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van der Waals interaction between a moving nano-cylinder and a liquid thin film

René Ledesma-Alonso, Elie Raphaël, Thomas Salez, Philippe Tordjeman and Dominique Legendre

We study the static and dynamic interaction between a horizontal cylindrical nano-probe and a thin liquid film. The effects of the physical and geometrical parameters, with a special focus on the film thickness, the probe speed, and the distance between the probe and the free surface are analyzed. Deformation profiles have been computed numerically from a Reynolds lubrication equation, coupled to a modified Young–Laplace equation, which takes into account the probe/liquid and the liquid/substrate non-retarded van der Waals interactions. We have found that the film thickness and the probe speed have a significant effect on the threshold separation distance below which the jump-to-contact instability is triggered. These results encourage the use of horizontal cylindrical nano-probes to scan thin liquid films, in order to determine either the physical or geometrical properties of the latter, through the measurement of interaction forces.

1 Introduction

Classical Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) experiments make possible the determination of interaction forces between nano-probes and the surfaces of liquids. In the absence of electric charges, the probe interacts with the liquid only through van der Waals (vdW) forces. These interactions induce a deformation of the surface of the liquid. With a probe of nanometric size, the interaction forces matter only at very small distances between the tip and the surface liquid, e.g. a force on the order of $F = 10^{-11}$ N is detected at a distance around $s = 10$ nm. However, at these distances, the force measurement becomes hard to achieve since the jump-to-contact (JTC) instability occurs. In such a case, the surface of the liquid moves and jumps towards the probe, wetting it and forming a capillary bridge, leading to a change in the nature of the measured forces from vdW to capillary. Therefore, to measure molecular forces and study the dynamics of liquid surfaces at the nanoscale, it is fundamental to estimate the critical distance, in order to approach and scan the liquid at a distance just above the JTC threshold.

In this letter, we suggest the employment of a horizontal cylinder as a nano-probe to scan a liquid thin film. The advantage of this geometry is to increase the intensity of the vdW interaction forces, while keeping a nanoscale spatial resolution in the direction perpendicular to the cylinder axis. Comparing a nano-cylinder of radius $r$ and length $l_y$ with a spherical probe of same radius, due to the enlarged region of strong interaction for the cylindrical geometry, the probe–liquid force increases by a factor that scales as $l_y/(2rs)$\(^{1/2}\). For instance, a nano-cylinder, with length $l_y = 1$ µm and radius $r = 10$ nm at distance $s = 30$ nm, generates a force ~40 times stronger than a sphere of the same radius. Alternatively, a typical interaction force of $F = 10^{-11}$ N, measured by a spherical probe at $s \sim 4$ nm, is also obtained with a cylindrical probe at $s \sim 23$ nm, which may be a good distance to avoid the probe wetting.

Recently, we have developed a hydrodynamic model that forecasts the interaction force between a liquid and a spherical nano-probe, which also predicts the critical distance for the JTC phenomenon to occur. Here, we consider a nano-cylinder, which length is much larger than its radius, placed close and parallel to a liquid thin film. We study the cylinder–liquid static interaction and the dynamic behaviour of the liquid surface due to the motion of the cylinder, perpendicular to its axis and at a constant speed. Additionally, we show that, in both cases, the critical JTC distance decreases when the film thickness is reduced. The threshold JTC distance is larger than that observed for a steady spherical probe. For the cylinder–liquid dynamic case, it is found to be controlled by a critical velocity, which is a function of the film thickness. Finally, we estimate the interaction force between the cylindrical nano-probe and the thin liquid film, for given probe speeds and separation distances. Using our results, one may determine some properties...
of the film, i.e. its thickness or rheology, while avoiding the JTC instability.

2 Problem formulation

We consider a liquid film of thickness e, density ρ, dynamic viscosity μ and air–liquid surface tension γ deposited over a flat horizontal substrate. Above the liquid film, the axis of a solid cylinder, of radius r and infinitely large in the y-direction (see Fig. 1), is placed at a vertical distance h from the flat-film surface. The two bodies, liquid and cylinder, are attracted to each other due to the non-retarded van der Waals (nr-vdW) interaction, characterized by a Hamaker constant Acl. Similarly, the liquid film is also attracted to the substrate, with a Hamaker constant Acl. In addition, the cylinder moves parallel to the horizontal plane z = 0, with a constant speed v ≥ 0 in the x-direction.

At the air–liquid interface, the pressure difference p is described by the modified Young–Laplace equation:

\[ p = 2γk + ρgh - ψ_{cl} + ψ_{ls}, \]

where h and 2κ are the vertical position and the curvature of the liquid surface, respectively, g is the acceleration of gravity, whilst ψ_{cl} and ψ_{ls} are the cylinder–liquid and liquid–substrate nr-vdW interaction potentials. Moreover, lubrication theory, considering no-slip at the liquid–substrate interface and no-shear at the air–liquid interface, yields the following Reynolds equation: 23–25

\[ \frac{∂h}{∂t} = \frac{∂}{∂x} \left[ \frac{(e + h)^3}{3μ} \frac{∂p}{∂x} \right], \]

which relates the dynamic behaviour of the liquid free surface to the film properties and the pressure difference.

Using the cylinder radius as a characteristic length scale, one sets the following dimensionless variables:

\[ X = x/r, \quad ζ = hr/λ_κ^2, \quad T = tτ, \]
\[ E = e/r, \quad S = s/r, \quad V = vr/r. \]

where the Hamaker length \( λ_κ = \sqrt{Acl/12πγ} \) arises from the balance between cylinder–liquid nr-vdW and surface tension forces. 26

Fig. 1 Schematic of the cylinder–liquid–substrate system.

We recall the capillary length \( λ_C = \sqrt{γ/ρg} \), yielded when surface tension and gravity effects are matched, 15 the film characteristic length \( λ_F = \sqrt{2πγe^3/A_{cl}} \), obtained from the comparison between surface tension and liquid–substrate nr-vdW forces, 22 and the film viscous-capillary time, 15 the latter defined as \( τ = 3μ^3/(γe^3) \). We also introduce the Bond number Bo, the Hamaker number Ha and the Hamaker ratio A, which are defined as:

\[ Bo = (r/λ_κ)^2, \quad Ha = (λ_κ/r)^2, \quad A = A_{cl}/A_{cl}. \]

The problem being independent of the y-coordinates, the curvature of the air–liquid interface 2κ reads, for small slopes:

\[ 2κ = (Ha/r)2K, \quad 2K = -\frac{∂^2ζ}{∂X^2}, \]

where 2K corresponds to the dimensionless curvature.

The nr-vdW interaction potentials ψ_{cl} and ψ_{ls} are obtained directly from the Hamaker theory. 27 For instance, the cylinder–liquid interaction potential ψ_{cl} is obtained by integrating the vdW potential energy between the cylinder, with a given density of molecules and fixed shape, and a differential volume, also with its corresponding density of molecules, at a given distance from the cylinder. Afterwards, taking the derivative of the above mentioned integral with respect to the separation distance and subtracting the reference unperturbed state – when the cylinder–liquid distance tends to infinity, yield the expression for the potential ψ_{cl}. Herein, we present the result of this calculation, but before, we shall define τ, the distance from the axis of the cylinder to a point (see Fig. 1) placed at the air–liquid interface with coordinates (x, h), and its dimensionless equivalent \( L = τ/r \) at \( (X, ζ) \).

The distance L is related to the spatial variables by the relation:

\[ L = \sqrt{(S - Haζ)^2 + (X - VT)^2}. \]

Hence, the cylinder–liquid interaction potential is related to its dimensionless equivalent as follows:

\[ ψ_{cl} = (γHa/r)Ψ_{cl}, \]

where the dimensionless potential Ψ_{cl} is given by:

\[ Ψ_{cl} = \frac{L + 1}{(L^2 - 1)^2} \left[ L^2 + 7 \right] f_2(L) - 2[L + 3] f_1(L). \]

The functions \( f_1(L) \) and \( f_2(L) \) are defined as:

\[ f_1(L) = -i[Z \left( \sqrt{1 - m^2} \right)^2] \]
\[ f_2(L) = \text{Re}[iZ(1/m)] + 1 - m^2 f_1(L), \]

with \( i = \sqrt{-1} \), being the imaginary unit, and \( m = (L - 1)/(L + 1) \), whereas \( Z \) and \( δ(z) \) are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds, 28,29 respectively. The trend of the dimensionless interaction potential Ψ_{cl} is shown in Fig. 2a.

In turn, the liquid–substrate interaction potential ψ_{ls} is obtained by integrating the vdW potential energy between the substrate, a lower half-space with a given density, and a differential volume at the free surface of the liquid, at a given vertical position from the surface of the substrate. Then, taking
the derivative of the previously-mentioned integral with respect to the liquid thickness and subtracting the reference unperturbed state – when the surface of the liquid is flat at \( z = 0 \) – yield the expression for the potential \( \psi_{ls} \). In brief, the liquid–substrate interaction potential is related to its dimensionless equivalent as follows:

\[
\psi_{ls} = (\gamma H_a/r)(2A/E^3)\Psi_{ls},
\]

(10)

where the dimensionless interaction \( \Psi_{ls} \) is given by:

\[
\Psi_{ls} = 1 - (1 + Ha\zeta/E)^{-3}.
\]

(11)

Finally, if we define the dimensionless pressure difference \( P \) such that:

\[
\psi = (\gamma H_a/r)P,
\]

(12)

then eqn (1) is re-written in dimensionless terms as:

\[
P = 2K + Bo \zeta - \Psi_{el} + (2A/E^3)\Psi_{ls},
\]

(13)

and eqn (2) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{Ha \zeta}{E} \right)^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} \right].
\]

(14)

### 2.1 Comoving frame

If one considers a transformation to the comoving frame of the cylinder, through the new variable \( U = X - VT \), the Reynolds lubrication equation becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial T} - V \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial U} = \frac{\partial}{\partial U} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{Ha \zeta}{E} \right)^3 \frac{\partial P}{\partial U} \right].
\]

(15)

Surface profiles that are steady in this comoving frame are obtained by setting \( \partial \zeta/\partial T = 0 \). Such states are identified as waves travelling to the right in the \( X \)-direction, with speed \( V \) and without change of shape. Therefore, for those solutions, one finds the following ODE:

\[
\frac{d \zeta}{d U} = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{d V}{d U} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{Ha \zeta}{E} \right)^3 \frac{d P}{d U} \right],
\]

(16)

which, after integration and considering that \( \zeta = 0 \) and \( dP/dU = 0 \) at \( U \to \pm \infty \), can be reduced to:

\[
\frac{dP}{dU} = -V \zeta \left( 1 + \frac{Ha \zeta}{E} \right)^{-3}.
\]

(17)

### 2.2 Boundary conditions

Substituting eqn (13) within eqn (17), considering the small-deformation \( Ha \zeta/E \ll 1 \) and the small-slope \( Ha \zeta/dU \ll 1 \) approximations, and introducing the effective Bond number \( Bo^* \) and the modified capillary length \( \lambda_{CF} \):

\[
Bo^* = Bo + (r/4x)^2, \quad \lambda_{CF} = r/\sqrt{Bo^*},
\]

(18)

one finds the simplified equation:

\[
\frac{d^2 \zeta}{d U^2} - Bo^* \frac{d \zeta}{d U} - V \zeta = -\frac{d \Psi_{el}}{d U},
\]

(19)

where \( \Psi_{el} = \Psi_{el}(L) \) and \( L = L(U, \zeta) \).

For \( |U| \gg S \), the dimensionless cylinder–liquid interaction and its derivative can be neglected \( d \Psi_{el}/dU \to 0 \), and eqn (19) reduces to:

\[
\frac{d^2 \zeta}{d U^2} - Bo^* \frac{d \zeta}{d U} - V \zeta = 0,
\]

(20)

which solution is given by:

\[
\zeta = N \exp \left( \frac{Bo^*}{3} k_U U \right),
\]

(21)

with \( N \) being a proportionality constant. In turn, \( k_U \) is the solution of the characteristic equation:

\[
k_U^3 - 3k_U - 2 \gamma^* = 0,
\]

(22)

where \( \gamma^* \) is the rescaled probe speed, defined as:

\[
\gamma^* = \frac{V}{v_c} = \frac{V}{2 \left[ Bo^* \right]^{3/2}}, \quad v_c = \frac{2}{9\sqrt{3}} \frac{v}{[\lambda_{CF}]^3}.
\]

(23)

Here, \( v_c \) appears as a characteristic speed. The solutions of eqn (22) are:

\[
k_{U(j)} = \left( \Omega/\sigma_j \right) + (\sigma_j/\Omega) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, 3,
\]

(24)

with:

\[
\Omega = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{\gamma^*} - 1}, \quad \sigma_j = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} (j - 1) \right),
\]

(25)

where \( \Omega \) satisfies the relations \( |\text{Re}(\Omega)|^2 = 1 - |\text{Im}(\Omega)|^2 \) for \( \gamma^* \leq 1 \) and \( |\text{Im}(\Omega)| = 0 \) for \( \gamma^* \geq 1 \). The dependence of \( k_{U(j)} \) on the rescaled probe speed \( \gamma^* \) is presented in Fig. 2b.

---

Fig. 2 (a) Dimensionless cylinder–liquid interaction potential, given by eqn (8), and (b) the wavenumbers obtained from the far-field characteristic equation, i.e. the three solutions of eqn (22) given by eqn (24) and (25).
On one hand, the asymptotic solution for $U \to -\infty$:

$$
\zeta = N_1 \exp \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3} k_{U(1)} U}.
$$

(26)

is found, allowing us to derive the following conditions:

$$
\frac{d\zeta}{dU} = \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3} k_{U(1)}} \zeta, \quad P = -\sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3} V \zeta}.
$$

(27)

On the other hand, we find for $U \to \infty$:

$$
\zeta = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} N_j \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3} k_{U(j)} U} \right),
$$

(28)

and:

$$
P = \frac{-3V}{B_0 k_{U(3)}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3} \left( k_{U(2)} + k_{U(3)} \right) \zeta - \frac{d\zeta}{dU}} \right].
$$

(29)

Eqn (26)–(29) are employed as boundary conditions (BCs) to find a numerical solution for the system formed by eqn (13) and (17).

### 2.3 Model applicability and dimensionless parameters

The dimensionless parameters employed in this analysis are reported in Table 1. It is worth emphasizing that the approach developed in this manuscript is based on the lubrication approximation, as seen in eqn (14), and it is valid for sufficiently small values of the thickness-to-horizontal-length (THL) ratio $e/\lambda_{CF} \ll 1$ and of the dimensionless product $\text{Re} e/\lambda_{CF} \ll 1$, where $\text{Re} = \rho \omega r \mu$ is the Reynolds number.\(^{23-25}\) For the purpose of determining the range of the aforementioned dimensionless parameters in this study, we will analyse the two extreme cases: the thickest films with $e/r = 10^3$ and the thinnest films with $e/r = 1$.

On one hand, the thickest films present a modified capillary length $\lambda_{CF}/r = 10^3$ and, as a consequence, a THL ratio $e/\lambda_{CF} = 10^{-2}$. If we take a probe of radius $r = 10^{-8}$ m and a liquid with $\rho = 10^3$ kg m\(^{-3}\), $\mu = 10^{-1}$ Pa s and $\gamma = 10^{-2}$ N m\(^{-1}\), the characteristic speed is $v_c = 1.28 \times 10^{-4}$ m s\(^{-1}\), according to eqn (23). Furthermore, in our study we consider the range $r^* \in [10^{-3}, 10^9]$ for the rescaled probe speed, which corresponds to values of the dimensionless product $\text{Re} e/\lambda_{CF} < 1.28 \times 10^{-5}$.

On the other hand, for the thinnest films we find the values $\lambda_{CF}/r = 7.93$ and $e/\lambda_{CF} = 1.26 \times 10^{-1}$, which lead to a characteristic speed $v_c = 2.58 \times 10^{-5}$ m s\(^{-1}\) and values of the dimensionless product $\text{Re} e/\lambda_{CF} < 3.25 \times 10^{-4}$.

In brief, the above mentioned ranges of the THL ratio and the dimensionless product, of the Reynolds number and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Film thickness, $E$</td>
<td>$[10^6, 10^7]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamaker number, $H_a$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamaker ratio, $A$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond number, $B_0$</td>
<td>$10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective bond number, $B_{0e}$</td>
<td>$[10^{-10}, 1.6 \times 10^{-2}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe vertical position, $S$</td>
<td>$[1 \times 10^5, 1.5 \times 10^7]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescales probe speed, $Y$</td>
<td>$[10^{-1}, 10^3]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Dimensionless parameters employed in this study  

THL ratio, fulfill the requirements $e/\lambda_{CF} \ll 1$ and $\text{Re} e/\lambda_{CF} \ll 1$, which justify the employment of the lubrication approximation.

### 3 Static surface profiles

The static case corresponds to a situation for which the cylinder displacement speed is set to $v = 0$. This is accomplished by solving eqn (13), setting $P = 0$, also considering the corresponding BCs and using the corresponding dimensionless parameters reported in Table 1. In Fig. 3a, the shape of the liquid surface $z(U)$ is plotted for different values of the dimensionless film thickness, which has been varied in the range $E \in [10^0, 10^3]$. All the surface profiles present a symmetric shape, with respect to the $U = 0$ axis, an exponential decay and a bump-like rounded summit with finite curvature. The main difference resides in their amplitude, since each curve has been obtained for a particular distance $S = S_{\text{min}}$, whose value depends specifically on $E$. $S_{\text{min}}$ corresponds to the minimum separation distance before the JTC phenomenon occurs. In Fig. 3b, the apex height of the surface $z_{\text{ap}}$, which is placed at $U = 0$, is presented as a function of the distance $S$. For a given film thickness $E$, decreasing $S$ from $\infty$ towards shorter values leads to a monotonic increase of $z_{\text{ap}}$. The probe–liquid interaction increases, pulling up the liquid surface with an increasing strength, which is consistently opposed by the surface tension, the hydrostatic and the liquid–substrate disjoining pressures, leading to an equilibrium surface profile. There are two values of the surface apex height $z_{\text{ap}}$, at a given distance $S$, one smaller belonging to a low energy and stable branch, whereas the higher resides on a high energy and unstable branch. At the distance $S = S_{\text{min}}$, the two branches connect and yield a unique equilibrium surface profile with the maximum amplitude possible, which corresponds to the curves shown in Fig. 3a for the selected values of $E$. For shorter separation distances, below $S = S_{\text{min}}$, the surface tension, hydrostatic and liquid–substrate interaction effects cannot hold the strength of the probe–liquid interaction, and the bump-like shape of the film surface becomes unstable, provoking the jump of the liquid onto the probe (JTC phenomenon) and the formation of a capillary bridge.\(^{13,19}\)

Due to the complexity of the cylinder–liquid interaction potential, we are not able to find analytically a simple expression to relate $z_{\text{ap}}$ and $S$. Nevertheless, thanks to past experience,\(^{22}\) we know that the aforementioned relation should take the form of the following Ansatz:

$$
S \approx 1 + H_{a} z_{\text{ap}} + z (H_{a} z_{\text{ap}})^{\beta}.
$$

(30)

where $z$ and $\beta$ are parameters, whose behaviours have been determined by applying a fit to the curves shown in Fig. 3b. It results that $\beta = -0.3$ is a constant, whereas $z$ is a function of the film thickness $E$, which can be accurately described by the saturating exponential function:

$$
z = 6.2 - 5.9 \exp(-0.02E).
$$

(31)

The parameters $z$ and $\beta$ are presented in Fig. 3c as functions of $E$. Also, by making $dS/dz_{\text{ap}} = 0$ in eqn (30), we find:

$$
H_{a} z_{\text{ap}} = (-z\beta)^{1/(1-\beta)},
$$

(32)
the maximum physically possible height of the surface apex, and we are able to calculate \( S_{\min}^0 \) by making \( \zeta_0 = \zeta_{\max} \) in eqn (30). The values of \( \zeta_{\max} \) and \( S_{\min} \) both obtained numerically and from the combination of eqn (30)-(32), are presented in Fig. 3d as functions of \( E \). As the dimensionless film thickness \( E \) increases, both critical values \( \zeta_{\max} \) and \( S_{\min}^0 \) grow monotonically, from \( \zeta_{\max} = 0 \) and \( S_{\min}^0 = 0 \) at \( E = 0 \) towards the corresponding bulk plateau for each quantity \( \zeta_{\max}(E \rightarrow \infty) \) and \( S_{\min}^0(E \rightarrow \infty) \), which starts around \( E = 316 \).

4 Dynamic surface profile

In Fig. 4, typical dynamic profiles of the air–liquid interface are shown. They have been calculated from eqn (13) and (17), with the dimensionless parameters reported in Table 1, for two dimensionless film thicknesses: \( E = 1000 \) (top row in Fig. 4) and \( E = 32 \) (bottom row in Fig. 4). For each thickness, two different values of the dimensionless distance \( S \) are presented, the first (left) being \( S > S_{\min}^0 \) and the second (right) being \( S < S_{\min}^0 \), i.e. above and below the static critical distance \( S_{\min}^0 \) introduced previously. Additionally, the rescaled probe speed has been varied in the range \( \ddot{r} \in [10^{-3},10^{6}] \). When \( S > S_{\min}^0 \) (left column in Fig. 4), the effect of \( \ddot{r} \) is directly observed on the height of the apex \( \zeta_{\max} \). Note that we define \( \zeta_{\max} \) as the uppermost position of the dynamic surface, which may not be placed at \( U = 0 \) as for the static apex \( \zeta_0 \). As \( \ddot{r} \) increases, \( \zeta_{\max} \) decreases, together with the extent of the surface profile. For \( \ddot{r} \leq 10^{-3} \), the surface is still vertically displaced in the far field, near \( |U\sqrt{Bo}| \sim 6 \), and the surface profile shows a symmetric shape with respect to the position \( U = 0 \). As \( \ddot{r} \) is increased, \( \zeta_{\max} \) lowers monotonically and the surface profile becomes asymmetric: an exponential decay for \( U < 0 \) and oscillations within an exponential decay envelope for \( U > 0 \). Indeed, when the probe moves slowly, for instance \( \ddot{r} < 10^{-3} \), the film has time to drain a significant amount of liquid from far-away regions towards the location of the probe, creating a nearly symmetric and high bump. In contrast, when the probe motion is relatively fast \( \ddot{r} \in [10^{-2},10^{6}] \), also due to the mass conservation, the film has only time to take the liquid that is nearest to the probe, creating a sunken region in front of the probe (downstream \( U > 0 \)). The higher the speed, the shorter the amount of collected liquid becomes, and the bump below the probe is smaller and slightly left behind to the upstream region \( U < 0 \). In other words, as the rescaled speed is increased above \( \ddot{r} > 10^6 \), the bump and the sunken region have less time to be formed, showing smaller magnitudes and being confined to a narrower region around \( U = 0 \). For \( \ddot{r} \geq 10^6 \), the surface profile is only a very small crease at \( U = 0 \).

The transition from a symmetric profile towards an asymmetric behaviour is also theoretically predicted, recalling eqn (26) and (28) and the trends of \( k_{ij,j} \) shown in Fig. 2b. For \( \ddot{r} < 10^{-1} \) a quasi-static film profile, symmetric with an exponential decay, is found, whereas for \( \ddot{r} > 10^6 \) a non-symmetric profile, with an exponential decay at the region \( U \rightarrow -\infty \) and attenuated surface oscillations at \( U \rightarrow \infty \), occurs.
For $S < S_{\text{min}}^0$ (right column in Fig. 4), even though this range of distances corresponds to situations for which it is not possible to find a static surface profile other than a capillary bridge, non-contact dynamic profiles exist at relatively high rescaled speeds $\nu > \nu_{\text{crit}}$. For a given distance $S < S_{\text{min}}^0$, the critical value $\nu_{\text{crit}}$ corresponds to the probe speed above which we can still displace the probe along the film surface without creating a capillary bridge. Thus, for $\nu > \nu_{\text{crit}}$, a non-contact profile exists (different from the capillary bridge), corresponding to an asymmetric profile, i.e. an exponential decay for $U < 0$ and oscillations within an exponential envelope for $U > 0$. A further increase of $\nu$, provokes a reduction of the surface perturbation extent, both in the vertical and the horizontal directions, while maintaining the same shape of the decay-oscillating profile.

In Fig. 5, the surface apex $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ and its horizontal position $U(\zeta_{\text{max}})$ are shown as functions of the rescaled probe speed $\nu$. They have been calculated for the dimensionless parameters reported in Table 1, with the effective Bond number in the indicated range, since the film thickness has been varied within $E \in [10^{-3}, 10^0]$. The separation distances $S = 8.1$, $S = 5.1$ and $S = 3.29$, which were shown in Fig. 4, are also the ones presented in Fig. 5. One should keep in mind that, for the three cases, $S$ may be larger or smaller than $S_{\text{min}}^0$, depending on the specific value of $E$ under analysis.

When $S \geq S_{\text{min}}^0$, $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ is nearly constant in the low-speed regime where $\nu < 1$, whereas its value drops when $\nu$ is increased above $\nu = 1$. In the high-speed regimes where $\nu > 1$, the apex height scales as $\zeta_{\text{max}} \sim \nu^{-1/3}$ for thick films with $E > 10$, whereas the scaling $\zeta_{\text{max}} \sim \nu^{-1}$ is clearly discerned for thin films with $E = 1$. For films of intermediate thicknesses with $1 < E \leq 10$, we observe the transit from a thick-film behaviour at very high speeds, to a thin-film behaviour at moderately high speeds. This crossover occurs at larger values of $\nu$ as the distance $S$ is shortened.

Furthermore, still considering that $S \geq S_{\text{min}}^0$, the horizontal position $U$ of the apex $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ shifts from the probe position towards a downstream position where $U < 0$, as $\nu$ is increased. Specifically, in the slow regime where $\nu < 1$, $U(\zeta_{\text{max}})$ stands on the plateau $U = 0$ and, at high speed where $\nu \gg 1$, it drops to either reach directly a second plateau, for thick films or thin films, or to transit slowly between them, for intermediate thicknesses. The level of the second plateau, at high speed where $\nu \gg 1$, for both thick and thin films, gets closer to the center $U = 0$ as the separation distance $S$ is diminished.

Finally, when the separation distance is shorter than the static threshold ($S < S_{\text{min}}^0$), non-contact surface profiles are only observed for a restricted speed range: $\nu > \nu_{\text{crit}}$. The data points for $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ and $U(\zeta_{\text{max}})$ are obtained in the corresponding speed regime, and are represented in Fig. 5 as left-truncated data. As it can be observed, at $\nu = \nu_{\text{crit}}$, $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ diverges and $U(\zeta_{\text{max}})$ approaches $U = 0$. When the rescaled speed $\nu$ is increased, both quantities $\zeta_{\text{max}}$ and $U(\zeta_{\text{max}})$ show a decreasing behaviour similar to that observed for $S \geq S_{\text{min}}^0$.

5 Dynamic jump-to-contact distance

In order to retrieve the critical speed $\nu_{\text{crit}}$ for a film of a certain thickness $E$ and a cylinder placed at a fixed separation distance $S$, we have implemented the following procedure. With a fixed $S$, starting from the highest value of the rescaled speed used in this study, $\nu = 10^6$, a decrease of $\nu$ is performed. For a distance larger than the static threshold $S > S_{\text{min}}^0$, a non-contact solution can be found for any probe speed $\nu$. In contrast, for $S < S_{\text{min}}^0$, a solution can be found only for speeds...
above the critical speed $\nu^\text{crit}$. The slope of the curve given by $\zeta_{\text{max}}(\log(\nu^\text{crit}))$ is tracked, until it reaches the value of $10^3$, which we decided to be the indicator for the speed threshold, and the wetting of the probe. This criterion also defines a minimum separation distance $S^\text{min}$ for a dynamic situation with a finite speed $\nu^\text{crit} > 0$. The results of this procedure are presented in Fig. 6a, where the dynamic minimum distance $S^\text{min}$ is plotted against the critical speed $\nu^\text{crit}$. All the curves $S^\text{min}(\nu^\text{crit})$ follow the same trend, for low speeds $\nu^\text{crit} < 1$ the critical distance remains at the static threshold $S^\text{min} = S^\text{0}$, and, as the speed $\nu^\text{crit}$ increases, $S^\text{min}$ lowers monotonically, with an inflection point occurring at a distance $S = S^\text{flex}$. Using this information, a reduced separation distance $S^*$ may be defined as:

$$S^* = \frac{S - S^\text{flex}}{S^\text{0} - S^\text{flex}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

which allows the data shown in Fig. 6a to collapse into a single curve, as presented in Fig. 6b. Additionally, in Fig. 6b (inset), the inflection distance $S^\text{flex}$ as a function of $E$ is reported, for which the following empirical sigmoidal shape describes the data accurately:

$$S^\text{flex} = 4 + 2.5 \tanh(1.33 \log(E) - 2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Hence, one can identify the non-contact region and the dynamic jump-to-contact region, in between which the curve $S^*(\nu^\text{crit})$ acts as a boundary. This boundary can be empirically described by the function:

$$S^* = 5 \exp[-0.15 \log(\nu^\text{crit}) - 4],$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

as shown in Fig. 6b. For a fixed value of the reduced separation distance $S^* < 1$, a rescaled speed above the threshold value $\nu^\text{crit}$ allows us to scan the liquid surface without wetting the cylinder. On the other hand, a rescaled speed below the threshold value $\nu^\text{crit}$ provokes the wetting of the cylindrical probe.

6 Force estimate

To compare with experimental data, a relation between force and speed is required, considering their proper dimensions.
For small slopes of the air–liquid interface, the force \( F_{cl} \) per unit length, mutually exerted between the cylindrical probe and the liquid film, can be approximated by:

\[
F_{cl} = \frac{A_d}{8\sqrt{2r}(L_{\text{min}} - 1)}.
\]  

(36)

\( L_{\text{min}} \) being the shortest distance between the surface of the cylinder and the free surface of the film, which reads:

\[
L_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{S - Ha\xi_{\text{max}}}^2 + [U(\xi_{\text{max}})]^2.
\]  

(37)

Using the data obtained for \( \nu > \nu_{\text{crit}} \), \( F_{cl} \) has been computed, and it is reported as a function of the probe speed \( v \) in Fig. 7, for a cylindrical probe of radius \( r = 10 \) nm and the film thicknesses \( e = r, 10r, 1000r \), each curve corresponding to a single value of the distance \( s \). For given values of \( e \) and \( s \), a cylindrical nanoprobe scanning a thin film may experience an increasing interaction force \( F_{cl} \) when the speed \( v \) is lowered. The intensity of \( F_{cl} \) grows dramatically as \( v \) decreases and approaches its “no return” value \( v = v_{\nu,\nu_{\text{crit}}} \), at which the force diverges and the JTC instability is triggered. It is important to notice that, in Fig. 7, the magnitude of the force is larger for a thin film than for a thick film, because the threshold separation distances is shorter for the former than for the latter. It is, in consequence, the combination of thickness \( e \) and distance \( s \) which defines the force magnitude for a given speed \( v \), as it can be discerned in Fig. 7.
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Fig. 6 (a) Minimum separation distance \( S_{\text{min}} \) as a function of the critical speed \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \) for different values of the dimensionless thickness \( E \) (in colors). Dashed lines represent the values of \( S_{\text{min}} \) in the static limit \( \nu = 0 \), for each \( E \). (b) Phase diagram which interface is given by the reduced separation distance \( S^* \), defined in eqn (33), and \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \). The continuous line corresponds to the JTC threshold, given by eqn (35), and the dashed line represents the static value \( S_{\text{min}} \). The inset shows the separation distance of the inflection point \( \xi_{\text{max}} \), for each curve in (a), as a function of \( E \). The continuous line corresponds to eqn (34).

7 Conclusions

We have studied the effects of the non-retarded van der Waals interaction between a moving cylindrical probe and a liquid thin film deposited over a rigid substrate. The influences of the physical and geometric parameters have been analyzed via a few dimensionless parameters: effective Bond number \( Bo^* \), Hamaker number \( Ha \), separation distance \( S \) and film thickness \( E \). We have found that for both static and dynamic situations, the amplitude of the deformation increases with the Hamaker number \( Ha \), but decreases with the effective Bond number \( Bo^* \). In addition, we have verified that shortening the separation distance \( S \) leads to larger displacements \( \xi_{\text{max}} \) of the free-surface profile.

Our analysis of the dynamic case, which is based on the lubrication approximation, is applicable to thin-films perturbed by a moving probe when we have roughly that \( e/\lambda_{CB} \ll 1 \) and \( Re[\dot{e}/\lambda_{CB}] \ll 1 \), where \( Re \) is the Reynolds number computed with the probe speed and the film thickness, and \( e/\lambda_{CB} \) is the thickness-to-horizontal-length ratio. Under this condition, another dimensionless parameter playing a major role in the dynamic phenomenon is the rescaled probe speed \( \nu \). This new parameter controls the morphology of the film surface. Low speeds \( \nu < 10^{-1} \) yield a quasi-static surface profile, symmetric with respect to the horizontal position of the cylindrical probe \( U = 0 \), with an exponential-decay length proportional to \( (Bo^*)^{-1/2} \). High speeds \( \nu > 10^4 \) yield an asymmetric surface profile, with an exponential-decay length proportional to \( (Bo^*)^{-1/2}\nu^{-1/3} \) at horizontal positions where \( U \ll 0 \) and attenuated oscillations at \( U \gg 0 \).

Finally, we have unveiled that increasing the rescaled speed \( \nu \), above a critical value \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \) which depends on the separation distance \( S \), can prevent the jump-to-contact (JTC) instability. Alternatively, when scanning at a finite rescaled speed \( \nu > 0 \), the probe can be placed closer to the free surface of the liquid.
film, since the dynamic minimum separation distance $S_{\text{vmin}}$, below which the JTC instability is triggered, is smaller than the static threshold value $S_{\text{min}}$. A phase diagram has been presented, in terms of the rescaled speed $\tilde{V}$ and the reduced separation distance $S^*(S_{\text{vmin}}, E)$, which allows us to identify the dynamic non-contact and jump-to-contact regions. This result may be useful for determining the conditions to perform local-probe scanning experiments on viscous thin films, since experimentals may be able to increase the sensitivity of the probe by reducing the separation distance, while avoiding the wetting instability by increasing the probe speed. Additionally, the presented methodology can be employed to determine one of the physical or geometric parameters, e.g. film thickness or viscosity (rheology), when the remaining parameters are known. In comparison with a spherical probe, a cylindrical probe may yield a finer estimate of the film properties, since the measurements can be performed at shorter probe/liquid distances.
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