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The Auxin *Sl-IAA17* Transcriptional Repressor Controls Fruit Size Via the Regulation of Endoreduplication-Related Cell Expansion
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Auxin is known to regulate cell division and cell elongation, thus controlling plant growth and development. Part of the auxin signaling pathway depends on the fine-tuned degradation of the auxin/indole acetic acid (Aux/IAA) transcriptional repressors. Recent evidence indicates that Aux/IAA proteins play a role in fruit development in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill.), a model species for fleshy fruit development. We report here on the functional characterization of *Sl-IAA17* during tomato fruit development. Silencing of *Sl-IAA17* by an RNA interference (RNAi) strategy resulted in the production of larger fruit than the wild type. Histological analyses of the fruit organ and tissues demonstrated that this phenotype was associated with a thicker pericarp, rather than larger locules and/or a larger number of seeds. Microscopic analysis demonstrated that the higher pericarp thickness in *Sl-IAA17* RNAi fruits was not due to a larger number of cells, but to the increase in cell size. Finally, we observed that the cell expansion in the transgenic fruits is tightly coupled with higher ploidy levels than in the wild type, suggesting a stimulation of the endoreduplication process. In conclusion, this work provides new insights into the function of the Aux/IAA pathway in fleshy fruit development, especially fruit size and cell size determination in tomato.
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**Abbreviations:** ARF, auxin response factor; Aux/IAA, auxin/indole acetic acid; CaMV, Cauliflower mosaic virus; dpa, days post-anthesis; EI, endoreplication index; MS, Murashige and Skoog; NLS, nuclear localization signal; qRT–PCR, quantitative reverse transcription–PCR; RNAi, RNA interference; Sl-IAA, *Solanum lycopersicum* auxin/IAA; TIR1, transport inhibitor response1; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein.

**Introduction**

Auxin is greatly involved in all stages of fruit development (Pattison et al. 2014, and references therein). A recent study has highlighted some of its roles in controlling fruit size in apple (Devoglaëre et al. 2012). In tomato, the final fruit size is largely influenced by cell expansion which itself is dependent upon endopolyploidy occurring via the endoreduplication process (Cheniclet et al. 2005). Indeed, modifying endoreduplication during fruit development greatly impacts fruit growth and final fruit size (for a review, see Chevalier et al. 2014). In Arabidopsis tissues, the link between endopolyploidy and auxin has been established by Ishida et al. (2010) demonstrating that low levels of the auxin signaling complex lead to increased endopolyploidy. However, the link between tomato fruit size, endopolyploidy and auxin is yet to be shown.

Understanding of the molecular mechanisms of auxin metabolism and perception is now well advanced in the model plant Arabidopsis, as reviewed by Ljung (2013) and Bargmann and Estelle (2014). As regards the signaling level, auxin promotes the degradation of auxin/indole acetic acid (Aux/IAA) proteins by stimulating their interaction with the SCF*TIR1* E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, where transport inhibitor response1 (TIR1) acts as the auxin receptor (Dharmsirip et al. 2005, Kepinski and Leyser 2005). The 26S proteasome degrades the ubiquitinated Aux/IAA transcriptional repressors, allowing auxin-responsive factors (ARFs) to regulate the expression of their target genes. In recent years, building on the determination of the whole genome sequence (Tomato Genome Consortium. 2012), the complete set of *Aux/IAA* and *ARF* genes has been isolated in tomato, a model plant for both Solanaceae and fleshy fruit species, thus laying the ground for functional characterization and spatio-temporal expression studies of the two gene family members (Audran-Delalande et al. 2012, Hao et al. 2014, Zouine et al. 2014). Furthermore, the physiological significance of several Aux/IAA and ARF proteins has been addressed through reverse genetics approaches demonstrating their participation in the control of fruit development in tomato, such as *Sl-IAA9* (Wang et al. 2005), *Sl-IAA27* (Bassa et al. 2012), *Sl-ARF4* (Sagar et al. 2013, and references therein), *Sl-ARF7* (De Jong et al. 2009) and an ortholog of AtARF8 (Goetz et al. 2006).
The present study aimed at unraveling the function of Sl-IAA17, a member of the Aux/IAA multigene family, during tomato fruit development. The identification of gain-of-function mutations in the cluster of Aux/IAA genes closely related to Sl-IAA17 in Arabidopsis (IAA16, IAA7/AXR2, IAA14/SLR and IAA17/AXR3) suggested that these proteins play important roles in inhibiting auxin responses in a variety of tissues and developmental programs (Rinaldi et al. 2012). Previous studies showed that Sl-IAA17-encoded proteins function as active repressors of auxin-dependent gene transcription (Audran-Delalande et al. 2012). On the other hand, the suppression of a closely related Sl-IAA17 gene in potato, St-IAA2, resulted in clear phenotypes including increased plant height, petiole hyponasty and curvature of growing leaf primordia in the shoot apex without affecting tuber formation (Kloosterman et al. 2006). To address the potential role of Sl-IAA17 in fruit development, RNA interference (RNAi) transgenic lines were generated, resulting in the down-regulation of the Sl-IAA17 gene in tomato fruits. Fruit phenotyping and histological analyses of fruit tissues revealed the involvement of this Aux/IAA in the control of fruit size and ploidy levels.

Results

Sl-IAA17, a canonical Aux/IAA protein, is exclusively localized within the nucleus

Sl-IAA17 has been identified previously as a member of the tomato Aux/IAA gene family which encompasses 25 members in tomato (Audran-Delalande et al. 2012). The phylogenetic analysis between Arabidopsis and tomato Aux/IAAs showed that Sl-IAA17 and its closest tomato homologs Sl-IAA7, Sl-IAA14 and Sl-IAA16, together with their closest Arabidopsis homologs At-IAA17, At-IAA7, At-IAA14 and At-IAA16, belong to a distinct clade, named clade C (Audran-Delalande et al. 2012). The Sl-IAA17 open reading frame is 627 bp long encoding a putative protein of 209 amino acids. Sl-IAA17 harbors the four conserved amino acid sequence motifs known as domains I, II, III and IV found in Aux/IAA proteins (Supplementary Fig. S1). As a common characteristics of clade C members, Sl-IAA17 contains a second putative repressor domain (DLxLxL) in the close vicinity of repression domain I. Like the majority of Aux/IAA proteins, Sl-IAA17 displays two conserved nuclear localization signal (NLS) domains: a bipartite structure of a conserved basic doublet KR between domains I and II associated with basic amino acids in domain II, and the SV40-type NLS located in domain IV (Supplementary Fig. S1). To analyze its subcellular localization, a translational fusion between Sl-IAA17 and the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) under the control of the Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter was used to transient tobacco protoplasts. Microscopic analysis showed that the Sl-IAA17::YFP fusion was exclusively localized in the nucleus, in contrast to control protoplasts transformed with YFP alone which displayed fluorescence throughout the cell (Fig. 1A).

Sl-IAA17 shows high transcript accumulation during tomato fruit development

The analysis of Sl-IAA17 transcript levels in vegetative and reproductive organs indicated that the expression of Sl-IAA17 was identical in leaves and flowers (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the accumulation of Sl-IAA17 transcripts undergo up to a 10-fold increase in developing fruit when compared with the level in flowers. This dramatic increase in expression starts as early as 10 days post-anthesis (dpa) corresponding to a developmental stage where cell division activities stopped to give way to cell expansion which accounts for fruit growth until ripening (Gillaspy et al. 1993, Joubès et al. 1999). Then, the level of Sl-IAA17 mRNA declined gradually up to the breaker stage (45 dpa), when the fruit undergoes color change.

The silencing of Sl-IAA17 increases tomato fruit size

To gain better insight into the function of Sl-IAA17 in tomato fruit development, we generated RNAi plants and obtained several independent homozygous lines. Three Sl-IAA17 RNAi lines, named Rline1, Rline2 and Rline3, were selected for further study. The analysis of gene expression by quantitative reverse transcription–PCR (qRT–PCR) showed that the accumulation
of SI-IAA17 transcripts was strongly reduced in the three RNAi lines when compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 2A). Rline 2 and Rline 3 retained 25% of the control mRNA level whereas Rline 1 showed only 9% of the mRNA level displayed in the wild type. To check whether the inhibition by RNAi was specific to SI-IAA17, we assessed the mRNA accumulation of three Aux/IAA members, namely SI-IAA7, SI-IAA14 and SI-IAA16, belonging to the same clade as SI-IAA17. Fig. 2B shows that the RNAi construct did not significantly reduce the mRNA accumulation of these three closely homologous genes, even though a slight increase in SI-IAA7 transcripts was noticeable in two lines (Rline 1 and Rline 3) without any statistical significance.

The effects of SI-IAA17 silencing on fruit development were then investigated in the three SI-IAA17 RNAi lines. An extensive screening was performed at a late stage of fruit development, namely breaker + 7 d, with the aim of assessing fruit weight, fruit volume, fruit diameter, water content, the number of locules, the number of seeds and other biochemical parameters of ripe fruits. Fruits from SI-IAA17 RNAi plants (Rline 1, Rline 2 and Rline 3) displayed a larger size compared with control fruits, weighing up to 19% more than wild-type fruit (Fig. 3A). This increase was even more noticeable when measuring the fruit volume (Fig. 3B), with RNAi fruit volumes reaching up to 19% more than the wild type. This fruit size enlargement was also observed at the fruit diameter level (Fig. 3C), with RNAi fruit displaying up to a 7% average increase in diameter when compared with the wild type. It is noteworthy that the severity of the fruit phenotypic modifications is well correlated with the level of SI-IAA17 silencing (Fig. 2A), with Rline 1 displaying the most pronounced effects. In all tomato RNAi lines tested, the down-regulation of SI-IAA17 had no significant effect on the water content (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Finally, the locule number and seed number per fruit (Supplementary Fig. S4B, C) were similar to those in the wild type, ruling out a possible impact of these tissues on the observed differences in fruit size.

Since the observed differences in fruit size are likely to originate from differences in tissue growth during fruit development, the pericarp and locule thickness (Fig. 4A) were measured to investigate further the factors underlying the increase in fruit size and weight encountered in SI-IAA17
down-regulated lines. Fruits from the three Sl-IAA17 RNAi lines showed thicker pericarp tissues than the wild type (Fig. 4B). This difference reached up to 28% in Rline1, where the Sl-IAA17 transcript accumulation was the most reduced (Fig. 2A). However, there was no significant differences in the locule thickness between all the three lines (data not shown).

The down-regulation of Sl-IAA17 increases the pericarp cell size and nuclear ploidy levels

In order to analyze the pericarp at the cellular level, microscopic observations were performed, showing that the cells in the pericarp of the RNAi lines were much larger than those in the wild type (Fig. 4C, D). This increase in mean cell size reached up to a 36% difference. In the same set of microscopic observations, we did not notice any significant differences in the number of pericarp cell layers using fruits either at the immature green stage (25 dpa) or at the mature green stage (35 dpa) (Supplementary Fig. S4). Hence the differences in pericarp thickness observed in the RNAi lines are due to enhanced cell size rather than increased cell number.

Since a correlation exists between cell size and the nuclear DNA ploidy level resulting from endoreduplication in tomato fruit (Cheniclet et al. 2005, Chevalier et al. 2011, Bourdon et al. 2012, Chevalier et al. 2014), we checked whether such a relationship existed between the increase in pericarp cell size in the RNAi Sl-IAA17 transgenic fruit and the endoreduplication level of these cells. The nuclear DNA content (ploidy level) of pericarp cells from wild-type and the three independent Sl-IAA17 RNAi fruits, harvested at 35 dpa, was determined by flow cytometry (Fig. 5). The analysis revealed that the nuclear DNA ploidy level of the pericarp cells from the three transgenic lines increased significantly: the mean ploidy level of the transgenic fruits was about 20% higher than that in wild-type fruit (Fig. 5A). The endoreduplication index (EI) was significantly increased in Rline1 (2.42), Rline2 (2.47) and Rline3 (2.33) when compared with that in wild type (2.19). Furthermore, the nuclear DNA ploidy distribution in the three RNAi lines showed increases mostly for the 32C and 64C peaks and also in some cases for the 128C peak compared with the wild type, suggesting the promotion of successive endocycles in transgenic Sl-IAA17 RNAi lines (Fig. 5B). For the most affected lines, Rline1 and Rline2, there was a decrease for the 2C and 4C DNA levels compared with the wild type. These data show that the cell expansion in the down-regulated Sl-IAA17 transgenic fruits is tightly coupled to the level of endoreduplication and suggest that Sl-IAA17 could be involved in the control of endoreduplication in tomato fruits.

Discussion

After successful flower pollination and ovule fertilization, fruit and seed initiation (during so-called fruit set) and subsequent development occur concomitantly according to a tightly genetically controlled process operated by phytohormones (Gillaspy et al. 1993). In the early fruit developmental stages, plant hormones exert a direct control on cell division and cell
A

![Altered ploidy level in fruit pericarp cells of Sl-IAA17 RNAi tomato lines. (A) Effect of Sl-IAA17 RNAi transformation on the mean ploidy level in tomato fruit at the 35 dpa stage. Endoreduplication index (EI) values are indicated above each bar of the histogram. (B) Proportion of each ploidy level of the wild type (WT) and three RNAi lines. Ploidy was analyzed by flow cytometry in the pericarp of five fruits of each line, with tissue taken within the two black lines as shown in Fig. 4A. Statistical analyses were performed using the t-test comparing the WT with each line, **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05. Error bars are standard errors.](image)

expansion processes that determine the cell number and cell size, respectively, inside tomato fruit (Gillaspy et al. 1993, Ruan et al. 2012, Ariizumi et al. 2013, Pattison et al. 2014). As a result, the combination of cell number and cell size drives fruit growth, and influences the final fruit size.

In the present study, we describe a functional analysis of Sl-IAA17 encoding a member of the tomato Aux/IAA gene family. At the level of its primary sequence, Sl-IAA17 displays all the characteristics of an Aux/IAA transcriptional repressor, in particular the presence of the canonical repressor domain I (Tiwari et al. 2004) and a putative second repressor domain of the DLxLxL type. The presence of these domains is in agreement with our previous demonstration that the Sl-IAA17 protein functions as an active repressor of auxin-dependent gene transcription (Audran-Delalande et al. 2012). In addition, the exclusive subcellular localization of Sl-IAA17 within the nucleus (Fig. 1A) is fully consistent with a transcriptional regulatory function.

The expression profile of Sl-IAA17 revealed a preferential accumulation of transcripts in the early developing fruit, with a maximum expression at 10 dpa (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, mining RNaseq data available for the development of tomato in the Heinz cultivar also revealed a strong up-regulation of Sl-IAA17 after pollination within the developing fruits, and then a decline in expression at the mature green stage (http://ted.bti.cornell.edu; Supplementary Fig. S2). These observations thus suggest a putative role for Sl-IAA17 in early fruit development, as previously observed for other Sl-AIA genes (Wang et al. 2005, Wang et al. 2009, Bassa et al. 2012). Classically, a bimodal pattern of auxin flux during tomato fruit development is described in the literature (Gillaspy et al. 1993, Srivastava and Handa, 2005): a first peak in activity occurs at about 10 dpa and then a second one at about 30 dpa in developing tomato fruits, which thus suggests that auxin controls the initiation of the cell expansion phase (phase III) and initiation of the ripening process and final embryo development phase (phase IV), corresponding, respectively, to these two developmental time points. Remarkably, the peak of Sl-IAA17 expression coincides with the first peak of auxin concentration at 10 dpa, associated with the promotion of cell elongation and thus accelerated fruit expansion (Pattison et al. 2014).

Down-regulating Sl-IAA17 in tomato transgenic plants resulted in an increased fruit size (Fig. 3). The phenotypic analysis of fruits from the three generated RNAi lines revealed that this increase in fruit size was associated with a thicker pericarp, resulting from an enhanced cell expansion and not from a higher number of cells (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. S4). The effect on fruit growth is likely to be specific for the down-regulation of Sl-IAA17, since the expression of other Aux/IAA genes belonging to the same supposed functional clade is unaffected in the three RNAi lines (Fig. 2). It is known that the number of locules greatly influences the final fruit size (Tanksley 2004), and that seeds promote fruit expansion through producing or delivering auxins to the surrounding tissues (Ariizumi et al. 2013, and references therein). In our observations, the effect on fruit size is only related to modifications within the pericarp and did not originate from a higher number of locules or seeds (Supplementary Fig. S3).

In various plant cell types, a correlation has often been found between cell size and the nuclear DNA ploidy level resulting from the endoreduplication process (Joubés and Chevalier 2000, Sugimoto-Shirasu and Roberts 2003, Inze and De Veylder 2006). Hence we could clearly demonstrate that down-regulating Sl-IAA17 in tomato transgenic plants promotes endoreduplication inside the pericarp cells which enhances cell expansion (Fig. 5), thus resulting in the increase of fruit size. In tomato, endoreduplication plays a functional role in regulating the karyoplasmic homeostasis during fruit development (Chevalier et al. 2011, Bourdon et al. 2012, Chevalier et al. 2014), and fruit size is largely dependent upon the endoreduplication-associated cell expansion inside the pericarp tissue (Cheniclet et al. 2005). Not only are these data in full agreement with the influence of endoreduplication on cell expansion and consequently on fruit growth/size, but they reveal an active role for auxin signaling in the transition from the mitotic cycle to the endocycle in tomato fruit cells. It has been reported that auxin modulates the switch from mitotic
cycles to the endocycle in the root meristem in Arabidopsis (Ishida et al. 2010). Furthermore, it was suggested that the mitotic to endocycle transition is mediated by the TIR1–AUX/IAA–ARF-dependent auxin signaling pathway. However, in contrast to the situation in Arabidopsis root meristem tissues where the ploidy distribution was significantly increased as a result of gain-of-function mutations in IAA7/AXR2 and IAA17/AXR3 genes, the effect of SI-IAA17 down-regulation reported herein seems to lead to a higher nuclear DNA ploidy level in tomato fruits. These seemingly contradictory data may be resolved by the different nature of the tissues and development processes involved, namely the fruit organ in tomato and the root tissue in Arabidopsis. Moreover, since ARF proteins, the natural partners of Aux/IAAs, can act either as repressors or as activators of gene transcription, it cannot be ruled out that SI-IAA17 may interact with different ARFs in the different tissues, leading to transcriptional repression of target genes in one case and to transcriptional activation in the other case.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that the repression of SI-IAA17 affects the size of fruit pericarp cells, via an increase in the ploidy levels, which ultimately influences the final size of the fruit. This suggests that auxin signaling contributes to controlling the onset of endoreduplication; however, further functional studies are required to investigate the molecular mechanisms by which SI-IAA17 and auxin signaling may control endoreduplication. The SI-IAA17 RNAi fruit series generated in this study now offer a suitable tool to better unravel these mechanisms and the associated gene network, while bearing in mind that the endocycle is under the control of several key components (Chevalier et al. 2011).

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Tomato seeds (Solanum lycopersicum cv. MicroTom) were sterilized for 5 min in bleach, rinsed in sterile water and sown in recipient Magenta vessels containing 50 ml of 50% Murashige and Skoog (MS) culture medium and 0.8% (w/v) agar, pH 5.9. Plants were grown in culture rooms as follows: 14 h/10 h day/night cycle; 25/20°C day/night temperature; 80% relative humidity; 250 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity. The number of fruits per plant was restricted to 12, i.e. three bunches of four fruit, left after fertilization, in order to limit the fruit size variability due to the variability in the number of fruit per plant.

Sequence data and analysis

Sequence data for the Arabidopsis genes used in this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative database under the following accession numbers: AtIAA17 (AT1G04250), AtIAA7 (AT3G23050), AtIAA14 (AT4G14550) and AtIAA16 (AT3G04730).

Sequence data for the tomato genes used in this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: SI-IAA17 (JN379444), SI-IAA7 (JN379435), SI-IAA14 (JN379441) and SI-IAA16 (JN379443).

Transient expression using a single-cell system

For nuclear localization of the SI-IAA17 protein, the SI-IAA17 open reading frame was cloned by Gateway technology (using the combination of 5’AAAAGCAGGCTCTAGACTGATAATTAGTG3’ forward and 5’AGGAAAGCTGGGGTGTCATCCATTTCTGT3’ reverse primers) in-frame with YFP into the pEarlyGate104 vector, and expressed under the control of the 35S CaMV promoter. The empty vector pEarlyGate104 was used as a control. Protoplasts were obtained from suspension-cultured tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells, transfected according to the method described previously, and YFP localization was monitored using confocal microscopy as described previously (Audran-Delande et al. 2012).

Plant transformation

The forward primer P1 (5’AAAAAGCAGGCTCTAGACTGATAATTAGTG3’) and reverse primer P2 (5’AGGAAAGCTGGGGTGTCATCCATTTCTGT3’) were used to amplify a 196 bp long SI-IAA17 sequence, with annealing temperature set at 55°C. This fragment was cloned into the pDonr207 vector and then into the pHellsgate12 vector using Gateway technology (Invitrogen). RNAi transgenic plants were generated via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation according to Wang et al. (2005). All experiments were carried out using homozygous lines from F₂ or later generations.

RNA extraction and gene expression analysis by qRT–PCR

Total RNA was extracted using a Plant RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, http://www.qiagen.com) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was treated by DNase I to remove any genomic DNA contamination. First-strand cDNA was reverse transcribed from 2 μg of total RNA using the Omniscript kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT–PCR analyses were performed as described previously (Chervin and Deluc 2010). Gene-specific primers were designed using the Primer Express 1.0 software (PE-Applied Bio-systems). The sequences of primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Actin was used as an internal control.

Tomato fruit phenotyping and pericarp thickness analyses

Eighty fruits from 24 different plants were chosen for each line at the breaker + 7 d stage (about 45 dpa), and assessed for various fruit quality traits such as weight, volume (assessed by measuring the water displacement in a small measuring cylinder after plunging the fruit in), diameter (assessed with a Harpenden Skinfold Caliper), number of locules, number of seeds and water content (assessed by measuring weight loss of a fruit section after dessication for 3 d at 60°C). For pericarp thickness, a different culture was used: 20 fruits at the mature green stage (35 dpa) of an average volume (as shown in Fig. 3B) were selected for each tomato line. Fruits at the mature green stage have almost reached their final size, but pericarp cells are more rigid than in red ripe fruits, giving less variable readings. Vertical sections of each fruit were scanned; pericarp thickness measurements were performed at the equator of the fruit somewhere between the two black lines as shown in Fig. 4A, and the images were analyzed using ImageJ software.

Cytological analyses

Ten fruits at the mature green stage for each line were selected. Thin pericarp slices (80 μm thick) were cut using a vibratome (Vibratome LEICA VT 1000S), stained with Congo red for 2 min at room temperature, then rinsed briefly in water immediately before imaging. Images were acquired by confocal laser scanning microscopy (TCS SP2 AOBS; Leica Instruments) using a ×10 dry objective lens (numerical aperture 0.30; PL FLUOTAR). Fluorescence emission spectra were acquired using the 561 nm wavelength of a laser diode and recorded in one of the confocal channels in the 569–662 nm emission range. Images were acquired using Leica LCS software (version 2.61). For each fruit, two zones of the pericarp were analyzed around each black line, as shown in Fig. 4A. To assess the number of cell layers from the outer epidermis to the limit of the locule, a straight line was drawn in the middle of each image (an image sample is shown in Fig. 4C), and the number of cells intersecting this line was counted. The mean pericarp cell size was estimated using the following method: in each image, we counted the number of cells appearing within a rectangle, as shown in Fig. 4C (width × height = 1,500 × 1,220 μm), the top of which was parallel to the outer epidermis, but 280 μm below the first outer epidermis cell layer, in order to avoid counting the small cells which create lots of variability and error. The average cell area (in mm²) was calculated from the ratio 1.5 × 1.22/number of cells.
Ploidy analyses

Nuclei were prepared from pericarp tissues of five fruits at the mature green stage of an average weight for the wild-type line and the three Sl-IAA17 downregulation lines (Rline1, Rline2 and Rline3). The pericarp tissues (0.1–0.2 g FW) were chopped with a razor blade in 0.5 ml of Partec suspension solution, then 0.7 ml of Cystain UV ploidy solution (Partec) was added. The suspension was filtered through a 100 μm nylon mesh. The combined filtrates were analyzed using the CyFlow® Space flow cytometer from Partec. Ploidy histograms were quantitatively analyzed using the DPAC software (Partec), after manual treatment to exclude noise. The mean ploidy level of each pericarp tissue was calculated as the sum of each C value class weighed by its frequency. The EI was calculated according to Barow and Meister (2003).

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at PCP online.

Funding

This work was supported by the French Laboratory of Excellence [project ‘TULIP’ (ANR-10-LABX-41; ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02)]. This work benefited from the networking activities within the European funded COST ACTION FA1106 ‘Qualityfruit’. Liyan Su was supported by the China Scholarship Council, Carole Bassa was supported by the ‘Fédération de Recherche FR3450 Castatnet-Tolosan’ (FRAIB, Ministère de la Recherche et de l’Enseignement Supérieur. Scholarships Council, Carole Bassa was supported by the ‘F.R. Ministe`re de la Recherche et de l’Enseignement Supe`rieur.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the staff of the Cellular Imaging Facility of ‘Fédération de Recherche FR3450 Castatnet-Tolosan’ (FRAIB, TRI Platform) for microscopy analyses and to the GeT-PlaGe staff for maintenance of the qPCR equipement (GenoToul Platform). We thank O. Berseille, L. Lemonnier and D. Saint-Martin (UMR990 GBF) for generation of transgenic lines and tomato culture.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

Sagar, M., Chervin, C., Mila, I., Hao, Y., Roustan, J.P., Benichou, M. et al. (2013) SIARF4, an auxin response factor involved in the control of sugar


