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Double walled carbon nanotubes promote the overproduction of extracellular protein-like polymers in Nitzschia palea: An adhesive response for an adaptive issue
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ABSTRACT

The present study assessed the effects of double-wall carbon nanotubes (DWCNTs) dispersed in the presence of a realistic concentration of natural organic matter (NOM, 10 mg L⁻¹) on the benthic diatom Nitzschia palea using toxicity tests and quantitative/qualitative extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) assays. No toxic effect was observed. A growth delay was measured after 48 h of exposure to concentrations of DWCNTs ranging from 1 mg L⁻¹ (-29%) to 50 mg L⁻¹ (-84%). Extracellular carbohydrates and proteins were extracted using a sequential multi-methods protocol to collect soluble, hydrophobic and ion-bridged extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Extracted EPS were analyzed by colorimetric assays and size exclusion chromatography. The results highlighted a higher EPS concentration in exposed cultures that was primarily caused by an overproduction of protein-like polymers (protein or glycoproteins, PLPs). Such EPS overproduction and increase in proteins/carbohydrates ratio can partially explain the observed growth inhibition. EPS were preferentially extracted using hydrophobic conditions and were mainly composed of PLPs with either low (10 kDa) or high (174 kDa) molecular weights. These data highlights the affinity between DWCNTs and EPS, which is primarily driven by both physical and hydrophobic interactions. This indicates that N. palea can respond to DWCNTs by forming an EPS network optimized for adhering to and efficiently wrapping DWCNTs.
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1. Introduction

After more than two decades of research and improvements in production processes, manufactured carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have found many applications in various fields. CNTs are already used in electronics, semiconductors, chemicals, polymers, batteries, capacitors, energy, medical, composites, aerospace and defense [1,2]. They are generally grouped into two classes: single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). Among the latter, double-wall carbon nanotubes (DWCNTs) are composed of only two walls, which confer to them intermediate properties [3]. Although their production remains lower than that of MWCNTs, DWCNTs present great interest to high-tech industries that supply nanoprobes or develop composite reinforcements, energy storage media, displays, touch screens, and other electronic devices [4]. They are also of particular interest for biomedical applications [5,6]. Considering their increased uses, sooner or later CNTs will be present in substantial concentrations in the environment [7] and especially in aquatic ecosystems, which are known to concentrate many contaminants. CNTs are presumed to be non-degradable in aqueous environments [8,9] or only slightly in the presence of specific bacterial species and after long term exposure [10]. Thus, CNTs, similar to other carbonaceous nanomaterial such as fullerenes, graphene and diamonds, might remain for prolonged periods of time and strongly accumulate in aquatic media. This makes CNTs particular interesting in the study of the effects of nanostructures on organisms. However, due to the strong physical interactions of CNTs with organisms, their interference with assays or labeling, and their strong light absorption, it is difficult to assess the effect of CNTs or to identify involved mechanisms using standard toxicological assays [11,12]. Although not properly a toxic effect, the shading of photosynthetic organisms that CNTs can cause at high concentrations can also increase the globally observed inhibition of growth, especially in the case of strong adherence to organisms [13-15]. The dispersion of organic compounds such as organic matter also alters the aggregation behavior of nanoparticles in surface water and potentially their interaction with organisms [16]. An underestimation of CNTs properties and dispersion can lead to the misestimating of real toxic effects. Several recent studies point in that direction, highlighting an increased or mitigated effect of CNTs when dispersed by organic compounds such as natural organic matter (NOM) [15,17-19]. This emphasizes the importance of testing the effects of nanoparticles in environmentally relevant conditions.

Low depth aquatic environments are colonized by many benthic microorganisms that form biofilms. At the base of aquatic food chains, these organisms play a key role for many primary consumers. Numerous benthic aquatic microorganisms produce extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). These EPS are mainly composed of carbohydrates and proteins and have different roles in the environment. They are primarily used by benthic microorganisms for their aggregation and grip to substrates. This feature is known to play an important role for sediment aggregation in natural environments driven by EPS production [20,21]. The mesh formed by these EPS also allows for the retention of exo-enzymes or cellular metabolites and nutrient sequestration from the aquatic environment [24]. They may be partially recycled by organisms using it as a nutrient storage area [25]. Finally, they provide protection against different biocides [22,23], and against nanoparticles or ions that they release [26,27]. Among benthic photosynthetic microorganisms, diatoms often represent the main component of photo-autotrophic biofilms and are ubiquitous in low depth aquatic environments. This makes them responsible for more than 25% of the worldwide primary production [28]. Moreover, diatoms possess a silicified cell wall called a frustule, which confers protection against environmental dangers such as abrasion [29]. The frustule of some species also presents nano-metric pores which can partially or completely prevent the internalization of nanoparticles [27,30]. These features make them of particular interest for toxicity tests and understanding toxicity mechanisms [31].

In a previous study [27] we highlighted the strong interaction between multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) produced by the benthic diatom Nitzschia palea (Kützing) W.Smith (N. palea). It was hypothesized that EPS conferred an efficient protection against MWCNTs to benthic diatoms and that an energetic trade-off could be made by N. palea by switching energetic allocation from growth to protection via EPS production. This could explain the growth inhibition often measured only at early stages of exposure [14,27]. In this study, the toxicity of DWCNTs dispersed by an environmentally relevant amount of NOM (as it commonly happens in rivers and lakes) on the common freshwater diatom N. palea was assessed, focusing on growth inhibition, viability and the photochemical quantum yield of photosystem II. This work was also interested in how the presence of DWCNTs can affect EPS production, focusing on the secretion of proteins and carbohydrates and using both colorimetric assay and size exclusion chromatography. Finally, the nature of the interaction between DWCNTs and EPS were specified using a sequential extraction protocol enabling the distinct disruption of weak bonds, hydrophobic bonds, and ionic bridges.

2. Experimental strain and cultures

The axenic benthic diatom N. palea (CPCC-160; N. palea) was purchased from the Canadian phycological culture center (University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada). Cultures were grown in CHU No. 10 basic medium (CHU10) (6.4 < pH < 6.6) (http://uwwaterloo.ca/canadian-phycologyculture-centre/cultures/culture-media/chu-10). All bioassays were performed in a growth room at 20 ± 1 °C on a rotary shaker at 90 rpm under a light/dark regime of 16 h/8 h provided by high pressure sodium lamps (VIALOX® NAV® (SON) SUPER 4Y®, 600 W, OSRAM GmbH) with an luminous intensity of 1300 cd. The media was always renewed 72 h before the experiments and prior to preparing the inoculum. All manipulations during
the experiments were carried out under a class II microbiological safety cabinet (Faster BHA 36, Faster s.r.l., Cornaredo (MI) Italy).

2.2. Natural organic matter and DWCNT suspensions

Suwannee River natural organic matter (NOM; Cat. No. 1R101N) was purchased from the International Humic Substances Society (IHHSS, St. Paul, MN, USA). Prior to the beginning of the experiments, NOM was hydrated with CHU10 for 1 h before being filtered (0.1–μm; Minisart high flow polysulfone membrane; SARTORIUS-STEDIM biotech).

DWCNTs were synthesised at the Inter-University Center for Research and Materials Engineering (Institut Carnot CIRIMAT, Toulouse, France) by CCVD synthesis. The CNTs fraction contained DWCNTs (~80%), SWCNTs (~15%), and MWCNTs (~5%) [3]. Their length was between 1 and >100 μm with an outer diameter of between 1 and 3 nm (determined by transmission electron microscopy) for a surface area of 980 m²/g (determined using Brunauer, Emmett and Teller theory). The samples exhibited a Raman spectroscopy id/Ig ratio of 0.24 ± 0.05 in (Fig. 1a; ± indicates the standard deviation; Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR800 Raman micro-spectrometer at 633 nm, red laser excitation, He/Ne).

The dry sample was composed (mass fraction) of carbon (92.13 ± 0.46%) cobalt (3 ± 0.15%), molybdenum (0.9 ± 0.04%) and iron (0.04 ± 0.004%) [32].

Four DWCNT suspensions (0.167, 1.67, 16.7, 83.5 mg L⁻¹) were made using CHU10 from a stock suspension which was first sonicated for 1 h (BRANSON digital sonifier S-250D; 200 W; amplitude: 35% 5 s/2 s). NOM (16.7 mg L⁻¹) followed by addition of the DWCNT suspensions, which were then sonicated again for 20 min. These solutions were diluted in culture or CHU10 to obtain exposure concentrations of 0.1, 1, 10 and 50 mg L⁻¹ of DWCNTs and 10 mg L⁻¹ of NOM. At this environmentally relevant concentration [33], NOM strongly coated both the individual and bundled DWCNTs (Fig. 1b and c). As assessed by optical density, the suspensions appeared stable for 0.1–1 mg L⁻¹ while sedimentation occurred quickly from 10 mg L⁻¹ (<1 h). Sonication did not cause disruption of the DWCNTs (determined by transmission electronic microscopy and Raman analyses; Fig. 1a and c). The detectable CHU10-soluble metallic residues released by DWCNTs 50 mg after 48 h were cobalt (33.56 ± 0.18 μg L⁻¹), molybdenum (222.78 ± 1.85 μg L⁻¹) and iron (176.41 ± 43.3 μg L⁻¹) (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; ICP-MS; Agilent-7500ce, Agilent Technologies, Palo, CA).

2.3. Growth and toxicity tests

2.3.1. Exposure strategy

The toxicity of DWCNTs was assessed by determining the effects on growth, photochemical quantum yield of photosystem II, and viability. These tests were carried using the same device as used in a previous study [27]. Briefly, two stacked 12-well plates allowed for the assessment of the total exposure effect, providing an estimation of shading using DWCNT suspensions as external filters. Lower plates were inoculated with 1 mL per well of N. palea suspensions (2.5×10⁵ cells/mL) and were grown for 24 h in culture conditions but without shaking. This step allowed the diatoms to adhere, recover their growth and reach the exponential phase. Then, the bottom wells were filled with DWCNT suspensions (1.5 mL), obtaining final DWCNT concentrations of 0.1, 1, 10 and 50 mg L⁻¹ or with CHU10 + NOM for controls and shading tests. Upper plates were prepared following the same protocol but the wells were filled using CHU10 + NOM for exposure...
tests and with DWCNT suspensions for shading tests, which used external light filters. The rest of the wells were filled with CHU10 to obtain the same final volume in each well. One plate per condition was prepared for the control and for exposure to each concentration of DWCNTs.

2.3.2. Growth tests
Shading caused by DWCNTs was assessed by measuring photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; Li-250A light meter equipped with Li-COR Quantum sensor; Li-COR Biosciences, San Diego, CA) under the upper plates of the shaded plates at the beginning of the test. At 48 and 144 h, 3 wells per plates were scraped, sampled and fixed in formaldehyde 3.6%. The algal concentrations were determined using a Malassez cell counter performing two counts per well. Significant differences between conditions were determined by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey HSD post hoc tests using the statistical open source software “R” (SSR; R Development Core team 2012, Bio-RAD, Charlottesville, VA). At 48 h of exposure during exponential growth of control cultures, the effective concentrations of 50% (EC50) were determined with the Excel™ macro REGTOX 7.0.3 (copyright © 2001, Eric Vendimian) using the Hill model. The 95% confidence intervals for the EC50 values were calculated by bootstrap simulations (n = 500). The correlation between PAR and growth inhibition was assessed using Pearson’s correlation test.

2.3.3. Viability tests
The lethal effect of CNT’s was assessed under fluorescent microscopy using Sytox green®. This dye only penetrates injured or dead cells and labels their nuclei while it is excluded from entering healthy cells. After 48 h of exposure, a part of the living samples from the growth tests were incubated for 10 min in Sytox green (120 nM in dimethylsulfoxide) and then observed using a fluorescence microscope (BX-41, Olympus, Center Valley, PA) equipped with an Hg lamp (UL-H100HG, Olympus, Center Valley, PA) using a 470–490 nm/520 nm excitation/emission filter and a 500 nm dichromatic filter (U-MNB2, Olympus, Center Valley, PA). The concentration of dye was calibrated to allow for efficient labeling without significant interference caused by DWCNTs [34]. Significant differences were assessed using non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance.

2.3.4. Effect on photosynthesis
The effects of DWCNTs on photosynthesis was evaluated after 48 h of exposure by pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) using a Phyto-PAM (Heinz Waltz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany) to establish the effective quantum yield of photochemical energy conversion in photosystem II (PSII). This method determines electron transfer efficiency along the photosynthetic chain by establishing the ratio of emitted photons to chlorophyll-absorbed photons after an illumination pulse. The higher the value for PSII, the more efficient the electron transfer is and the less it is impacted by a pollutant. Measurements were done after 30 min in the dark. Significant differences between conditions were determined by Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance.

2.4. Extracellular polymeric substances assays

2.4.1. Culture and extraction protocols
This experiment was conducted in plastic flasks (Corning® cell culture flasks Ref. 431080, surface area 175 cm², Corning Tewksbury MA, USA). Flasks were first inoculated with 100 mL of N. palea suspension (2.5 cell mL⁻¹) and grown for 24 h (at 20 ± 1 °C) without shaking. Then, DWCNT suspensions (150 mL) were added to cultures at concentrations of 0, 1.67, 16.7 mg L⁻¹ to reach final concentrations in the flask of 0 mg L⁻¹ (control), 1 and 10 mg L⁻¹, respectively. After 8 days of exposure, the samples reached the stationary phase and similar algal concentrations in all assessed conditions. The biofilms were scraped and vigorously shaken and centrifuged. EPS were then extracted following the multi-methods sequential protocol previously described by Ras et al. [35], but without sonication to prevent diatom lysis. One extraction sequence involving three steps was applied in sequence with intermediate centrifugations (3200g; 10 min) to collect the supernatants, which contained the solubilized EPS. Pellets were first incubated for 1 h at 20 °C in 20 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4. The recovered pellet was then incubated in 4 mL PBS containing Tween® 20 (0.25%) for 1 h at 20 °C. The third extraction step was performed using 4 mL of the cationic chelator EDTA (1% EDTA in Tris–HCl 0.3 mol L⁻¹, pH 8.5) for 1 h at 20 °C. Supernatants were stocked at 4 °C (<24 h) before analyses. The remaining pellets (EPS residues + cells + DWCNTs) were diluted in 20 mL of PBS before quantification of the residual fraction (cellular content + EPS residues).

Each extraction step was done under gentle agitation using a rotary disc shaker. This separation method allowed for the isolation of EPS that was linked to DWCNTs through (i) weak bonds (H₂Oextract + PBSextract), (ii) hydrophobic bonds (TWEENextract) and (iii) ionic bridges (EDTAextract). Samples of pellets were obtained at the end of the extraction before quantification of the residual fraction, and the efficiency of extraction was controlled by light microscopy using Alcian blue as an EPS labeler [25]. Cellular integrity was controlled at the same time as described in the viability tests section.

2.4.2. Proteins and carbohydrates quantification
Carbohydrate measurements were performed for each extract by the anthrone method [34,36]. 200 mL of anthrone reagent (2% in 96% sulfuric acid) was added to 100 mL of each extracted sample in 96-well plates. The mixtures were then incubated at 60 °C for 60 min and then cooled at room temperature for 10 min before light absorbance was measured at 620 nm using a microplate reader (FLUOstar, BGM Labtech, Orthenberg, Germany). Glucose was used as standard.

Proteins were measured using the bichinchoninic acid (BCA) reagent (Sigma–Aldrich). A 25 μL assay sample was added to 200 μL of BCA reagent in a microplate. When samples contained EDTA, a 20 μL assay sample was added to 1 mL of BCA reagent to avoid underestimation of the protein content due to chelation between EDTA and the Cu²⁺ contained in the BCA reagent. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as a standard [37]. Absorbance was measured at 560 nm with a microplate reader (FLUOstar, BGM Labtech, Orthenberg, Germany).
The background signals of the DWCNT suspensions were always removed. No significant interference of the DWCNTs or of NOM was observed on reagents (anthrone and BCA) at the tested concentrations (data not shown). Significant differences between conditions were determined using SSR by analyses of variance (ANOVA) following by Tukey HSD post hoc when significance was observed. Correlations between DWCNT concentrations and measured protein and carbohydrate contents were determined by Pearson correlation tests when significant differences were observed.

2.4.3. Extracellular polymeric substances size distribution

The size of EPS was determined using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; AKTA Purifier, GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA) equipped with a 500 μL injection loop. Size exclusion chromatography was performed using a 24 mL column containing 13 μm of a spherical composite matrix of cross-linked agarose and dextran with a separation range of 10,000–600,000 Da (Superdex 200 10/300 GL column; AKTA GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA). Absorbance was measured at 210 nm (non-specific absorbance) and 280 nm (absorbance specific to cyclic amino acids). The values of the extracting solutions (PBS, TWEEN® 0.25% in PBS and EDTA, 1% in Tris-HCl) were removed from the various extract signals. The sizes of the extracted molecules were calculated from a calibration curve obtained using a mix of different proteins (dextran blue: 2106 kDa, thyroglobulin: 669 kDa, ferritin: 440 kDa, conalbumin: 75 kDa, ovalbumin: 44 kDa, carbon anhydrase: 29 kDa, ribonuclease: 13.7 kDa, aprotinin: 6.5 kDa) according to the following equation:

$$ y = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot x^{0.876}; R^2 = 0.996, \text{where } y \text{ is in Da and } x \text{ is in mL (peak elution volume). Due to the risk of contamination by DWCNTs, the first peak corresponding to the large-sized molecules (>2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ Da}) was not considered.}

2.5. Scanning electron microscopy

Interactions between DWCNTs and the biofilm of N. palea were investigated by field effect gun scanning electron microscopy (SEM) focusing on the adherence of DWCNTs to the biofilm.

### Results

#### 3.1. Toxicity tests

The results of the growth inhibition test are summarized in Table 1.

48 h of direct exposure to DWCNTs led to significant inhibition of growth at concentrations ranging from DWCNTs1mg (~30%) to DWCNTs50mg (~85%). The 48 h EC50 was 7.5 mg L⁻¹ (at a 95% confidence interval of 3.9/13.3). Moreover, the inhibition was not significantly correlated with PAR (cor = 0.55; p = 0.06). The shading effect on growth was only significant at concentrations ranging from DWCNTs1mg (~20%) to DWCNTs50mg (~40%). It corresponds to the two DWCNT concentrations where PAR significantly decreased relative to the control. Furthermore, inhibition in the shading tests was positively correlated with a decrease in PAR (cor = 0.86; p < 0.001). This was not the case for the direct exposure tests (cor = 0.54; p = 0.07). After 144 h, the inhibition of growth was only significant for cultures directly exposed to DWCNTs50mg (~30%) indicating only a partial recovery of growth while all other conditions exhibited a complete growth recovery over the considered period. DWCNTs50mg did not induce mortality (6.63 ± 2.17%; ± indicates the standard deviation) compared to control (7.37 ± 1.1%). The PSII quantum yield was also

| Table 1 – Summarized results of the toxicity tests. The first four lines represent the inhibition of growth (%) under direct exposure or shading. 48 h-EC50 is the exposure concentration of DWCNTs that caused 50% inhibition of growth after 48 h of direct exposure (during the exponential phase). 48 h-PSII are the values of the photochemical quantum yield of photosystem II after 48 h of direct exposure to DWCNTs. Finally, the PAR values represent the photosynthetic active radiation received by diatoms after passing through DWCNT suspensions. Gray values indicate the standard deviation. For any considered line, two groups without any letters in common are significantly different (p < 0.05). |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control         | DWCNT0.1mg          | DWCNT1mg            | DWCNT10mg           | DWCNT50mg           |
| 48 h exposure (%)| 0 a                 | 13.7 8 a            | 29.2 1 b            | 46.4 3 c            | 84.1 10 d          |
| 48 h shading (%) | 0 a                 | 3.9 5 a             | 1.0 –1.0 0.3 a      | 21.5 7 b            | 40.6 17 b          |
| 144 h exposure  | 0 a                 | –4.0 4 a            | 2.0 5 a             | 7.0 2 a             | 27.4 2 b           |
| 144 h shading   | 0 a                 | 1.0 1 a             | 3.3 4 a             | 3.5 3 a             | –3.2 3 a           |
| 48 h-EC50 (mg L⁻¹)| 7.5 (95% confidence interval: 3.9/13.3) |
| PSII quantum yield | 0.63 0.01 a      | 0.66 0.01  a        | 0.66 0.02 a         | 0.66 0.01 a         | 0.64 0.01 a |
| PAR (μmoles s⁻¹ m⁻² μA) | 23.9 1.5 a        | 21.9 1.4 a          | 23.7 1.3 a          | 17.3 1.3 b          | 14.9 3.4 b         |
3.2. Quantification of carbohydrate and protein contents of extracted EPS

After extraction with the multi-methods protocol, carbohydrates and proteins composing the total extracted EPS were quantified using colorimetric assays (Fig. 2).

Proteins were significantly higher for samples exposed to DWCNTs (control: 31.23 ± 3.84 mg L⁻¹; DWCNTs1mg: 49.95 ± 6.86 mg L⁻¹ and DWCNTs10mg: 49.06 ± 6.49 mg L⁻¹) and no significant difference was revealed between the two tested concentrations (± indicates the standard deviation). On the other hand, the carbohydrate assays showed no difference regardless of the DWCNT concentration tested (control: 13.72 ± 4.13 mg L⁻¹, DWCNTs1mg: 12.6 ± 3.33 mg L⁻¹ and DWCNTs10mg: 15.46 ± 3.3 mg L⁻¹). Thus, in the absence of DWCNTs, the total amount of extracted EPS was 44.95 ± 5.3 mg L⁻¹ and corresponded to a proteins/carbohydrates ratio of 2.27. After exposure to DWCNTs1mg and DWCNTs10mg, the EPS amounts were 62.56 ± 5.26 and 49.95 ± 6.86 mg L⁻¹ respectively.

3.3. Characterization of extracted EPS by size exclusion HPLC

Global (210 nm) and protein (280 nm) profiles of the different EPS fractions (PBSextract, TWEESEXTRACT and EDTAXTRACT) extracted from the control, DWCNTs1mg and DWCNTs10mg cultures were analyzed by size exclusion HPLC (Fig. 3).

Each fraction exhibited singular profiles and exposure to DWCNTs with affected peak amplitudes rather than molecular weight distributions. Indeed, PBSextract profiles at 210 nm (Fig. 3a) showed three main peaks in the control and treated cultures (elution volume: Ev = 11.2 mL, 273.4 kDa, 12.1 mL, 74 kDa, 16.1 mL, 32 kDa, 19.7 mL, 10 kDa, 22.7 mL, 4 kDa, 2.46 mL, 3 kDa) but with different amplitudes. This was especially the case for DWCNTs10mg, in which the peaks that eluted between 16 and 23 mL were strongly increased. Among these, only two peaks were present at 280 nm (12.1 mL, 74 kDa and 19.7 mL, 10 kDa; Fig. 3e), suggesting that they were mainly composed of protein-like polymers (proteins or glycoproteins, PLPs) with either high or low molecular weights. Finally, the EDTAXTRACT profiles showed four distinct peaks at 210 nm (Ev = 13.5 mL, 91 kDa, 15.6 mL, 39 kDa, 25.7 mL, 1 kDa; Fig. 3c). At 280 nm, only two peaks (15.6 mL, 39 kDa, 19.7 mL, 10 kDa; Fig. 3f) were detected with higher amplitudes in the DWCNTs10mg extract compared to the control and DWCNTs1mg chromatographs. A Tris-EDTA signal between ~20 and 24 mL was over the detection limit and was not considered.

3.4. Chemical interaction between EPS and DWCNT

A multi-method extraction process allowed for the fractionation of EPS according to chemical and physical interactions within the biofilm. The collected EPS fractions can be divided according to their interactions with DWCNTs: (i) weakly linked (H2Oextract + PBSextract), (ii) linked by hydrophobic bonds (TWEESEXTRACT), and (iii) linked by ionic bridges (EDTAXTRACT). Fig. 4 shows the quantitative distribution of carbohydrates and proteins in the recovered fractions after incubation in the absence (control) or in the presence of DWCNTs1mg and DWCNTs10mg.

The weakly bounded EPS fraction (Fig. 4a) showed no significant difference in either the carbohydrate or protein secretion (p > 0.05) between the three tested conditions. The TWEESEXTRACT contained a significantly higher concentration of carbohydrates in DWCNTs10mg (p < 0.01) than either the control or DWCNTs1mg (Fig. 4b). Moreover, the concentrations of carbohydrates and DWCNTs were positively correlated (cor = 0.88; p = 0.001). The protein contents of TWEESEXTRACT were significantly different under all conditions and were also strongly correlated (cor = 0.92; p < 0.001) with the DWCNT concentration. EDTAXTRACT exhibited no difference or correlation regardless of the concentration of DWCNTs (Fig. 4c). The residual fractions (EPS residues + cellular content + DWCNTs) were also assessed. Neither carbohydrates (control: 1.07 ± 0.11 pg cell⁻¹, DWCNTs1mg: 1.20 ± 0.16 pg cell⁻¹, DWCNTs10mg: 1.32 ± 0.21 pg cell⁻¹) nor proteins (control: 6.13 ± 0.1 pg cell⁻¹, DWCNTs1mg: 6.51 ± 0.91 pg cell⁻¹, DWCNTs10mg: 5.99 ± 2.05 pg cell⁻¹) were significantly different between the different conditions. In addition, Alcian blue staining did not reveal any remaining EPS at the end of the extraction. There was also no difference in mortality between the three conditions tested (results not shown).
3.5. Physical interaction between DWCNTs and biofilm

Fixation of EPS followed by SEM was implemented to visualize the interaction between the biofilm and DWCNTs (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5a shows a picture from the control culture revealing the thin EPS network produced by *N. palea*. Fig. 5b shows the biofilm exposed to DWCNTs10 mg, emphasizing a strong disruption of the EPS network in the presence of DWCNTs. However, no interaction between the frustule and DWCNTs was shown. A higher magnification (Fig. 5c) revealed DWCNT bundles inside the disrupted EPS. This picture also shows the strong affinity of EPS for DWCNTs, which was mostly coated by EPS.

4. Discussion

4.1. Toxicity of DWCNT

In this study, both the effects of direct exposure to DWCNTs and shading using DWCNTs as an external filter on *N. palea* growth were evaluated. DWCNTs toxicity was assessed using assays measuring the decreases in viability and PSII quantum yield. The results summarized in Table 1 are close to those previously obtained for the effect of MWCNTs on *N. palea* using identical experimental conditions [27]. After 48 h, externally shaded cultures showed a growth inhibition only from DWCNTs10 mg (~2%) to DWCNTs50 mg (~30%). This temporary growth inhibition of algae has been frequently observed in the presence of CNTs and is generally explained by the agglomeration of CNTs facilitated by their specific affinity and the molecules produced by exposed organisms, which both decrease the interaction of CNTs with surfaces over time [14,40]. Finally, shaded cultures completely caught up their growth and presented a similar concentration of diatoms to the controls in stationary phase. Thus, in our experimental conditions, the PAR decreases caused by the highest concentrations of DWCNTs only limited cell division. This could also partially explain the growth recovery in the direct exposure tests. The presence of metallic impurities is occasionally put forward to explain the observed toxicity during exposure to CNTs [41,42]. In this study, concentrations of metal ions in
solution from the DWCNT suspensions were ten times lower for cobalt \((\sim 34 \mu g L^{-1})\) and forty times lower for molybdenum \((\sim 222 \mu g L^{-1})\) than those causing effects on various unicellular}

Fig. 4 – Results from the colorimetric assays of each extracted fraction ranked by bonding properties. (a) Weak or mechanical bonds between DWCNTs and organisms \(\left( H_2O_{extract} + PBS_{extract} \right) \). (b) Linked by hydrophobic bound \(\left( TWEEN_{extract} \right) \). (c) Linked by ionic bridges \(\left( EDTA_{extract} \right) \). Error bars indicate the standard deviation. For each graph, two groups without any letter in common are significantly different \((p < 0.05)\). Global concentrations of extracted EPS (obtained from the addition of these different fractions) are given in Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 – Scanning electron microscopy observations of (a) the control biofilm and (b) the biofilm exposed to 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) of double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWCNTs). (c) A magnified view of (b) highlighting the thin interactions between EPS and DWCNTs and the disrupted aspects of EPS in the presence of DWCNTs. The black arrow indicates a DWCNT bundle. The white arrow shows EPS wrapping around a bundle of DWCNTs.
green algae and diatoms [43–45]. The iron content of the DWCNT_{1mg} solution was only increased by ~7% compared to the amount inherent to the CHU10 concentration (2.5 mg L^{-1}). Moreover, metallic particles were mainly embedded inside carbon shells which avoid direct interaction with organisms. Finally, no increase in mortality or decrease in PAR quantum yield was observed (Table 1). These results highlight a delaying effect of DWCNTs on the growth of N. palea rather than a toxic effect.

4.2. DWCNT effect on EPS production and possible involvement in growth delay

The effects of DWCNTs on EPS production by N. palea was assessed using anthrone (carbohydrates) and BCA (proteins) colorimetric assays performed on extracted EPS fractions. Unlike what is generally reported in the literature but has already been highlighted by some authors [46,47], this study found that protein was actually the primary part of extracted EPS. Thus, this fraction of EPS should always be considered in future studies dealing with the EPS of diatoms.

In this study, the data revealed a higher EPS production in cultures exposed to DWCNTs (Fig. 2), indicating that the amount of EPS produced by microorganisms can be driven by environmental conditions [35,48,49]. EPS allows for the adherence of benthic organisms to substrates. EPS secretions are also known to decrease water turbidity by aggregating suspended particles while allowing for the movement of diatoms to brighter areas [20,50,51]. In this work, the overproduction of EPS by environmental conditions [35,48,49]. EPS allows for the adherence of benthic organisms to substrates. EPS secretions are also known to decrease water turbidity by aggregating suspended particles while allowing for the movement of diatoms to brighter areas [20,50,51]. In this work, the overproduction of EPS could therefore lead to decreased turbidity of the medium, increasing the catching efficiency of DWCNTs by the biofilm. It can also reflect the efficiency of the diatoms to move in an attempt to reach brighter areas while leaving behind the DWCNTs-adhered areas. EPS are also known to protect organisms from particulate abrasion [22,29] and against many biocides [23]. Some authors highlighted an enhanced resistance of bacteria against TiO₂ and silver nanoparticles conferred by an overproduction of EPS [52,53]. In the present study, EPS could perform the same function against the DWCNTs by covering them, reducing the possibility of direct contact between the DWCNTs and cells, as already reported by some authors [14,27,40,54] and highlighted by SEM (Fig. 5). Another interesting finding is that the overproduction of EPS was similar between DWCNTs_{1mg} and DWCNTs_{10mg}. On the one hand, it cannot be excluded that the decrease in PAR from DWCNTs_{10mg} could have limited the photosynthetic activity and thus EPS production [55,56]. On the other hand, this result suggests that N. palea responded in a massive way from exposure to DWCNTs_{1mg} to both limit its contact with particles and to improve the brightness of the water column [55]. Despite the energetic cost, this response may constitute a considerable benefit in this case. From an adaptive perspective, the overproduction of EPS can provide maximum protection from weaker doses of CNTs. Some diatoms are also known to use extracellular carbohydrates as an energy reserve, and can use a portion of the carbohydrates produced on a daily basis to ensure the continuity of growth and cell function during the night [25,56]. However, interactions between DWCNTs and EPS might limit carbohydrate recycling during the night. The fact that the amounts of extracted carbohydrates were similar between the three assessed conditions suggests that DWCNTs did not appreciably limit the EPS recycling.

Finally, the overproduction of EPS could explain the higher growth delay observed in the 48 h cultures directly exposed to DWCNTs_{10mg} and DWCNTs_{1mg} while neither a decrease in growth or in PAR were observed in the shaded cultures. Even if we cannot rule out the underestimation of the shading effect from the external filters, the energetic cost of EPS overproduction could at least partially explain the observed inhibition of directly exposed cells. From the growth inhibition results (Table 1) and EPS production (Fig. 2), the energy expenditure related to the overproduction of EPS seems to be responsible for ~100% of the growth inhibition in diatoms in direct contact with DWCNTs_{1mg} whereas only ~60% of the growth inhibition in DWCNTs_{10mg} is linked to EPS overproduction and ~40% is due to shading. These estimates are consistent with other works on Chlorella sp. exposed to MWCNTs [40] but are different from other studies [15] in which the inhibition by shading during exposure was considered to be ~67%. This study underlines a potential additive effect of two mechanisms that are responsible for the temporary growth inhibition of N. palea when directly exposed to DWCNTs: (i) the energy cost of EPS overproduction, which can cause the agglomeration of MWCNTs both to themselves and to organisms, and (ii) the shading caused by MWCNTs.

4.3. DWCNT effect on EPS distribution

Analysis of the different EPS fractions by size exclusion chromatography revealed three distinct profiles with peak amplitudes related to the concentrations of DWCNTs (Fig. 3). This result, as well as those of the EPS assays (Fig. 2), indicates that in the presence of DWCNTs, N. palea can increase EPS production (specifically PLPs) without strongly changing the size of molecules produced. In the PBS_{extract}, four separate peaks were detected at 210 nm that correspond to low molecular weight molecules with sizes ranging from 3 to 10 kDa. Among these molecules, only one (~10 kDa) was a PLP. These molecules can correspond to fragments of proteins or glycoproteins that were easily detached from the biofilm due to previous digestion of the matrix by extracellular proteases. Six distinct fractions were eluted from the column using TWEEN as a detergent for the extraction of hydrophobic molecules. The eluted molecules exhibited a wide range of sizes ranging from 273.4 to 3 kDa. Among these, two fractions were PLPs, one contained high molecular weight proteins approximately 174 kDa, and the last contained low molecular weight proteins approximately 10 kDa. Notably, a ~10 kDa PLP was present in large amounts in the PBS_{extract}, the TWEEN_{extract} and the EDTA_{extract} and could be the same molecule in each sample. This finding indicates that this molecule might be heavily involved in the DWCNT/EPS interaction. The EDTA extract contained four different molecules with sizes ranging from 1 to 91 kDa (Fig. 3c). The 39 kDa and the 10 kDa fractions were detected at 280 nm as PLPs. This indicates that the 10 kDa molecules might be heavily involved in the DWCNT/EPS interaction and, even if a large part remains unlinked to DWCNTs, some of them are linked to the biofilm and can be extracted after the disruption of
The adhesion of CNTs to algae has often been observed from the TWEEN extract were strongly correlated ([14,15,40,58]). In this study, carbohydrates and PLPs bic interactions to DWCNTs. Considering the high solubility observed with polystyrene nanoparticles [60], our results suggested a majority of the produced EPS reaching the EPS produced. EDTA was used to chelate ions responsible for the ionic bridge between DWCNTs and EPS, allowing for the coating and retention of DWCNTs within the EPS that compose aquatic biofilms.

4.4. Interactions between DWCNTs and EPS

The adhesion of CNTs to algae has often been observed [14,15,40,58]. In this study, HPLC (Fig. 3) and carbohydrates/proteins assays (Fig. 4) for each extracted fraction as well as SEM (Fig. 5) were implemented to better understand the nature of the interactions between EPS and DWCNTs. Water-soluble and weak bonds between EPS and DWCNTs were obtained in the H2O extract/PBS extract; hydrophobic bonds were obtained in the TWEEN extract, and or bridged interactions between divalent ions were obtained in the EDTA extract.

No significant difference was observed in the H2O extract/PBS extract after DWCNTs exposure. However, this sample constituted a majority of the produced EPS reaching ~50% and ~60% of the total EPS amount. These EPS could be involved in the mechanical action occasionally used to explain the interaction between DWCNTs and organisms [14,15] or EPS [27,54]. TWEEN 20 was used as a chemical substitute for hydrophobic bonds [59], freeing the EPS linked by hydrophobic interactions to DWCNTs. Considering the high solubility of polysaccharides, the hydrophobicity of EPS is mostly inherent to proteins [49,60]. In this study, carbohydrates and PLPs from the TWEEN extract were strongly correlated (~0.95) with the concentration of DWCNTs. Moreover, the amount of PLPs extracted for each condition increased according to the concentration of DWCNTs. In contrast, the concentration of carbohydrates from the TWEEN extract was significantly higher only at the highest concentration of DWCNTs. As already observed with polystyrene nanoparticles [60], our results suggest a strong hydrophobic interaction between DWCNTs and EPS, which is mainly driven by PLPs. These results corroborate the HPLC results, which showed increases in two PLPs (~174 kDa, ~10 kDa) that could be strongly implicated in the observed hydrophobic interaction between EPS and DWCNTs. Tyrosine and tryptophan, which are cyclic amino acids, are especially involved in the CNTs-protein interaction [61]. This indicates that these two PLPs could contain a large amount of these two amino acids. In addition, the sharp increase in the protein/carbohydrates ratio of EPS in the exposed cultures appears to be an adaptive/adhesive response of N. palea that works by increasing the hydrophobicity of the EPS produced. EDTA was used to chelate ions responsible for the ionic bridge between DWCNTs and EPS, allowing for their extraction. The EDTA extract (Fig. 4c) revealed no significant difference between conditions, although the amount extracted showed an increasing trend following that of DWCNTs. Thus, the involvement of ionic bonds was weak but they cannot be ruled out in the binding of DWCNTs to EPS. Structural defects in DWCNTs might be implicated in this type of interaction, and replicating the experiment using functionalized DWCNTs would better help us to grasp the potential of EPS to form ionic bonds with DWCNTs. NOM could also be involved in the interaction observed between EPS and DWCNTs either by reducing or promoting it. On the one hand, NOM can occupy hydrophobic areas that are inherent to DWCNTs, limiting interaction between DWCNTs and EPS [64]. On the other hand, NOM could promote the interaction between DWCNTs and EPS through forming different bonds such as electrostatic, hydrophobic, n→π and hydrogen-bond interactions [17,63] with both DWCNTs and EPS [17,50].

Due to the nano-particulate and fibrous nature of the DWCNTs as well as the structure of the EPS network, a mechanical interaction seems obvious. This is supported by SEM observations showing DWCNTs strongly entangled in the EPS (Fig. 5). According to other authors, the assembly of DWCNTs and EPS is consistent with them encountering each other within a few seconds [62] and occurs even under dynamic water flow, as in the used experimental setup. In the present study, a strong disruption in the EPS structure was also highlighted, which was already observed during the exposure of human mucus to MWCNTs [27] using other non-metallic nanoparticles [54]. This disruption could be a consequence of both mechanical phenomena and chemical interactions (mainly hydrophobic, Figs. 4b and 5c) between different EPS polymers and DWCNTs. This could increase the coating and retention of DWCNTs within the EPS that compose aquatic biofilms.

5. Conclusion

Exposure to 1–50 mg L−1 of DWCNTs dispersed by NOM led to a temporary growth inhibition of the diatom N. palea. However, no toxic effect was observed in either the viability or the PSI quantum yield. Shading seemed involved only in growth inhibition from DWCNTs10mg, although the device used in the present study is believed to underestimate the shading effect at low concentrations of CNT. The EPS analysis revealed a DWCNT-driven overproduction of EPS from DWCNTs1mg. This was specifically the case for PLPs but not for carbohydrate polymers. Overproduction was stable for DWCNTs1mg to DWCNTs10mg, indicating a strong response from low DWCNT concentrations. An additive effect of shading and energetic trade-off between cell division and EPS production (focused on protection against DWCNTs) could explain the observed growth inhibition after 48 h of contact.

This study has also shown that two distinct mechanisms were involved in the interaction between DWCNTs and EPS: (i) physical, via the EPS meshing, and (ii) chemical, mainly via hydrophobic interactions. Two PLPs seemed particularly involved in the latter but further studies are needed to better characterize the implicated molecules and understand the basics of the interaction. In general, our results show that EPS production by N. palea could be a general response to...
stress from both natural particles (clays and sediments) and anthropogenic particles (manufactured nanoparticles). However, it is unlikely that EPS production is changed similarly for algae under shading conditions and DWCNTs exposure. This might be confirmed by an additional study on EPS production, making the distinction between the role of direct interactions (contact between DWCNTs and diatoms) and the shading caused by DWCNTs.

However, considering that the present and future concentrations of CNTs in aquatic environments are low in comparison to those of natural particles, only a minor impact of CNTs on EPS production at a worldwide scale is foreseen. In contrast, the covering of CNTs by EPS and probably by other hydrophobic nanoparticles could hide them from the recognition and defense systems of many organisms. Thus, EPS-coated nanoparticles could become Trojans overlooked by many organisms that consume the biofilms.

Declaration of interest

This research was supported by the French Ministry of National Education, Higher Education and Research. The authors report no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Common Service for Transmission Electron Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy of the University Paul Sabatier and Stephane Du Plouy for his help. Part of the present study was achieved in the framework of the public/private joint research laboratory NAUTILE (NANotubes et écoToxicoLogieE; Arkema France – CNRS/INPT/UPS). This work is also integrated in the international research group ICEINT (International Consortium for the Environmental Implications of NanoTechnology).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.02.053.

REFERENCES


