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We present here our first results concerning the evaluation of hyaluronic acid (HA) as a candidate to formulate an organic–mineral cement with sustained release properties. Incorporating drug-loaded microspheres in mineral bone cements is an alternative strategy to improve their ability as drug delivery materials. To synthesize microspheres according to a reproducible process and control at the same time their morphology and their encapsulation efficiency is one of the main challenges of the conception of such drug-loaded bone substitute. In this context, we investigated the potentialities of two HA, differing by their molecular weight, to form microspheres by a spray-drying technique. Erythrosin B (EB) was encapsulated as a model drug and spray-drying process conditions were optimized. To perform this, the rheological behavior and viscosity of HA solutions have been related to their spray-drying ability, and then to the resulting microparticles morphological properties and size distribution. Reproducible microspheres, answering to the requirements in terms of size and encapsulation efficiency, have been obtained from both HA. However the HA exhibiting the lowest molecular weight, HA600, led to smaller microparticles, with a higher polydispersity index. Their swelling ability, related to their stability upon rehydration, also appeared reduced. In this context, HA850, with the highest molecular weight, was selected and the possibility to modulate drug release by HA850 microspheres incorporation into a mineral cement was explored. EB release kinetics from HA microspheres, HA microspheres loaded cement and reference cement were followed at 37 °C, in Tris buffer at pH 7.4, using European Pharmacopoeia flow-through cells. Results showed that HA microspheres incorporation into a mineral cement permitted to modify the cement drug release profile and led to a sustained release.

1. Introduction

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is an abundant non-sulfated glycosaminoglycan component of synovial fluid and extracellular matrices. It is a mucopoly saccharide consisting of repeating units of β-glucuronic acid and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (Fig. 1). It is a well-known bio-compatible, nonimmunogenic and biodegradable polymer, having widespread applications in drug delivery, tissue engineering and viscosupplementation [1–6]. Besides being an important structural component in cartilage, HA is also essential for bone remodeling [7]. HA is an attractive starting material for the construction of bulk gels or hydrogel particles [8] but its applications in bone tissue engineering are limited by its poor mechanical properties. For this reason, it is often associated with calcium phosphates in order to obtain reinforced and/or injectable bone cements, consisting in HA gels containing hydroxyapatite [9,10] or calcium phosphate cements CPCs containing HA [11,12].

To minimize the risk of post-operative failure, surgeons often require the possibility to co-administer therapeutic agents and/or biologically active components limiting inflammation, bacteria proliferation or promoting bone reconstruction (antibiotics, growth factors…). In this context, CPCs can be used as local drug delivery systems [13,14]. An alternative approach for drug loading is to incorporate the drug in polymeric microspheres before blending with CPC. This strategy offers two advantages: polymer microparticles could help to modulate drug delivery [15–20], in combination with cohesion and/or enhanced resorption and remodelling capability [13,14].

Synthetic polymers, mainly poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid PLGA, have been tested for this purpose [15,16,20], but their acidic degradation remains problematic. Surprisingly, polysaccharides have rarely been exploited to form microparticles [18] although they are frequently added to CPCs as rheological modifiers or cohesion promoters [21–23]. HA has never been tested for this purpose.

In this context, we decided to evaluate hyaluronic acid as a candidate to formulate a loaded microspheres–mineral cement whose release properties could be tailored on demand.
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To synthesize microspheres according to a reproducible process and control at the same time their morphology and their encapsulation efficiency is one of the main challenges of such organic–mineral cement design. Process conditions have to be optimized to fit the desired particles characteristics: (i) high encapsulation efficiency, (ii) spherical morphology, (iii) particles mean size and size distribution maintaining the injectability property of the final organic–mineral cement.

In this context, we explored the potentialities of two HA, differing by their molecular weight, to form microspheres with a spray-drying method. Spray-drying method consists of atomizing chemical solutions into droplets dispersed and dried inside a carrier gas, which induces a concentration of non-volatile species and the solidification of particles. It is extensively used in pharmaceutical industries [24,25], since the textural and release properties of the materials are highly tunable thanks to the variation of the solution components.

Erythrosin B (EB), a fluorescein derivative, was encapsulated as a model drug and spray-drying process conditions were optimized. To perform this, the rheological behavior and viscosity of HA solutions have been related to their spray drying ability, and then to the resulting microparticles morphological properties and size distribution. Then the release ability of a HA microspheres–mineral cement was evaluated and compared to the microspheres and the mineral cement release profiles.

2. Materials and methods

Two hyaluronic acid (HA) samples with high molecular weight were tested in this study, HA600 (Cristalhyal, Soliance, France, kindly provided by Pr R. Auzély-Veltz from the CERMAV laboratory, Grenoble, France) and HA850 (Primahyal, Soliance, France) exhibited an average molecular weight of 600,000 Da and 850,000 Da, respectively. Erythrosin B (EB) sodium salt, dye content 91.7% was purchased from Alfa Aesar and Tris (Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane Trizma® base BioXtra, purity ≥ 99.9%) from Sigma.

2.1. Preparation of HA and HA-EB solutions

Polymer solutions, with HA concentrations ranging from 1 g/L to 10 g/L, were obtained by dispersing polymer in deionized water under continuous stirring for 24 hours at room temperature.

2.2. Rheological characterization of HA in solution

Polymers rheological behavior, at concentrations ranging from 1 g/L to 10 g/L, was studied using a controlled stress rheometer (Haake Rheostress RS 75) equipped with a cone plate geometry (6 cm diameter; 1° angle). Measurements were obtained with imposed shear rate ranging from 0 to 2000 s⁻¹.

2.3. Production of HA microspheres: Spray-drying

Microparticles were produced using a Buchi mini Spray Dryer model 190 (Buchi, Germany). Briefly, the polymer solutions, with HA concentrations ranging from 1 g/L to 6 g/L, were fed into the instrument by a peristaltic pump and sprayed with a 0.7 mm nozzle, by means of a flow of compressed air, in the drying chamber of the apparatus. A flow of heated air aspirated by a pump induced the quick evaporation of the solvent from the drops, leading to the formation of solid microparticles. The instrumental settings are reported in Table 1. The obtained particles, after separation from the exhausted air cyclone, settled into a bottom collector and were kept in sealed tubes at ambient temperature prior use.

2.4. Microparticles characterization

Morphology (shape and surface) of the dried microspheres was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a LEO 435VP microscope after metallization by silver coating under vacuum by SPI Sputter coating unit. Particles size distributions were measured using a laser particle sizer Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern, UK) based on a laser light scattering technique. Each sample was measured in triplicate. The volume weighted mean diameters, \( D \) (4,3\)] and mean D(0.5), were used to describe the particle size. The polydispersity or span of size distributions was evaluated by calculation of samples polydispersity index \( PI = [D(0.9) - D(0.1)]/D(0.5) \)

2.4.1. Microparticles recovery: Yield

Microparticles recovery efficiencies were calculated as percentage of weight of the obtained microparticles, taking as reference the total amount of polymer (and EB if added) used for their preparation.

2.4.2. Drug content of microparticles: Encapsulation efficiency

The amount of encapsulated drug per milligram of dried microspheres was determined by visible spectroscopy (Spectrophotometer HP 8451A) at 528 nm (wavelength value corresponding to the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of EB), after complete degradation (i.e. after 72 hours) of 100 mg of microspheres into Tris buffer 0.1 M solution at pH 7.4, under stirring at 100 rpm at room temperature. Encapsulation efficiency was calculated by the ratio between this EB amount to the EB amount initially incorporated in the polymer solution.

2.5. Preparation of reference and microspheres-loaded cements

The reference mineral cement (MC) paste was prepared by mixing the appropriate amount of liquid phase (deionized water) with a powder mixture of brushite (DCPD, CaHPO₄·2H₂O) and vaterite (CaCO₃), as previously published [26]. Powder and liquid phase were mixed using a liquid/solid weight ratio of 0.7. In the case of reference EB-cements (MC-EB), EB was added in the solid phase. In the case of microspheres-loaded cements (MC-HA-EB), the required amount of microspheres (10% w/w of the solid phase of the cement) was added to the reference paste after 1 minute of mixing, and mixed until visually homogeneous distribution of the microparticles within the paste was obtained. The pastes were then filled into silicone moulds, placed in sealed containers and let at 37 °C in a water saturated atmosphere for 48 hours, while setting and hardening.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spray-dryer parameters</th>
<th>HA600</th>
<th>HA600-EB</th>
<th>HA850</th>
<th>HA850-EB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomizing gas flow rate (L/h)</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drying gas flow rate (L/h)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedstock flow rate (L/h)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inlet temperature (°C)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet temperature (°C)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Chemical structure of the monomer units of hyaluronic acid (HA).
2.6. Microspheres stability

Microspheres stability with time was evaluated by studying their swelling in Tris buffer at pH 7.4. Swelling was estimated by weighing microspheres at determined intervals of time after immersion into the buffer, according to the equation:

\[ \text{Microspheres swelling at time } t \text{ (\%)} = \left( \frac{m_t - m_0}{m_0} \right) \times 100 \]

where \( m_t \) is microspheres weight at time \( t \), and \( m_0 \) is microspheres weight before immersion in buffer.

The procedure to perform this was standardized: a precise amount of microspheres of approximately 100 mg was put in a filter between glass beads and immersed in 10 mL of Tris buffer. At determined intervals of time, the buffer was removed by vacuum (by using a vacuum pump during 20 seconds) and the drained filter weighed. Then the experiment was carried on by immersing again the microspheres in the same amount of fresh buffer, until microspheres destructuration and complete gel erosion. This experiment was done 3 times for each sample and results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

2.7. In vitro release kinetics

2.7.1. Drug release conditions

Erythrosin B release profiles were obtained with a flow-through cell system, USP Apparatus 4 (Sotax CE6, Sotax AG, Switzerland) with 22.6 mm cells and a piston pump (Sotax CY7, Sotax AG, Switzerland). In all experiments laminar flow (with a bed of 5 g of glass beads) was used. The in vitro release tests were carried out at 37 °C ± 0.5 °C under sink conditions according to European Pharmacopoeia guidelines [27]. About 100 mg of microspheres or a block of hardened cement of about 1.5 g were placed above the glass beads and covered with 3 g more. The dissolution medium, Tris buffer 0.1 M (pH 7.4), was pumped through the column at a flow rate of 10 mL/min. A closed system was used, recycling 500 mL of dissolution medium. Periodically, fractions of 5 mL were collected and the drug content was determined by visible spectroscopy at 528 nm (Spectrophotometer HP 8451A), according to a standard curve (EB concentrations ranging from 1 mg/L to 15 mg/L, coefficient of determination \( r^2 = 0.9998 \)). Same volume of dissolution medium was replaced back after each sampling in order to maintain sink conditions. For every trial, a standard curve was prepared. The in vitro release studies were performed in triplicate. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

2.7.2. Drug release analysis

The experimental release data were then fitted to the following semi-empirical equations respectively describing (i) Higuchi model, which is adapted to solid drugs dispersed in solid granular matrices [28], (ii) Weibull model, which is adapted to heterogeneous systems [29], and dissipative release mechanism from microspheres [30].

Within Higuchi model, the ratio \( Q(t)/Q_0 \) between the cumulative percentage of drug released at time \( t \) and at infinite time is:

\[ Q(t)/Q_0 = K_{diff} \cdot t^{1/2} + c \]  

where \( K_{diff} \) is the dissolution constant, coefficient calculated by plotting the linear forms of the Higuchi equation.

Within Weibull model, \( Q(t)/Q_0 \) is:

\[ Q(t)/Q_0 = 1 - \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{t - t_{lag}}{t_{scale}} \right)^b \right] \]

where \( t_{lag} \) is the lag time before drug release takes place, \( t_{scale} \) is indicative of the timescale for the release process and \( b \) characterizes the shape of the release curve.

To put in evidence a dissipative release mechanism, \( Q(t)/Q_0 \) is plotted according to the following equation:

\[ Q(t)/Q_0 = K_{diff} \cdot t^{0.42} + c' \]

\( K_{diff} \) and \( c' \) are coefficients calculated by plotting the linear forms of the equation.

The release data up to the plateau of released drug were used to produce theoretical release curves.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical comparison of the data was performed using the t-test for comparison between two groups or one-way ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni’s test for comparison of more than two groups. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

3. Results and discussion

Two hyaluronic acid samples HA600 and HA850, with different average molecular weight, have been compared in order to determine the best candidate to form microspheres to incorporate in a mineral cement. The aim was to obtain microspheres of about 5 to 30 µm to preserve cement injectability, with the best yield. For this purpose, a spray-drying method was chosen. Although the production of HA microspheres by spray-drying has already been reported in the literature [2,6,5], the operating conditions can vary and may affect particle size and morphology. The choice of the HA type is also far from negligible as its behavior in solution and its molecular weight will directly impact the spray-drying process conditions, hence, microspheres morphology and rehydration after drying. The presence of an active compound in solution may also have an influence as it could modify in an unpredictable manner the viscosity of the solution to spray-dry. Moreover, the physico-chemical characteristics of the drug, in particular its hydrophilic–lipophilic balance, play a crucial role on the encapsulation efficiency value. In this study, our aim was to be in an ideal case, with a model hydrophilic molecule able to diffuse freely out of the microspheres, reference cement and composite. We decided to work with a hydrophilic molecule, erythrosine B (EB). EB is a red fluorescent dye which can be easily assayed by fluorescence or absorption in the visible range (\( \lambda_{max} = 526–528 \) nm); its chemical formula is presented in Fig. 2. At neutral pH it is positively charged, avoiding the possibility of ionic interaction of the drug with the mineral phase of the cement in the dissolution–precipitation process taking place during setting (resulting in Ca\(^{2+}\) ions chelation) and adsorption on the components of the mineral cement.

Fig. 2. Chemical structure of erythrosin B (EB).
3.1. Optimization of the operating conditions of the spray drying process

Various parameters may influence microspheres morphology and process yield. Some are directly correlated to the method: atomizing gas flow rate, drying gas flow rate, feedstock flow rate, and inlet temperature [24] (see Table 1 for the instrumental settings used in this study). Others depend on the properties of the solutions to spray-dry. To control this parameter, we decided to rely on the rheological properties of the HA solutions to their ability to be spray-dried. HA solutions of concentrations ranging from 1 to 10 g/L have been characterized with a rheometer before being spray-dried. The resulting microspheres have been observed by SEM and their size distribution was determined. As an example, the results obtained for HA600 are shown in Fig. 3.

All the HA solutions exhibited a shear-thinning behavior and were non-thixotropic. A concentration of 1 g/L appeared as the minimum threshold of concentration to obtain microspheres, and a concentration of 6 g/L resulted in too viscous solutions. Between these limits, HA concentration did not seem to influence the resulting microspheres morphology (Fig. 3). They look for the most part spherical, with a smooth surface. However, their size distribution appeared correlated to the viscosity of the initial HA solutions. Liu et al., who investigated the influence of polymer concentration on the size and morphology of spray-dried chitosan microparticles, led to the same observations [31]. In our case, increasing HA concentration increased particles sizes and spray-drying yield, by decreasing the rate of small particles, which are more difficult to collect and impact the polydispersity index (PI) of the batches. As a consequence, HA concentration, in the range from 1 to 4 g/L, had a significant effect on particle size, as demonstrated by statistical analysis (p < 0.05).

We explored the influence of HA type and EB presence on the rheology of HA solutions, and their consecutive spray-dry-ability. Concerning the influence of HA type, a comparison of the viscosities of HA600 and HA850 solutions at 1000 s\(^{-1}\) is shown in Fig. 4. As expected, increasing HA concentrations increased HA solutions viscosity. The HA850 viscosity appeared higher but close to the one of HA600 at low concentrations; however, the phenomenon was reversed for concentrations higher than 5 g/L, perhaps due to smaller chains entanglement. The results obtained in terms of morphology and size distribution of the particles made us choose to work with concentrations of 4 g/L, just below the maximum threshold of concentration allowing spray-drying. These conditions permitted to obtain HA microspheres in a reproducible manner, with polydispersity indexes lower than 3 for both HA tested (Table 2). Using spray-drying to produce HA microspheres presents many advantages. In comparison with solvent evaporation after emulsification, a competitor method to produce microspheres, spray-drying results in a one-pot synthesis, avoiding time-consuming steps and the use of harsh solvents [32]. It is compatible...

Fig. 3. Rheological behavior of HA600 in solution and resulting microspheres characteristics after spray-drying: A) flow curves of HA solutions at various concentrations; B) resulting microspheres morphological properties after spray-drying of the solutions: SEM micrographs and particle size distributions.

Fig. 4. Evolution of the viscosity of HA solutions at 1000 s\(^{-1}\) as a function of polymer concentration and average molecular weight (●, dotted line: HA600; ■, full line: HA850).
of the liquid properties on the physical properties of spray-dried microparticles, reported similar observations: larger droplets were formed by increasing the liquid viscosity and surface tension [33]. Given that the presence of EB had a small influence on HA600 and HA850 solutions viscosity, it induced a slight decrease in particle sizes and an increase of PI when comparing solutions of the same polymer with or without EB.

Prior to in vitro studies, the stability of HA600 and HA850 microparticles upon rehydration was explored by following their swelling with time after immersion in Tris buffer at pH 7.4 (Fig. 7). Both formulations presented the same rehydration profile, consisting in a rapid liquid absorption followed by a plateau and a progressive loss of weight due to microspheres disintegration. HA850 microparticles swelling was faster: they reached 2000% within the first 2 minutes, while HA600 microparticles reached 1200% after 3 hours. Progressively, HA microspheres became a loose gel before dissolving completely into the medium after 22 hours and 29 hours of immersion for HA600 and HA850 microspheres, respectively. HA850 microspheres higher resistance resulted from HA higher molecular weight. Regarding all the results, HA850 was selected to test its in vitro release abilities.

### 3.3. In vitro release of EB from HA850 microspheres (HA), microspheres loaded cements (MC-HA) and reference mineral cements (MC)

As an example, HA microspheres were incorporated in a mixed calcium carbonate–calcium phosphate cement (further called MC: mineral cement). In vitro release experiments were conducted on HA, MC-HA and MC materials at 37 °C, in Tris buffer at pH 7.4, using European Pharmacopoeia flow-through cells. Results are presented in Fig. 8. Microspheres, microspheres loaded and reference cements led to different release profiles. In the case of HA microspheres, EB release was fast: it reached 75% in 7.5 hours and was complete in a lag time of 30 hours. This result is in agreement with HA microspheres stability in solution (Fig. 7). Both materials (MC and MC-HA) exhibited sustained release profiles, which appeared superimposable on the first 7.5 hours, clearly showing that the mineral matrix and its porosity were the limiting factors of the release on the first hours. EB release at this time was 33.5% for MC-EB and 32.6% for MC-HA-EB. After 7.5 hours, the EB release from reference cement remained significantly higher than that of microspheres loaded cement, which appeared sustained. Only 57.7% of EB was released after 47 hours in the case of MC-HA-EB.

The shapes of the curves, combined with the mathematical analysis of the data, clearly show that the drug release modalities were complex, and different in the three cases. The resulting kinetic parameters estimated from Higuchi and Weibull models (see Material and methods) are presented in Table 3.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>HA600</th>
<th>HA600-EB</th>
<th>HA850</th>
<th>HA850-EB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA concentration (g/L)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB/HA ratio (3 w/w)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (mPa s)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>AH-EB</th>
<th>MC-HA-EB</th>
<th>MC-EB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio HA/solid phase (% w/w)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio EB/solid phase (% w/w)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_h$ (dissolution constant (h$^{-1/2}$)</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of determination ($r^2$)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weibull model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0$</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{50}$ (hour)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{50}$ (hour)</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of determination ($r^2$)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolutive model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{eq}$</td>
<td>–0.2049</td>
<td>–0.0193</td>
<td>–0.0324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of determination ($r^2$)</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 5.** Influence of EB addition on the viscosity of HA solutions at various concentrations (▲: full line, H850; □: dotted line, HA850-EB).
Higuchi model fitted well EB delivery from reference cement, with a coefficient of determination of 0.999. This is not surprising as Higuchi model is currently used to interpret drug release profiles from calcium phosphate cements (see Ref. [14] for review). Mixed calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate cements are highly porous materials due to the free spaces between precipitated crystals, with pore size in the nano/micrometric range [34]. The reference mineral cement, initially constituted of platelets of brushite (B) and lentils of vaterite (V), is shown on Fig. 9C. In vivo, these cements resorption rate is higher than that of usual calcium phosphate cements because of the higher solubility of the CaCO$_3$ metastable phase, vaterite, remaining in the cement final composition [26]. However, since their rate of degradation can be considered much lower than the rate of drug liberation, these mineral cements can be ascribed to the category of nonswellable monolithic systems. As a consequence, drug release is mainly controlled by drug diffusion through mineral matrix in agreement with the Higuchi model.

Higuchi model did not fit well with HA-EB and MC-HA-EB curves, except on the first hours of the experiment. In order to better understand the release mechanisms, the Weibull model was applied. This empirical model can be used to describe release diffusion mechanisms during the drug transport through microparticles [29]. Simple interpretations of the exponent $b$ and the timescale $t_{\text{scale}}$ values give indications on the possible drug release mechanism. A pure Fick diffusion mechanism corresponds to $b = 1$. Here, all the curves were fitted with functions using $b < 1$. The release mechanisms might thus be ascribed to diffusion in disordered media (with irregular porosity leading to variations in the diffusion coefficient) [35] and/or to diffusion associated to other phenomena like erosion of the matrix [36].
In case of HA-EB microspheres, the release kinetics was fast (small $t_{lag}$ and $t_{scale}$ values). This is the direct consequence of the diffusion of the drug through HA particles and gel before complete destructuration. Because hyaluronic acid has carboxyl and hydroxyl groups which can bond with water, the hydrophilic surface is expected to reduce the contact angle, and lead to an increased wettability of the encapsulated molecule, resulting in an improved dissolution [6,5]. A coefficient of determination of 0.998 was also obtained by plotting the linear form of the third semi-empirical equation (dissolutive release mechanism), clearly showing that EB release from microspheres alone is mainly controlled by HA matrix destructuration upon rehydration.

When HA microparticles and mineral cement were associated (MC-HA-EB), EB release appeared to slow down after the first 7.5 hours (high $t_{scale}$ value). The profile presented a $t_{lag}$ and $t_{scale}$ value in the range of 0.14 and 11.59 hours respectively. However, the coefficient of determination of the Weibull modelization was only 0.995, clearly showing that EB release from MC-HA was complex and probably the combination of several phenomena occurring successively. The release profile can be considered in two stages. On the first 7.5 hours, the part of EB released by the HA gel during cement preparation, setting and hardening diffuses freely out of the cement, rending MC-EB and MC-HA-EB release profiles very similar. But during the following hours, EB release rate was significantly slowed in the case of the composite cement. This could be explained by HA microspheres behavior upon rehydration. When hydrophilic polymer based microspheres, such as hyaluronan, are immersed in an aqueous medium, they swell and form a gel diffusion layer that hampers the outward transport of the drug within the matrix, hence producing a controlled release effect [37]. We already observed a similar phenomenon with pectin microspheres–calcium phosphate composite cement [18]. Upon rehydration, HA microspheres become a loose gel which is quite difficult to preserve upon drying for MEB observation. For this reason, HA presence in composite can only be detected as strands mapping mineral particles, these strands being located in specific areas corresponding to initial microspheres location. At a magnification of $\times 500$, "prints" of HA microspheres can be seen within the mineral cement (Fig. 9A, indicated by dotted circles). HA strands, at the bottom of microsphere prints, can be evidenced at higher magnification (Fig. 9B). HA presence within the mineral matrix pores, invading spaces between brushite platelets and vaterite lentils particles, could explain the slowed erythrosin B release from the composite cement, when compared to reference cement.

4. Conclusion

As a conclusion, the feasibility of introducing HA microspheres in a mineral bone cement, in order to modify its release properties, was demonstrated. Spray-drying permitted a one-pot synthesis, avoiding
time-consuming steps and the use of harsh solvents. The process, compatible with on-line continuous production and easily scaled up, has been optimized to obtain reproducible microparticles with size distributions adapted for the formulation of an injectable bone cement. HA microspheres degraded in 30 hours when immersed in a buffer medium. However, the introduction of HA microspheres in a mineral cement led to a sustained release, due to HA gel formation within the pores of the mineral matrix which decreases the diffusion rate. Hyaluronic acid microspheres could be of particular interest to formulate bone cements with extended ability to release active compounds.
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