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A Mixed Function for Actuation and Power Flow Control in Embedded Networks
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Abstract—This paper proposes an original association of a three-phase electrical machine, here a permanent-magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) fed by two inverters, which allows to combine two different functions: actuation and power transfers between two DC buses which supply the inverters. The considered AC machine is an open-end winding PMSM allowing the connection of two inverters supplied by two different DC buses inside a high-voltage DC network. The control part of this system uses the Park’s theory not only to drive the actuator in motor as well as in generator operating modes but also to control the energy transfer from one DC bus to the other. The concept, mixing two main functions, is named MAPFC, for “mixed actuation and power flow control.” It has been particularly developed for aeronautical applications even if other application fields could be targeted. The complete modeling and operation of the system are described. Simulation studies and experimental results are presented, which are validating the proposed concepts.

Index Terms—Actuation, aeronautics, embedded networks, homopolar current, high-voltage DC (HVDC), Park’s reference frame, power flow control.

I. INTRODUCTION

The aeronautic domain is undergoing deep changes regarding electricity applications. Indeed, power generation, distribution, and management issues are critical in order to meet the requirements related to the environment—safety, mass, and volume. Since the advent of the concept of “more electrical aircraft,” electric power is used to supply an increasing number of embedded equipment [1]–[3]. As a legacy of choices made in the 1960s, AC networks are used to deliver the highest percentage of this electric power, with constant frequency (115 V, 400 Hz) or, more recently, variable frequency (115 V, 360–800 Hz). A recent evolution consists in using a 230-V AC (variable frequency) distribution network in order to increase the amount of electrical power available.

However, this voltage can be used to generate a high-voltage DC (HVDC) network [4], [5]. Indeed, a significant percentage of the embedded equipment includes a rectification and filtering input block, whose mass—a critical criterion for aerospace applications—can be spared if the DC voltage power is distributed. Additionally, high-power rectification units can be optimized to reduce the harmonic distortion on the AC network [6], [11]; with this solution, one can expect globally better results than with individual simple rectifiers included in each AC supplied equipment.

While increasing the power/weight ratio by decreasing wiring and deleting local AC/DC converters, HVDC distribution topologies, as well as AC networks, are facing quality or stability issues [7]–[10].

The stability problem is commonly encountered when a constant power load is connected to a regulated DC source [12]. Indeed, the association of those elements through a load input filter can lead to unstabilizing the distribution [13], [14]. Some solutions to solve this problem are available, taking into account the regulation laws of the constant power loads [15]–[18]. Quality of the distributed DC voltage is an issue which is addressed by the standards describing the expected harmonic and transient behavior of the voltage, as well as power availability, even in case of a loss of the generator supplying a power channel. In this last case, the power needs are satisfied by installing an energy transfer from a safe power channel to the one whose generator is out of order.

The “mixed actuation and power flow control” (MAPFC) configuration proposed in this paper is able to contribute to the HVDC voltage quality: As will be detailed, it introduces new possible power transfers which can be used to contribute positively to the two last items (transient behavior and availability). In the same time, it satisfies mechanical needs, as the proposed structure is basically a mechanical drive. Indeed, as can be seen in Fig. 1, the windings of an open-ended AC machine are connected between two different DC buses through two voltage source inverters.

This drive-derived structure will be shown to be helpful in managing power in HVDC networks—controlling or balancing power from one bus to the other. Those three cascaded elements are denominated as “MAPFC.” This structure has been proposed in other systems to meet other requirements—like hybrid vehicles with energy storage [19], [22], [23]—or with other kind of machines [20].

Considering the AC machine, stator voltages are twice the ones delivered by one inverter; an adapted dimensioning of
the latter has to be done. In this paper, a permanent-magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) is considered, but the principle remains valid as well when synchronous or asynchronous motors are used [19], [20].

The use of the Park’s theory helps in decoupling the control of the two mixed functions [26], [27]. A theoretical study of the MAPFC is developed, combining the system modeling and the control method chosen to drive the system. The system is then simulated in many operating cases, and those simulations are confronted with experimental results on a 10-kW MAPFC connected to a reduced power network representative of an aeronautic HVDC network.

II. OPERATING PRINCIPLES

A. Device Modeling

A description of the MAPFC structure is shown in Fig. 1. A three-phase AC machine being part of the MAPFC, it is relatively common to use a Park’s decomposition in order to study the system [7]. The equation set (1) presents the voltage equations of the PMSM in a Park’s reference frame (dqh) related to the rotor [8], the d-axis being associated with the magnetic field of the magnet. Equation (2) gives the different flux expressions in this frame

\[
\begin{align*}
U_d &= R_s \cdot i_d + \frac{d\Phi_d}{dt} - \omega \cdot \Phi_q \\
U_q &= R_s \cdot i_q + \frac{d\Phi_q}{dt} + \omega \cdot \Phi_d \\
U_h &= R_s \cdot i_h + \frac{d\Phi_h}{dt}
\end{align*}
\] (1)

with \( R_s \) being the stator resistance and \( \omega \) being the electrical angular rotation speed.

Note that the voltages considered in (1) are the open-ended stator winding voltages. The fluxes in the \((dqh)\) frame are defined as presented in

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_d \\
\Phi_q \\
\Phi_h
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
L_d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L_q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_h
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_d \\
i_q \\
i_h
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_m \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2)

where \( L_{d,q,h} \) is defined in (3) in the case of a nonsalient pole machine, \( \Phi_m \) is the magnet flux magnitude

\[
\begin{align*}
L_d &= L_q = L_s - M_s \\
L_h &= L_s + 2 \cdot M_s \\
L_s &= L_{sp} + L_{s\sigma} \\
M_s &= L_{sp} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -\frac{L_{sp}}{2}
\end{align*}
\] (3)

A modeling of the PMSM machine in the \((abc)\) frame allows the flux expressions to be defined as in (4); a sinusoidal space distribution of the magnetic flux is considered

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_a \\
\Phi_b \\
\Phi_c
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
L_a & M_{ab} & M_{ac} \\
M_{ba} & L_b & M_{bc} \\
M_{ca} & M_{cb} & L_c
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_a \\
i_b \\
i_c
\end{bmatrix} + \Phi_m
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\theta) \\
\cos(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{3}) \\
\cos(\theta - \frac{4\pi}{3})
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4)

The system is considered to be balanced in order to simplify those relations as stated in

\[
\begin{align*}
L_a &= L_b = L_c = L_s \\
M_{ab} &= M_{ba} = M_{ac} = M_{ca} = M_{bc} = M_{cb} = M_s.
\end{align*}
\] (5)

These relations lead to the relationships and the quantities associated with the \((dqh)\) frame, as shown in

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_d \\
\Phi_q \\
\Phi_h
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
L_s - M_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L_s - M_s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_s + 2 \cdot M_s
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_d \\
i_q \\
i_h
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_m \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (6)

where \( L_s \) is the total inductance of one stator phase and \( M_s \) represents the mutual inductance between two stator windings.

It is possible to consider a leakage inductance \( L_{s\sigma} \) representing magnetic leakage in the machine stator. As a result, a self-inductance \( L_{sp} \) is also added in the system modeling.

Thus, the inductances in the Park’s reference frame are expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_d &= L_q = \frac{3}{2} L_{sp} + L_{s\sigma} \\
L_h &= L_{s\sigma}.
\end{align*}
\] (7)

At this step, the definition of \( L_h \) requires an interpretation. Indeed, (7) defines the homopolar inductance as the stator leakage one. This may have consequences on the machine sizing, as this inductance will have to be chosen in order to allow the homopolar current control, which is not a classical issue. As a result, minimizing the leakage inductance will not be a key factor for sizing the electromagnetic parts.

Regarding the electromechanical torque \( \Gamma_m \) of a PMSM machine with no saliency as expressed in (8), the homopolar axis does not have any impact on the torque. Thus, both functions (actuation and power flow control) can be seen as decoupled

\[
\Gamma_m = \Phi_d \cdot i_d - \Phi_q \cdot i_q = \Phi_m \cdot i_q.
\] (8)

As the magnet flux is oriented along the \( d \)-axis, the torque expression is simplified and is classically only depending on the \( q \)-axis current and on the magnet flux.

Fig. 1. Synoptic of the MAPFC.
In order to complete the modeling of the MAPFC, the Park’s differential voltages relatively to the left and right duty cycles also described in the Park’s frame are displayed in

\[
\begin{align*}
U_d &= \alpha_{L,d} \cdot V_{L,\text{bus}} - \alpha_{R,d} \cdot V_{R,\text{bus}} \\
U_q &= \alpha_{L,q} \cdot V_{L,\text{bus}} - \alpha_{R,q} \cdot V_{R,\text{bus}} \\
U_h &= \alpha_{L,h} \cdot V_{L,\text{bus}} - \alpha_{R,h} \cdot V_{R,\text{bus}}
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

with

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{L,R,d} \\
\alpha_{L,R,q} \\
\alpha_{L,R,h}
\end{bmatrix} = \text{[Park]} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{L,R,d} \\
\alpha_{L,R,q} \\
\alpha_{L,R,h}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where [Park] represents the Park’s transformation matrix.

To determine the current relations, we use the power balance between AC and DC sides of each inverter

\[
\begin{align*}
I_L^d &= \alpha_{L,d}^d \cdot i_d + \alpha_{L,q}^d \cdot i_q + \alpha_{L,h}^d \cdot i_h \\
I_L^q &= \alpha_{L,d}^q \cdot i_d + \alpha_{L,q}^q \cdot i_q + \alpha_{L,h}^q \cdot i_h \\
I_L^h &= \alpha_{L,d}^h \cdot i_d + \alpha_{L,q}^h \cdot i_q + \alpha_{L,h}^h \cdot i_h
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

where \(I_L^{L,R}\) denotes, respectively, the left and right inverter currents on the DC side.

An electric equivalent model in the Park’s frame is shown in Fig. 2.

**B. Control Method**

The complete modeling of the MAPFC reveals that the currents can be controlled \([1]\) using six duty cycles defined in the Park’s frame \((\alpha_{d,q,h}^{L,R})\). The whole control architecture of the system is shown in Fig. 3. In order to define the six duty cycles, a strategy is created in each current regulation.

On the \(d\)-axis, the current reference is kept to zero. This allows operating with a maximized torque over rms current ratio. The \(d\)-axis current loop computes the \(U_d\) voltage that has to be applied on the \(d\)-axis across the windings. It should be noted that, when the voltages \((U_d\) and \(U_q))\) across the windings are set by the current controllers, one degree of freedom for each axis remains free, as the line voltages \((V_{L,d,q}^L\) and \(V_{R,d,q}^R))\) have to be determined. Thus, the strategy can be completed by adding (11) and (12) which define the voltages delivered by the two inverters. The \(d\)-axis line voltages are chosen to be balanced

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{L,d}^d &= \frac{U_d}{2} \\
V_{L,d}^q &= -\frac{U_d}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

Regarding the strategy chosen in the \(d\)-axis, the \(q\)-axis current is critical to control the actuator function. In order to exploit the existent remaining degree of freedom, we have chosen
to introduce the possibility to unbalance the actuation power from one side to the other. In this scope, an additional quantity $X_{\text{act}}$ following the law described in Fig. 4 is introduced.

The normal case corresponds to a 50% value of $X_{\text{act}}$ where each inverter supplies the machine with the same power. In an unbalanced case, $X_{\text{act}} = 0.3$, for instance, the left inverter supplies 30% of the total amount of actuation power, whereas the right inverter supplies 70%. Equation (12) summarizes the expression of $g$-axis voltages

$$\begin{align*}
V_{q}^{L} &= U_{q} \cdot X_{\text{act}} \\
V_{q}^{R} &= U_{q} \cdot (X_{\text{act}} - 1).
\end{align*}$$

Finally, the $h$-axis strategy is close to the $d$-axis one. The reference for the $h$-axis current loop defines the power transferred from a DC bus to the other one; the $U_{h}$ voltage calculated by this loop is used to define the associated quantities ($V_{h}^{L}$ and $V_{h}^{R}$) concerning both inverters. Equation (13) gives a summary of the voltage relations

$$\begin{align*}
V_{h}^{L} &= U_{h} \\
V_{h}^{R} &= -\frac{U_{h}}{2}.
\end{align*}$$

As a result, the DC side currents may be expressed as presented in (14), using (10), (11), (12), and (13)

$$\begin{align*}
I_{L} &= \frac{1}{V_{h}} \left( \frac{U_{h}}{2} \cdot \dot{i}_{d} + X_{\text{act}} \cdot U_{q} \cdot \dot{i}_{q} + \frac{U_{h}}{2} \cdot \dot{i}_{h} \right) \\
I_{R} &= \frac{1}{V_{h}} \left( \frac{U_{h}}{2} \cdot \dot{i}_{d} + (1 - X_{\text{act}}) \cdot U_{q} \cdot \dot{i}_{q} + \frac{U_{h}}{2} \cdot \dot{i}_{h} \right).
\end{align*}$$

III. FUNCTIONAL VALIDATION—EXPERIMENTS

A. Test Bench Presentation

The experimental test bench, which has been developed by the Laboratoire Plasma et Conversion d’Energie (LAPLACE), is used to validate the MAPFC concept: It is composed of two DC generator channels (AC generators, supplying six-diode rectifiers, with 4700-$\mu$F capacitive filters), two resistive loads (the DC generator channels being not able to accept regenerative operation, these loads are mandatory to validate power transfers by means of the MAPFC), the whole MAPFC, and an electromechanical drive connected on the same shaft as the MAPFC machine.

Fig. 5 shows the MAPFC test bench. The left picture shows the drive and its load—constituted by an asynchronous motor. The right element is one of the two inverters.

B. Reversible Actuation Function

As the MAPFC integrates a reversible drive, the first objective is to test the motor and generator modes. This is done in Fig. 6 where the transition between different operating points is described.

In this experimental test, the MAPFC torque is regulated, and the speed is controlled by the asynchronous machine. The system is able to operate at 2500 r/min in both generator and motor modes. The mechanical torque is 18 N·m in both modes too. The measured currents are the HVDC side input currents. Both inverters are supplying the same power to the machine which is the normal way to operate.

C. Power Balance Control

The theoretical study of the MAPFC has given two possibilities to balance powers from one HVDC side to the other. The first one uses the homopolar current independently from the actuation function, whereas the second possibility uses the actuation power repartition between the two inverters (i.e., $X_{\text{act}}$ quantity).

For readability reason, we will consider the power quantities at MAPFC electrical interfaces using the conventions and notations in Fig. 7.

The use of $X_{\text{act}}$ to share electrical power between the two inverters is presented in Table I. This test consists in changing the power share of the left and right inverters from a 50/50 ratio to 5/95 and 95/5 ratios.

Due to the recovery time of diodes, it is impossible for inverters to operate a 0/100 or 100/0 ratio. As a result, the system has been designed to operate between ratios of 5/95 and 95/5. Beyond these values, the system enters a protection mode.

The second degree of freedom helping in sharing power is the control of homopolar current through the stator windings of the machine. Different operating points have been synthesized in Table II.

The effect on current phase through the stator windings is shown by the addition of a mean value with the alternative component. Contrary to the test made with the $X_{\text{act}}$ factor, the power sharing is independent from the actuation function. Indeed, it is possible to transfer power without operating the PMSM only using machine inductances for power flow control. However, the machine must be designed to accept a continuous current through its stator windings.

These tests validate the operation of the MAPFC. It has been highlighted that two power sharing means may be used in the control of the system. The next section will discuss the use of these degrees of freedom in the frame of an embedded network in aeronautic context.
IV. EMBEDDED NETWORK OPERATIONS

The use of MAPFC is very attractive in an embedded network to replace a classical PMSM—inverter association like in an electric environmental cooling system application [25]. Indeed, these machines are important electric loads and have a fair inertia, which may be interesting during transient operations. Here, we choose to discuss the feasibility of two application cases of the MAPFC concept.

A. Voltage Regulation

The first application case is relative to the need of regulating an HVDC bus voltage during a transient reconfiguration (for example, in case of generator failure) or during the starting sequence of the aircraft. The test configuration is described in Fig. 8.

A DC bus voltage regulation loop cascaded with the homopolar current control is added for that purpose. This loop is fully independent of the actuation function. The results of these experimental tests are reported in Fig. 9 that shows the HVDC bus voltage controlled by the MAPFC (nominal bus voltage is 540 V).

Three different operating cases are considered—for each of them, the MAPFC is able to regulate the DC voltage. A 100% load corresponds to the nominal power of the MAPFC. Moreover, the tests confirm the system ability in terms of functional decoupling (actuation/power flow control), particularly as a high load is connected to the regulated bus bar.

B. Generator Power Balance

In this second test configuration, $X_{\text{act}}$ is used to balance the power supplied by the left and right generators. An additional supervision device defines the $X_{\text{act}}$ reference $X_{\text{act}}$ref, based on power measurements at HVDC sides. The relation is given in

$$X_{\text{act}} \text{ref} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{P_{\text{LoadL}} - P_{\text{LoadR}}}{P_{\text{elecL}} + P_{\text{elecR}}} \right).$$

(15)
TABLE I
POWER SHARING USING $X_{\text{act}}$ QUANTITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed Reference (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque Reference (Nm)</th>
<th>Xact</th>
<th>Mechanical power measured (W)</th>
<th>Left power measured (W)</th>
<th>Right power measured (W)</th>
<th>Total power measured (W)</th>
<th>Power transferred (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-7276</td>
<td>-580</td>
<td>-6850</td>
<td>-7430</td>
<td>-6270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-6839</td>
<td>-3390</td>
<td>-3310</td>
<td>-6700</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-7044</td>
<td>-6870</td>
<td>-380</td>
<td>-7250</td>
<td>6490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8441</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>4150</td>
<td>4770</td>
<td>3530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9236</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2680</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>8338</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4730</td>
<td>-3030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II
POWER SHARING USING HOMOPOLAR CURRENT REGULATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed Reference (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque Reference (Nm)</th>
<th>Homopolar Current Reference (A)</th>
<th>Mechanical power measured (W)</th>
<th>Left power measured (W)</th>
<th>Right power measured (W)</th>
<th>Total power measured (W)</th>
<th>Power transferred (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>-2380</td>
<td>-320</td>
<td>-4440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-180</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-155</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2580</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>4860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-6363</td>
<td>-890</td>
<td>-5820</td>
<td>-6710</td>
<td>-4930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6839</td>
<td>-3390</td>
<td>-3310</td>
<td>-8700</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-6363</td>
<td>-5920</td>
<td>-770</td>
<td>-6690</td>
<td>5150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>8467</td>
<td>4950</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-4900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9236</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8518</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td>5060</td>
<td>5300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Voltage regulation using homopolar current.

Fig. 9. Experimental results of voltage regulation using homopolar current.

Fig. 10. Experimental results of generator power equalization using $X_{\text{act}}$ at initial state.

Fig. 10 shows the initial conditions of the test before activating the power equalization, and Fig. 11 describes the final state.

Electrical powers are given in percentage of one generator total power, both generators being identical. As a result, each generator supplies 52.5% of its power capacity. This property of the MAPFC can help in reducing generator sizing in an intelligent integrated power management of the network.

V. CONCLUSION

In the framework of aircraft systems, this paper has presented an innovative solution valuable for large-power actuators embedded in electrical networks, but not exclusively. The theoretical study has described the system ability to use homopolar
current control in order to add a power transfer function useful in an HVDC network.

Moreover, the integration of this structure in an embedded environment offers new possibilities in terms of energy management: On the one hand, it can be exploited in transient operation while it can supply an HVDC bus bar without losing the actuation function. On the other hand, it can help to optimize the network sizing as such device is able to balance the generated powers regarding the power demand.

Even if the application is fully adapted to aeronautical networks, any DC network may find advantages in using the structure particularly taking into account that every AC machine type (synchronous but also induction machines) may be used.
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