\]

\[
\text{CV}_s(\text{RoCs}) = \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{RoCs}}}{\mu_{\text{RoCs}}} \right), \tag{13}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Bottom-up time series segmentation pseudocode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm 1: Seg_BUP (data, max_err)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for $i = 1:2:length$ (data) %Initial segment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_BUP =</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concat(Seg_BUP, create_seg(data [i: i+1]));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for $i = 1:length$ (Seg_BUP)-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>merge_cost(i) = calc_err(merge (Seg_BUP(i),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_BUP(i+1)));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%while not segmented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>while min (merge_cost)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% find costless segments to merge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ind = min (merge_cost);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_BUP(ind) = merge (Seg_BUP(ind),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_BUP(ind+1));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% update records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del (Seg_BUP(ind+1));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>merge_cost(ind) = calc_err(merge (Seg_B_UP(ind,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_B_UP(ind+1)));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>merge_cost(ind-1) =</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calc_err(merge (Seg_B_UP(ind-1,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg_B_UP(ind)));</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \((D_{FSi})\) is the measurement variables within the \(i\)th feature segment \((FS_i)\), \(\text{diff}(D_{FSi})\) is the variable difference, \(L_{FSi}\) is the time indices, and \(CV_s\) is the dispersion of \(s\)th RoC.

The segmentation algorithm is run several times using different segment numbers starting from 2, assuming that the degraded machine has at least two health states such as healthy and faulty. The calculated RoC values from each segmentation process is then fed to Equation 13 to select the best number of segments. The significant rise/drop in CV distribution after the segmentation process is then selected as the optimum segmentation number as follows:

- If RoC values have a decreasing trend, then the optimum number of segmentation is selected by taking the minimum CV value.
- If RoC values have an increasing trend, then the optimum number of segmentation is selected by taking the maximum CV value.

The trend of the RoC is identified by differencing the RoC values, which is obtained from the first segmentation process (ie, using segment number of 2) before checking for the significant change in CV. The whole feature segment evaluation algorithm for fault severity evaluation and identification is given in Table 2.

Consequently, the CV and RoC statistics are combined to be used in machine health state severity analysis and time series segment optimization. The optimized feature segments are assumed as real health states of the machine. The raw data are then further labeled using the extracted fault severity information from this step and are used for machine fault severity classification. Next to sliding-chair degradation data, the proposed segment evaluation algorithm will be also evaluated on simulated features with different degradation behaviors to demonstrate its effectiveness in time series segment optimization.

**TABLE 2**  Segment evaluation algorithm pseudocode

```plaintext
Algorithm 2: Segment_evaluation (data)
%
% segN: segmentation numbers
% data: prognostics feature
% seg.left and seg.right: left/right segment points
% diff: 1st order derivative
% s: counter
% Std: standard deviation
% optimum_seg: optimized segmentation
segN = [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10];
s=1;
For n = segN
  seg = Seg_BUP (data, n)
  For i = 1 to length (seg)
    y = data (seg(i).left:seg(i).right);
    x = (seg(i).left:seg(i).right);
    RoC_i(y,x) = sum (diff(y)/diff(x))*100;
  End
  SL{1,c} = RoC;
  CV_s(RoC) = std(RoC)/mean(RoC));
  s=s+1;
End
 optimum_Segment (SL, CV):
  If (SL{1,1}(1,1) = SL{1,1}(1,1))
    % decreasing trend
    ind = min (CV)
    optimum_seg = SL{1, ind}
  Else
    % increasing trend
    ind = max (CV)
    optimum_seg = SL{1, ind}
```

Consequently, the CV and RoC statistics are combined to be used in machine health state severity analysis and time series segment optimization. The optimized feature segments are assumed as real health states of the machine. The raw data are then further labeled using the extracted fault severity information from this step and are used for machine fault severity classification. Next to sliding-chair degradation data, the proposed segment evaluation algorithm will be also evaluated on simulated features with different degradation behaviors to demonstrate its effectiveness in time series segment optimization.
2.3 | **Fault severity classification**

In fault severity classification, supervised ML tools can be adopted to classify the machine fault severity, i.e., the degradation level. There are several supervised ML tools used in classification problems such as decision trees, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and SVM. The SVM classifier is chosen in this study due to its good accuracy and capability of performing linear and nonlinear data classification.\(^{45}\)

The SVM, which was introduced by Vapnik,\(^ {46}\) is a very popular machine-learning classification tool. The initial principle of SVM is to separate given data into distinct two classes by using a linear hyperplane. The linear separation of two classes can be achieved by finding an optimum decision hyperplane that maximizes the margin between two imaginary parallel planes (support vectors). In SVM, the kernel function describes the similarity measure of given data points. The kernel selection has been accepted as one of the major problems in SVM classification. There are several kernel functions used in SVM-based classifications. In this paper, three kernel types—linear, polynomial, and Gaussian—are used to compare fault severity classification results. Interested readers are referred to previous studies\(^ {47,48}\) for more detailed information about SVM classification with kernel functions.

The proposed point machine sliding-chair MHA model takes raw measurements as an input and predicts the machine fault severity levels as an output, which is illustrated in Figure 2.

3 | **CASE STUDY**

In this section, the railway point machine system, data collection, and the accelerated degradation modeling will be explained. The point machine sliding-chair monitoring data are used in the further evaluation of the proposed health assessment methodology.

3.1 | **Railway point machine**

The point machines (also known as turnout machines), which are one of the critical and complex components of railway infrastructure, are used to control train turnouts by providing track changing at a distance. To ensure availability, reliability and safe operation, point machines require regular inspections, and maintenance next to improved CM systems.

3.1.1 | **Data collection and accelerated aging procedure**

The electromechanical point machine (Figure 3), which is investigated and monitored in this paper, consists of one DC motor, a gearbox, two drive rods (to move rails back-and-forth), and electric peripherals. Different sensors (see Figure 3) were installed on the point machine components (e.g., drive rods, cables, and rails) to monitor and collect degradation data. Figure 4 shows the collected force, DC current, voltage, and proximity sensor data from the point machine. CM data collected by the force and current sensors are the most commonly used sensors in the literature\(^ {49}\) for point machine diagnostics and prognostics. In this study, the force degradation data are used in fault detection and severity classification. The CM data were collected from both “back-and-forth” movements of the point machine.

![FIGURE 2 Model input-output relation](image-url)
Since point machines are complex systems with different subcomponents, they have many failure modes. Some failure modes develop over a long period of time that makes fault detection challenging. One of the solutions to overcome this problem and spend less time in tracking seldom seen and/or slowly progressive failures can be to generate failure modes by the accelerated aging procedure. This latter procedure can be defined as manually contaminating...
(ie, soiling or scratching out the grease) the sliding-chair plate to obtain slowly progressive failures in a short amount of time. In this research, only the dry sliding-chair failure mode is studied, and the infield CM data are collected via installed sensors during the accelerated aging procedure on the real system.

Sliding-chair plates are the metal assets of the turnout system that assist point machine drive rods in moving the rail blades easily. Typical sliding-chair plates installed on wooden traverses of turnout system are illustrated in Figure 5. Sliding-chair degradation data were collected from the real Turkish State Railways point machine in Tekirdag, Turkey. This turnout system has 12 sliding-chair plates in total. Initially, all sliding-chair plates were dry or contaminated. At first, all 12 plates were individually lubricated, and the point machine was run 10 times in each movement to get the first healthy (fault free) CM sensory data. Afterward, the contamination (sprinkling dust or sand) process took place by soiling three farthest (10th, 11th, and 12th) sliding chairs from the point machine to get an initial faulty state. The second faulty state was created by soiling the 9th sliding-chair plate after the first contamination process. The accelerated failure modeling process was repeated until a final and complete sliding-chair faulty state is created. After each step of the contamination process, the point machine was run 10 times from normal-to-reverse (forth) and reverse-to-normal (back) positions to collect the sensory data. The contamination on sliding-chair plates results in variation of performance measurement signals (eg, force, current, and voltage) due to the increasing friction force against the turnout driving rod force applied to move the blades from normal-to-reverse (forth) or reverse-to-normal (back) positions. The total number of degradation data collected in each state is 20 (10 in back and 10 in forth movements). Figure 5 shows the accelerated sliding-chair degradation modeling procedure on the turnout with 12 wooden traverses (Tr.). Please note that no trains went through the turnout system during the data acquisition operation. The turnout system was temporarily reserved as a test bench for the sliding-chair failure modeling and data collection purposes only.

4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this research, only the force degradation data from the normal-to-reverse (forth) movement are used to illustrate the proposed methodology.
Time-domain-based statistical features (Figure 6) were extracted from the raw force data and normalized (Figure 7) by using Equations 1 and 2. The normalized features were then used in feature selection by using the proposed filter-based feature selection approach.

The feature selection was carried out in two steps. In step 1, the affinity matrix (Equation 4) was built from the normalized feature pool to calculate interclass similarities and relative features’ importance weights (Equation 6). In step 2, degradation parameters such as monotonicity, correlation, and robustness were calculated using Equations 7, 8, and 10. The normalized features were smoothed before calculating the monotonicity and correlation parameters. The linearly weighted fitness function (Equation 11), which combines the interclass (ie, between similarity) and the intraclass (ie, within degradation parameter) feature analysis steps, was built to select the best feature. The proposed feature selection approach was also compared with the feature selection method proposed in another study. The linear fitness function in the previous study was built by multiplying the monotonicity, correlation, and robustness parameters with the weights of 0.5, 0.2, and 0.3, which was chosen by the user. Step 1 results are given in Table 3, and step 2 results are given in Table 5. Table 4 shows assigned features’ weights used in the feature selection. As seen from Table 3, the mean, stdev, and rms, which have the highest relative similarity values to the feature population, can be ranked as the best three.

FIGURE 6 Extracted time-domain features from force time series [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
features among others (step 1). Table 5 results were sorted in descending order (from the most to the least important feature) based on fitness-1 results. Results of fitness-1 stand for the proposed feature selection approach, whereas results in fitness-2 stand for the approach proposed in the previous study. The stdev was selected as the best feature from fitness-1, whereas the var was selected as the best feature from fitness-2, despite the stdev and var had the same monotonicity parameter. A feature, which has a higher interclass similarity and intraclass degradation characteristics, is more desirable in MHA for fault diagnostics and prognostics. Besides, the proposed feature selection approach in contrast to the previous study, is autonomous, ie, there is no need for user interaction to define weights manually, which avoids to select a feature with less interclass support criteria. Consequently, the proposed feature selection approach results are

FIGURE 7 Normalized time domain features [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 3 Interclass feature analysis and calculated relative importance weights (step 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>Rms</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Stdev</th>
<th>Var</th>
<th>Crfactor</th>
<th>p2p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Var</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crfactor</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2p</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4 Relative importance weights (weight-1) and weights (weight-2) used by another study in feature selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>Rms</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Stdev</th>
<th>Var</th>
<th>Crfactor</th>
<th>p2p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monotonicity</td>
<td>Weight-1</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>Weight-1</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robustness</td>
<td>Weight-1</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
very promising in MHA for fault diagnostics and prognostics. The feature \textit{stdev} was then fed to the time series segmentation for fault detection and severity identification.

Before segmenting the selected sliding-chair degradation feature, the proposed segment evaluation and optimization algorithm was validated on simulated features to test its effectiveness. Two different features with different degradation behaviors were simulated, as shown in Figure 8 (F1 and F2). The feature F1 has an increasing trend approximately before the 100-time index and remains constant for a while before the slightly increasing trend at last stages of its end-of-life (EoF). The feature F2 represents an exponentially progressive degradation with increasing trend. The simulated features were fed to the segment evaluation algorithm to extract health state transitions by within segment evaluation. The features were decomposed into different segments, starting from two to 10, and the within segment RoC values were calculated using Equation 12, as shown in Figure 8 (F1-RoC and F2-RoC). The F1-RoC indicates that the degradation rate of the F1 decreases as it reaches the EOL, whereas the degradation rate (F2-RoC) of the F2 increases. F1 and F2 feature segments had been optimized by using the segment evaluation algorithm given in Table 2, and the results are depicted in F1-CV and F2-CV figures. As a result of segment optimization, F1 was segmented into four (red circle on F1-CV) and F2 into three segments (red circle on F2-CV).

The segmented-F1 and segmented-F2 plots in Figure 8 show the decomposed time series results after the optimization. The proposed segment evaluation algorithm could effectively optimize the feature segments with different degradation behaviors and can thus be used to detect machine health states.

The feature \textit{stdev}, which was selected as the best feature, went through the same time series segment optimization algorithm to identify the degradation levels of the sliding-chair plates. Figure 9 shows the segment evaluation algorithm results for the sliding-chair degradation. The \textit{stdev} was decomposed into four segments (segmented \textit{stdev} plot in Figure 9) indicating the sliding-chair health state transitions with varying degradation levels. Results of this step were further used in labeling raw force data for fault severity classification. Table 6 shows the feature segment borders

**TABLE 5** Intraclass feature analysis and calculated fitness function results (step 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monotonicity</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Fitness-1</th>
<th>Fitness-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stddev</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2p</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Var</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cfractor</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 8** Segment evaluation results for simulated features [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
(cycles), within segment RoC and assigned data labels. First 42 force time series were labeled as “healthy,” series between 43 and 60 as “minor,” series between 61 and 72 as “medium,” and series between 73 and 100 as “sever” health states for sliding-chair degradation. Figure 10 shows one sample from healthy, minor, medium, and sever force time series after segment optimization and labeling process, which indicate the sliding-chair degradation.

**Table 6** Feature segments and corresponding data labels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature segments (Sn, n = 1 ... 4)</th>
<th>S₁</th>
<th>S₂</th>
<th>S₃</th>
<th>S₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle (1–42)</td>
<td>(43–60)</td>
<td>(61–72)</td>
<td>(73–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoC (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data labels</td>
<td>“Healthy”</td>
<td>“Minor”</td>
<td>“Medium”</td>
<td>“Sever”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9** Segment evaluation algorithm results for the stdev prognostics feature [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

**Figure 10** Labeled force time series samples with different degradation levels [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The cycle where the healthy state jumps to the minor state, i.e., from 42 to 43, can be interpreted as the starting cycle for an incipiently developing fault in the sliding-chair degradation.

The fault severity classification was performed using different machine health state scenarios, assuming that a machine might be in different degradation levels depending on working conditions. By using these scenarios, the SVM- and KNN-based fault severity classification was performed, and their performances were compared. Table 7 shows simulated scenarios for sliding-chair degradation.

The SVM classifier was trained using linear (linSVM), quadratic (quadSVM), and Gaussian (gaussSVM) kernel functions due to their popularity and good performances. The main focus of this step is to perform multiclass classification using three kernel types of SVM and compare their results with the KNN algorithm. The kernel scale parameters (γ) and regularization parameters (C) for SVM kernels were tuned and optimized manually to get better classification accuracy and were kept constant in all model learning and prediction steps. The 10-fold cross-validation was used in the model training step. The data set was split into different training (50% and 70%) and testing (50% and 30%) samples. The data samples used in model training (Tr) and testing (Ts) were selected randomly in each trial. The training (Tr_acc) and testing (Ts_acc) accuracy values are the mean values of 10 iterations for the corresponding training and testing data samples. Table 8, next to the estimated kernel learning parameters.

Since the variance between the health state transitions in the scenario 1, such as healthy, minor, and medium, are not that much big, the classification results are not as accurate as in the scenarios 2 and 3. In the scenario 2, misclassification errors are mostly due to the less variance between the data sets which are in healthy and minor classes. This can be also seen from Table 6, where the RoC for S1 and S2, which indicate the degradation RoC, are closer to each other when compared with S3 and S4. Scenarios 3 and 4 data were classified very accurately by both SVM and KNN classifiers due to the higher variance between the healthy, medium, and sever classes. It is important to note that none of the SVM kernels have superiority over the others in the classification problems. The performances of different kernel SVMs are strongly dependent on the data type, the number of classes, and the parameter optimization. The KNN also performed well with good classification accuracies for this case study. The KNN has a good performance in fault classification in either linearly or nonlinearly distributed data points; the only disadvantage is its sensitivity to outliers (noise) and the necessity for more data points to perform well classification. Consequently, SVM- and KNN-based fault severity classifications can be effectively used in point machine sliding-chair health assessment.

### Table 7  Machine degradation health state scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{'healthy', 'minor', 'medium', 'sever'}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{'healthy', 'minor', 'sever'}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{'healthy', 'medium', 'sever'}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{'healthy', 'sever'}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8  Fault severity classification results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Learning Parameters</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
<th>Scenario 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Tr_acc, %</td>
<td>Ts_acc, %</td>
<td>Tr_acc, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Ts</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>lin support vector machine (SVM)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quad SVM</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gauss SVM</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k-nearest neighbor (KNN)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>lin SVM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quad SVM</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gauss SVM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this paper, a MHA methodology, composed of offline and online phases, for point machine sliding-chair degradation was proposed. In the offline phase, a new feature selection and time series segmentation-based fault severity extraction and data labeling approaches were developed and demonstrated. In the online phase, by using supervised machine learning tools, a sliding-chair fault severity classification was studied. The fault severity classification was validated on simulated machine degradation scenarios to test and compare the performances of each classification algorithm. As a conclusion, the proposed methodology can be effectively used in MHA using raw time series.

Note that the proposed approach may not be applicable if the monitoring data available are incomplete. In this case, one should implement appropriate data imputation algorithms to clean and complete the data before using them for fault detection and severity extraction.

In the future, this methodology will be further extended for point machine sliding-chair failure prognostics based on feature fusion. In addition, the RUL of the system will be predicted by triggering the prognostics algorithm in different degradation levels such as minor and medium, and prognostics metrics will be calculated and analyzed.
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