Quantification of the pile-up effect for improving inverse mechanical analysis by means of nanoindentation
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Abstract - This paper deals with the identification of elastic-plastic material properties by means of nanoindentation. A dimensional analysis leads to the identification of a specific parameter based on the estimation of the pile-up effect. This parameter may be used in an inverse analysis. Theoretical aspects and experimental issues are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The utility of thin-films coatings for microelectronics is not to demonstrate. The evaluation of the properties of such coatings requires fitted experiments such as nanoindentation. For 3 decades, practical methods have been developed and are now commonly used to determine elastic parameters such as Young’s modulus [1]. These methods are based on the exploitation of the load-displacement curve obtained by nanoindentation. However, new applications like flexible integrated circuit (IC) require tools for the determination of elastic but also, plastic material parameters such as the hardening exponent or the yield strength. Insofar as nanoindentation loading imposes an elastic-plastic deformation of the sample, this kind of experiment should be suitable for the plastic parameters determination.

The determination of plastic properties of small volumes, like BGA interconnect, are also concerned insofar as nanoindentation is commonly used to probe the mechanical properties of cross-sectioned balls. The process and microstructural features of the raw material have a great impact on the quality of the final interconnection. The approach exposed in this paper may provide new relevant data on the plastic behavior of BGA balls.
considered parameters like the residual depth or the irreversible work [6]. For example, the method developed by Tunvisut is based on the accurate measurement of imprint contact area [7]. From our point of view, one of the most important second order effect is the pile-up effect around the tip. Indeed, for elastic-plastic materials, the material tend to flow around the tip and to form an irreversible pile-up around the tip. Its volume should be dependent of several material properties and of the geometry of the tip. In order to keep the useful scale independent behavior of the Berkovich indentation, the ratio of the pile-up volume on the indent volume should be considered.

A dimensional analysis was performed using the Vaschy-Buckingham theorem. To perform this analysis five parameters were considered as relevant: $h_m$, $E$, $Y$, $n$ and $\theta$ (Fig.1). $h_m$ is the maximal depth of penetration, $E$ is the reduced Young’s modulus (eq. 1), $Y$ is the Yield strength of the material, $n$ is the hardening exponent and $\theta$ is the apical angle of the nanoindenter tip.

![Figure 1. Height measurements of an imprint [1]](image)

This analysis leads to an implicit relationship expressed as follow:

$$B = \frac{V_b}{h_m A_c} = 1_i \left( \frac{Y}{E}, n, \theta \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This parameter $B$ that we propose to study is obtained by following the same approach than the one developed by Cheng & Cheng in 1998 [8].

$$\frac{F}{h_m^2 E} = 1_i \left( \frac{Y}{E}, n, \theta \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{W_{irr} - W_c}{W_{irr}} = 1_i \left( \frac{Y}{E}, n, \theta \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As a consequence, three influencing factors are identified. Resolving the corresponding equations stays the second step, and has been addressed with a specific experimental design. In the first place, mixed-effects were not studied.

In this study, two materials were tested in order to have different hardening exponents $n$, pure copper and 2024 aluminum alloy. Three indenter tips were used to make $\theta$ vary, a Cube corner tip, a Berkovich tip and a 3 faces 50° tip. Finally, the ratio $\psi = Y/E$ was modified by work-hardening on a tensile testing machine. In order to perform the hardening, shoulder flat specimen were chosen. These specimens were also annealed to remove the hardening induced by thermomechanical treatments. In these conditions, we can obtain a controlled hardening rate (in the tensile direction).

II. RESULTS

From an experimental point of view, the measurement of $V_b$ is a relatively important source of inaccuracy. The difficulty of this measurement lies in the ability of the operator to determine accurately the border between the pile-up and the unaffected surface of the specimen.

Several methods were evaluated in order to estimate the pile-up volume. These methods are based on surface alignments and zero detection. Multiplying methods and operators lead us to estimate the current uncertainty of the method to about 50%.

The reduction of that error was a priority and was performed using a motorized table embedded with the nanoindentation setup. This system consists in a piezo-electric sample-holder that permits to move the sample over two axes. The scan is performed by using this system in combination with the nanoindenter tip. The scanning is done thanks to the table whereas the nanoindenter tip records the height of each point like an AFM in contact mode.

The AFM and the nanovision scan have been compared. On the one hand the AFM offers a greater accuracy thanks to a smaller apex angle, a reduced tip defect and a more accurate Z-sensor. On the other hand the embedded scan can be used for a subtraction of the initial surface roughness as previously described. The embedded scanning system requires the application of a small force on the tip in order to hold to contact with the sample surface. This force is much greater than the one used in AFM in contact mode. This can lead to a height measurement error due to the elastic compression of the sample surface. Differences up to 3 nm were observed between AFM height measurement and the embedded ones on aluminum 2024. That error proved to be constant and independent of the method of analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pile-up volume (μm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AFM</strong></td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Embedded scan with subtraction</strong></td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our case, a new step was added prior to the indentation: a first scanning of the sample surface is done, and the imprint topography is obtained without any roughness by doing the subtraction of the two scans (Fig.2).

![Figure 2. Al 2024: Application of the nanovision method on an imprint](image)

This corrected surface was tested under the same conditions as the previous one. The uncertainty has appeared to be reduced down to 10%.

![Figure 3. Al 2024: comparison of the AFM scan (left), and the embedded scan with subtraction (right)](image)

Even if the precision of the method is reduced by 5, the accuracy of the method cannot be evaluated without measures on a standard volume. Indeed, the scattering of 50% should be considered on the AFM topography insofar no initial scan can be performed.

In addition, as the bias induced by the applied force is constant, its effect on the pile-up volume measurement can be minimized by increasing the indent depth and hence the pile-up volume.

The inverse analysis performed during this study showed that important errors were due to the neglect of mixed-effects. In order to study those effects with a sufficient accuracy 54 experiments are needed. With a view to simplifying the experimentation, the numerical simulation can be used [9], after the EF modeling were properly fitted to the first experimental results. The goal is here to perform an inverse analysis in order to obtain the same properties by nanoindentation than the properties extracted from a tensile test.

CONCLUSION

Nanoindentation is considered as one of the most convenient mechanical test to evaluate some mechanical properties of materials. In several applications, especially on microelectronics and MEMS technologies, nanoindentation is the only test available to probe the mechanical properties of thin layer, interconnects or other heterogeneous assemblies. Nonetheless, while Young’s modulus is an intrinsic parameter, hardness is not and mainly depends on plastic properties and geometrical effects. In this paper, we have studied the pile-up effect in order to provide a third parameter from the indentation experiment which should be used to estimate intrinsic plastic properties from the experiment (yield stress and strain-hardening coefficient). This parameter is seldom used in the literature insofar as its measure is subjected to high uncertainties. The latter are mainly linked to the small height at stake and involve complementary analyses. In this paper, these two experimental difficulties were addressed when determining a pile-up volume.

We considered the use of a motorized sample holder coupled with the use of the nanoindenter tip like an AFM to probe the height of the surface, before and after the indentation. Subtracting the two surfaces was found to be accurate enough to reduce the uncertainty from 50% to 10%. A comparison with AFM measurement trends to prove that the load applied to keep contact between the tip and the surface lead to a constant bias of about 3 nm on the height evaluation, which may lead to a systematic bias of about 20% on the pile-up volume. More studies should be performed to deal with this systematic bias.

This approach is based on hypotheses on the nature of parameters ruling the pile-up behavior. It appears that the friction coefficient may be a parameter of interest in order to improve the accuracy of inverse analysis. Indeed, few publications mention the study of this parameter on the mechanical behavior of the material under the diamond tip. Adam and Swain have recently exposed that this parameter seems to have a limited impact on the depth volume of bones material (10 to 20%) while the impact on the pile-up is much greater, with a reducing of 50 to 75% of the predicted height of the pile-up [10]. The ratio between the indentation volume and the pile-up effect may then be greatly impacted by the friction coefficient, and should be considered for further investigations.
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