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Redistribution and Effect of Various Elements on the Morphology of Primary Graphite in Cast Iron
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It has been shown repeatedly that many elements present as traces or at low level can affect graphite shape in cast irons. As part of a long term project aimed at clarifying the growth and the alteration of spheroidal graphite, a study on the effect of a few elements (Cu, Sn, Sb, and Ti) on primary graphite growth was undertaken and analysed with reference to an alloy without any such additions. This work was performed by remelting alloys in graphite crucibles thus saturating the melt in carbon and enabling primary graphite to grow by controlled cooling of the melt above the eutectic temperature. Primary graphite growth in the reference alloy was observed to be lamellar, while the added elements were found to affect bulk graphite and to modify its outer shape, with Sb leading eventually to rounded agglomerates together with wavy lamellae. Secondary ion mass spectrometry was used to analyze the distribution of elements, and no build-up of trace elements at the graphite surface could be observed. Instead, it is established that the perturbation of bulk graphite is associated with inhomogeneous distribution of metallic elements inside graphite precipitates.

1. Introduction

Graphite in cast irons can adopt various shapes partly depending not only on cooling rate but also on the presence of additives or of trace elements. Without appropriate preparation, graphite precipitates as lamellae (GL), and this has been in part related to the presence of oxygen and sulfur dissolved in cast iron melts. These latter elements may be withdrawn from the melt by adding 0.025 to 0.050 wt.% Mg (or Mg associated with Ce) which ensure in most cases that graphite precipitates as spheroids (SG) while intermediate amounts of Mg (0.009–0.018 wt.%) lead to compacted graphite (CG). Further, many elements other than O and S when present even as traces lead to degenerate forms of graphite, that is, neither lamellar nor spheroidal. Values of their maximum permissible content for obtaining SG irons have been reviewed by Lux [1]. Sorting of trace elements has been proposed [2, 3] for describing their effect on spheroidal graphite growth:

(i) reducing the effectiveness of Mg, for example, O, S, Se, Te, and Ti;

(ii) altering graphite growth, for example, Al, As, Bi, Cd, Cu, Pb, Sb, and Sn;

(iii) promoting degenerate graphite in heavy-section castings, for example, Ce and Ca.

Interest has been put for long on graphite chemistry as it may be expected that modifiers get incorporated into the graphite lattice [1]. For such a purpose, the most usual means as electron probe or energy dispersive analyzers often have too poor detection limits. Francis [4] used chemical analysis of extracted graphite and reported that many elements could be detected in graphite. Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) has also been used, but generally it only gives information on the interface and not on the bulk of the phases. Although proton emission has been successfully used [5, 6], the most potential means thanks to its high sensitivity appeared to be secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) that has already been used by a few authors [7–10].

In an attempt to enlighten the effect of some additives and trace elements on graphite growth, experiments have been
Table 1: Composition of the investigated alloys (wt.%). Elements added specifically for this study are in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10F2</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td><strong>0.04</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.003 Bi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8P1-Cu</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td><strong>0.005 Sn</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8P3-Sn</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td><strong>0.86</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td><strong>0.024 Sn</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9P3-Sb</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td><strong>1.08</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.022</strong></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td><strong>0.005 Sb</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12P3-Ti</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td><strong>0.85</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.36</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.010</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried out with a standard cast iron and four grades with about 1 wt.% Cu and additions of Sb, Sn, or Ti. These alloys have been remelted and resolidified in graphite crucibles so as to saturate the melt in carbon, much in line with the work by Geilenberg et al. [11, 12]. Primary graphite precipitates have been characterized by optical and scanning electron microscopy and analyzed with SIMS. This work provides also a detailed comparison of the present results to the literature information.

2. Experimental Details

All melts were prepared as previously described [13, 14]. Alloying of the melts was performed by extra additions of Cu, FeMn, FeTi, Sn, and/or Sb to a standard cast iron that will be called reference alloy in the following. The alloys were cast in standard keel-blocks representative of small-section mould castings and generally used for materials testing as described elsewhere [15]. The final composition of the alloys is listed in Table 1. A few other additional elements were found in some of the melts: B (0.0059 wt.%) in the reference alloy (10F2), Cr (0.03 wt.%) in 8P1-Cu and 8P3-Sn, Zr (at most 0.005 wt.%) in 9P3-Sb, and Mo (0.01 wt.%) in all four alloys with specific additions.

These alloys were remelted in graphite crucibles (crucibles ALPHA AR6247 designed for chemical analysis of oxygen and nitrogen) by heating to 1300°C and held at that temperature for 10 min. This led to volatilization of the magnesium added for spheroidization and to carbon saturation of the liquid (see Figure 1). The samples were then cooled to 1180°C in about 10 min at a cooling rate of 25°C/min and maintained at that temperature for 20 min. During the stages of cooling from 1300°C and holding at 1180°C, primary graphite precipitates were expected to nucleate and grow along the crucible walls. Finally, the crucibles were extracted from the furnace and quenched with air blowing which led to rapid solidification of the remaining melt at a rate of about 250°C/min. The samples were then vertically cut and prepared for metallographic observation, including optical and scanning electron (SEM) microscopy.

SIMS analyses were performed on a modified CAMECA IMS-6f equipped with a gallium liquid metal ion gun. Although the Ga⁺ source is able to reach a good lateral resolution better than 100 nm in some cases [16], its use is limited due to low ion yield. In order to achieve a sensitivity enhancement under Ga⁺ bombardment, the measurements were assisted with oxygen flooding (P = 10⁻⁶ mbar) as described by Frache et al. [17]. In such conditions, the lateral resolution of the SIMS probe remains better than 1 μm.

A Ga⁺ beam (25.5 keV) was focused down to 500 nm in diameter with an intensity of 150 pA and was scanned across an area of (50 × 50) μm². Elements were analyzed as positive ions. The counting times were 20 s for 12C, 24Mg and 25Mg, the three silicon isotopes, 52Cr, 54Fe and 56Fe, and 55Mn and 40 s for 48Ti, 63Cu and 64Cu, 113Sn, 120Sn, and 123Sn. The definition of the images was 256 × 256 pixels. The different images were acquired successively, from the lowest (12 for carbon) to the highest (121 for Sb) atomic mass giving the plane 1 for each element studied. Then new successive series of acquisition were performed, giving planes 2, 3,...,8. For elements present as traces (for instance Sb, and Sn), the signal obtained for all planes (from plane 1 to 8) was accumulated in order to increase the statistics of the measurements. For all the other elements present in higher quantities, the signal from only one plane was high enough to be meaningful. The detection limit was estimated to a few tens of ppm for every element.

SIMS images were complemented with records of the variation of the secondary ion intensities across graphite lamellae (line scans). This was performed by integrating the intensities measured from one or several planes (for Sn and Sb) over 10 pixels in width, that is, about 2 μm for the conditions used in the present study.

Finally, SIMS quantification of trace element concentrations in the iron-rich matrix was based on relative sensitivity.
factors (RSF) derived from four standard iron-base alloys with certified compositions. The RSF factor for element X is given by $\text{RSF}_X = C_X \times \left( \frac{I_{Fe}}{I_X} \right)$ where $C_X$ is the concentration of trace species X, $I_X$ and $I_{Fe}$ are the secondary ion intensities measured, respectively, for element X and for iron used as reference element. The RSFs were derived from the slope of the straight line $I_X/I_{Fe} = f(C_X)$ for Al, Cu, Cr, Mn, Si, Sb, Sn, and Ti. Because the standards do not contain magnesium, the determination of RSF_Mg was not possible. Nevertheless, the Mg content was estimated by assuming that RSF_Mg was equal to RSF_Ti [18].

3. Results

As schematically illustrated in Figure 2(a), the vertical section of every sample showed some large graphite precipitates attached along the crucible walls, but most of these precipitates accumulated close to the top surface of the samples. As an example, Figure 2(b) presents part of the top surface of the reference alloy. In the remaining volume of the samples, much smaller primary precipitates of graphite were observed and most of the material is essentially composed of austenite dendrites and eutectic with undercooled graphite; see Figure 2(c). The large precipitates of graphite may without doubt be associated to primary deposition of this phase, suggesting that after nucleation and growth on the crucible walls they detached and floated due to the density difference between graphite and liquid iron. The finer solidification structures seen in the remaining of the materials have certainly been formed after the crucible was withdrawn out of the furnace. Accordingly, emphasis will be put in the following on the large graphite precipitates.

Figure 3 shows a characteristic optical micrograph of primary graphite precipitates for each of the four alloys with specific additions. Comparing to Figure 2(b), the effect of Cu seems limited to a very slight thickening of the lamellae while this phenomenon appeared much more pronounced after Sn addition. The most impressive effect relates to the alloy with Sb that led to a few thick lamellae but mainly to rounded agglomerates. Finally, Ti had apparently a significantly different effect in that it greatly reduced graphite nucleation and growth; namely, much less primary graphite precipitates could be observed on the metallographic section when compared to the four other alloys.

In most cases, the graphite lamellae observed in the reference alloy have smooth edges and a more or less constant thickness that may be indicative of a monotonous growth. Bulk graphite appears smooth as illustrated in Figure 4 where an example of an SEM image is associated with SIMS maps of C, Fe, Si, Mg, and Cu. The observed graphite did not contain significant amounts of Fe and Cu, but little Si and a very homogeneous distribution of Mg. It was seen that this latter element appeared as well in enriched spots containing also Mn (not shown in the figure) but outside the graphite lamellae. These are certainly oxide particles similar to those mentioned in other previous studies [10, 19].
Sometimes, branched lamellae presenting a perturbed bulk graphite structure could also be seen in the reference alloy as illustrated in Figure 5. The SEM micrograph shows bulk graphite to present an irregular surface on the section while SIMS maps of Si and Fe display irregular distribution of these elements. Mn showed an inhomogeneous distribution as Si and Fe while Mg remained homogeneous (maps not shown in the figure). It is worth stressing that the enriched areas in Si and Fe in the bulk graphite are more or less aligned in a layered structure parallel to the long axis of the lamellae.

Figure 6 shows a typical example of a graphite lamella for each of the four alloys with specific additions together with SIMS maps of C and Si. Carbon maps are shown as they make clearer the shape of the graphite precipitates that are...
seen to be perturbed on the SEM micrographs in Figure 6 whereas the bulk graphite appeared smooth in Figure 4. Silicon showed an inhomogeneous distribution, and this was also the case of iron and manganese (not shown in the figure). There are some spots in the silicon maps, most often outside the graphite lamellae, that are certainly oxides. Further, it appeared evident that the graphite/matrix interface is much more irregular in these alloys than it was in the reference one. This irregularity increases from Cu to Sn and from Sn to Sb as already pointed out in relation to Figure 3. Graphite precipitates in the Ti-bearing alloy present a less perturbed surface than in the case of alloys with Sn or Sb additions.
but they contain metallic elements as is the case for the other alloys.

Although Mg has decreased during remelting at a level where it cannot act anymore as a spheroidizer, it has been observed that some traces of this element are detected in graphite precipitates in the reference alloy. This was also the case for the alloys with specific additions as seen with the maps in the upper row of Figure 7 for the Sn-, Sb-, and Ti-bearing alloys. Similarly, aluminum that is added at a very low level through inoculation is anyway easily detected by SIMS as illustrated in Figure 7, second row. Both Mg and Al appear nonhomogeneously distributed within graphite, generally at a low level but sometimes also as spots though most of these latter are located outside the precipitates. A layered structure of the enriched areas as in Figure 5 may be guessed in the images of the Ti-bearing alloy.

In the bottom row of Figure 7, a map of Sn, Sb, and Ti is also shown for the Sn-, Sb-, and Ti-bearing alloys, respectively. It is seen that Sn and Sb appear at very low level in graphite with respect to the matrix and that no built-up of these elements could be detected at the graphite matrix interface. Ti as well is present at a low level in graphite with a heterogeneous distribution but appears mainly as spots of presumably oxide particles outside graphite. Similarly, although not shown, no build-up of copper could be found in any of the mappings of this element.

In order to exemplify the lack of spike at the interface between primary graphite and matrix, line scans were drawn from the SIMS images. Figure 8 compares such scans for the reference and the Sb-bearing alloys. The variations along the profiles outside the lamellae are essentially due to the fine eutectic developed during rapid cooling. At the micron scale, that is, the resolution of the SIMS probe in the present conditions, no spike in any of the alloying or trace elements could be observed at the outer surface of primary graphite precipitates. The most important information obtained from these graphs is that the variations in low-level elements (Mg, Cu, and Si) within graphite are much more pronounced in the alloy with specific additions than in the reference one.

**Figure 7:** SIMS maps of Mg (upper row), Al (middle row) and, respectively, Sn, Sb, and Ti (lower row) for the Sn-, Sb-, and Ti-bearing alloys. For clarity, linear scale images were selected for Al, Sn, and Sb maps and logarithmic scale images for the others. The scale is the same for all images.
Finally, SIMS measurements in the iron-rich matrix have been made quantitative for some elements following the procedure described in Section 2. Though this procedure does not apply to graphite which may have a response to ion bombardment different to that of the iron-rich matrix, the values obtained have been used for comparison with the literature data. When the concentration of an element was not homogeneous inside graphite, the maximal concentration was selected. Table 2 lists the average amount of the elements that could be measured in graphite, as well as corresponding values reported by Fidos [7] from SIMS results and Francis [4] from chemical analyses. Al and Mg that are residuals of spheroidization and inoculation treatments enter in graphite at very low levels, generally much lower than those reported by Fidos and Francis. Si is present in graphite at a level of a few tenths of per cent, while Mn varies from one sample to another from traces to low level at 0.12 wt.%. The contents in these latter elements are within the range reported by Fidos and Francis. When copper was not added to the alloy (10F2), it is found at a very low level as also observed by Francis. For the other alloys in which Cu was added at a level of 1 wt.%, it is seen that this element enters graphite in varying amounts, from 100 to several hundreds of ppm. The other elements studied in this work enter in graphite as traces at a level lower than 100 ppm for Sn and Ti and lower than 10 ppm for Sb.

### 4. Discussion

The results presented above show that at least some elements affecting spheroidal growth are also effective in altering lamellar growth. The possible mechanisms are discussed below considering successively the three following items: (i) presence of foreign atoms in graphite; (ii) redistribution of foreign atoms at the outer surface of graphite; and (iii) intercalation and spot alignment.

#### 4.1. Presence of Foreign Atoms in Graphite

As mentioned in the introduction, the review performed by Lux [1] in the early 70s showed that the presence of foreign elements within graphite in cast irons has been reported since long. This was most often evidenced by chemical analysis of extracted graphite particles and of the ash obtained from them, for example, by Francis [4]. Use of other methods such as...
 autoradiography to locate elements within graphite as done
by Hillert and Lindblom [20] proved not to be evident as
discussed by Olette et al. [21] because of the penetration
distance of the ray emitted by the isotopes. Using the more
sensitive and accurate SIMS technique, Franklin and Stark
[9, 10] and Fidos [7, 8] showed that even and smooth distri-
bution of foreign elements may be observed within graphite
precipitates. By simulation, Fidos [7, 8] could render his
SIMS analyses semiquantitative. He then showed that the
total amount of foreign species can go up to about 8.2 wt.%
at the edge of graphite particles when it is only 0.2 wt.% in
the interior [7, 8]. Also, foreign elements in bulk SG graphite
often appear as spots, for example, Fe-rich particles observed
by metallography when large enough [1] or by Auger mapping
[19] and Ti-rich, Ca-rich, and Mg-rich particles that could be
oxides observed by SIMS [10].

The present results do confirm that many elements
enter into graphite, either homogeneously or heterogeneously
distributed or else tight in enriched particles. It has been
observed that in the absence of any of the elements consid-
ered in the present investigation (Cu, Ti, Sn, and Sb), the
distribution of metallic elements in graphite of the reference
alloy appears in general even. In turn, it was observed that
in alloys with specific additions, foreign elements were most
generally distributed unevenly and associated with perturbed
appearance of bulk graphite in SEM and wavy graphite/
matrix interfaces. This conclusion may be in close relation-
ship with the trend that, at given cooling conditions, in-
creasing alloy purity leads graphite to grow as very regularly
shaped plates rather than as curved lamellae as reported by
Ruth and Turpin [22]. In the present work, it was found that
the effect of added species increases as Cu, Ti, Sn, and Sb.

4.2. Redistribution of Elements at Graphite Interface. A strik-
ing feature of the present results is that none of the added
elements considered in this work could be detected within
graphite at high level and no enrichment could be noted
at the outer graphite surface. From the works he reviewed,
Lux [1] concluded that the absence of any enrichment in
nodularizing elements in the vicinity of the nodule-metal
interface provided a clear evidence for the direct formation
of nodules from the melt. Using AES experiments, Qin and Zu
[23] arrived to the same conclusion as Lux [1] and considered
that elements rejected from graphite when growing separately
are rapidly redistributed within the whole melt by diffusion.
This appears to be the case as well in the present work and,
accordingly, the observed shape changes are not related to
any significant solute piling-up at the graphite-melt interface.
Following Francis [4] it may be inferred that solute pile-
up at the graphite outer surface as sometimes reported [24–
26] results either from two-phase eutectic growth or from
graphite growth in solid state at lower temperature as a pro-
cess we suggest resembling grain boundary segregation.

4.3. Intercalation and Spot Alignment. Using SIMS on LG,
Franklin and Stark [10] noticed oxygen to be uniformly dis-
tributed in graphite while the S signal showed a periodicity
that they associated with the substructure of graphite flakes.
Such a substructure that consists of laths stacked on each
other has been detailed since long for both SG and LG [27].
From their results, Franklin and Stark [10] concluded that it is
O rather than S that adsorbs on the prism plane while S
segregates at the interface between laths. Using TEM results,
intercalation between laths of other foreign species such as
Ce has been suggested by Purdy and Audier for LG and SG
[28] and by Miao et al. for SG [29]. These latter authors
proposed that intercalation layers are associated with the
occurrence of crystalline faults within graphite. In the present
work, intercalation at the scale of a few atoms width could
not be investigated but instead alignment of particles could
be observed in some cases that are guessed to relate to lath
stacking. Moreover, it has been noticed that addition of the
studied specific elements affects the stacking appearance—
apparent quality—of graphite laths with the bulk graphite
appearing less compact than in the reference alloy. It may
be claimed that the added elements do enter graphite by
intercalating between laths. This could lead to a more faulted
stacking of laths accounting for the more foliated aspect of the
graphite precipitates. Such a correlation is certainly worth of
further investigation.

5. Conclusion

As reported since long, graphite in cast irons contains small
but definite amounts of several elements. While their dis-
tribution seems homogeneous in general for the reference
alloy investigated, it was found to be inhomogeneous in the
grades containing Cu and with additions of Sn, Sb, and Ti.
Further, it was observed that the graphite precipitates in the
alloys with specific additions present a disturbed aspect in
the bulk and a wavy interface with the matrix. These latter
observations were most marked with addition of Sb which
gives rounded precipitates together with lamellas and with Sn
that significantly increases the average width of the lamellas.
SIMS mapping did not evidence any build-up of added
elements around graphite precipitates, and this observation
suggests that additives and trace elements affect graphite
growth at an atomic level, for example, by perturbing its
crystalline structure.
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