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A B S T R A C T

The morphology and sediment dynamics of the 1500 km-long coast of South America between the mouths of the Amazon and the Orinoco Rivers are largely dependent on the massive suspended-sediment discharge of the Amazon, part of which is transported alongshore as mud banks. These mud banks have an overwhelming impact on the geology, the geomorphology, the ecology and the economy of this coast. Although numerous field investigations and remote sensing studies have considerably enhanced our understanding of the dynamics of this coast over the last three decades, much still remains to be understood of the unique functional mechanisms and processes driving its evolution. Among the themes that we deem as requiring further attention three come out as fundamental.

The first concerns the mechanisms of formation of individual mud banks from mud streaming on the shelf off the mouth of the Amazon. An unknown quantity of the fluid mud generated by offshore estuarine front activity is transported shoreward and progressively forms mud banks on the Amapá coast, Brazil. The volume of each mud bank can contain from the equivalent of the annual mud supply of the Amazon to several times this annual sediment discharge. The mechanisms by which individual banks are generated from the Amazon turbidity maximum are still to be elucidated. Areas of research include regional mesoscale oceanographic conditions and mud supply from the Amazon.

The second theme is that of variations in rates of migration of mud banks, which influence patterns of coastal accretion. Research emphasis needs to be placed on the analysis of both regional meteorological-hydrodynamic forcing and distant Atlantic forcing, as well as on the hydrology of the large rivers draining the Guyana Shield. The rivers appear to generate significant offshore deflection of mud banks in transit alongshore, through a hydraulic-groyne effect. This may favour both muddy accretion on the updrift coast and downdrift mud liquefaction with probably lessened muddy deposition.

The third theme concerns sand supply by the Guiana Shield rivers. The rare sand deposits are important in providing sites for human settlements and routes and for nesting by marine turtles. The limited presence of sand bodies on this coast may reflect ‘mud blanketing’, a hypothesis that requires verification through high-resolution seismic analyses of shelf deposits and coring operations. The large Guiana Shield rivers, especially in Surinam and Guyana, have supplied sand for the construction of significant bands of cheniers, probably enhanced by the afore-mentioned downdrift hydraulic-groyne effect on hindered mud deposition. In all the three themes of this future research agenda, two central elements are the sediment input of the rivers of the Amazon basin, starting with the massive mud supply from the Amazon catchment itself, followed by sand inputs by the Guiana Shield rivers and their river-mouth effects on mud banks.
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1. Introduction

The Atlantic coast of South America between Amapá, in Brazil, and Venezuela (Fig. 1a), constitutes the terminus of rivers draining the Andes, the Amazon basin and the Guiana Shield. By far the most important of these rivers is the Amazon itself. The objectives of the French–Brazilian Observatory of Research on the Environment of the Amazon Basin (ORE HYBAM (http://www.ore-hybam.org)), as stated by Cochrane et al. (2006), are to foster a better understanding of the hydrology, geomorphology and sediment budgets of these rivers. These objectives have met with success over the last few years, especially as regards the geomorphology, sediment storage patterns, and sediment budgets, notably of the Amazon itself (Filizola and Guyot, 2009; Martinez et al., 2009; Wittmann et al., 2011). However, the links between, on the one hand, the hydrology, sediment budgets and overall source-to-sink sediment dynamics of these rivers and, on the other, the 1500 km-long coast from Amapá to Venezuela are still poorly understood, notwithstanding the fact that this coast is characterized almost exclusively by terrigenous, fluvially transported sediment inputs.

The large supply of mud by the Amazon River has dominated the geological development of this coast, via a system of mud banks that migrate from the mouth of the Amazon to that of the Orinoco (Fig. 1b). This mud–bank system appears to be unique in terms of both the magnitude of mud migration alongshore and the mud dynamics, due to the extremely large and pervasive supply of mud by the world’s largest river. This supply involves mud concentration processes within an atypical shelf-based estuarine turbidity maximum, and conservative onshore-alongshore mud transport along an energetic inner shoreface belt along the Amapá–Guianas coast. The significant mud accumulation has led to the growth of a shelf clineoform structure at the mouth of the Amazon and to more or less important coastal progradation throughout the coast of the Guianas and into Venezuela. The spatial (and temporal) imprint of the waxing and waning of mud–bank activity is characterized by ‘bank’ and ‘inter-bank’ phases, and locally by ‘transitional’ phases. Over time, the rhythmic nature of these alternating phases has an overwhelming impact on the coast, inducing rapid shoreline accretion and/or erosion, as well as important ecological changes involving the development and destruction of mangrove forests. This high mud supply also has strong impacts on the coastal economy of all the countries between the mouths of the Amazon and the Orinoco: Brazil, French Guiana, Surinam, Guyana and Venezuela.

There has been significant progress in understanding the development and morphosedimentary dynamics of this coast. Studies have notably focussed on processes of mud–bank migration, mud–bank interaction with the shore, and the influence of mud banks on mangrove dynamics. Progress accomplished over the last three decades has been summarized recently by Anthony et al. (2010), to which the reader interested in a comprehensive review of the Amazon mud–bank system is referred. The findings examined in this research review were based on a wide range of methodological procedures and techniques, including new field and remote sensing approaches. Field approaches involve considerable logistical difficulties, including the challenge of accessing experimental sites, and have included measurements of cross-shelf wave dissipation on mud beds, seismic profiling, high-resolution in situ mapping of mud–bank topography, monitoring of mud–bank sedimentation rates through ultrasonic altimetry, in situ instrumented monitoring, time-lapse photography to monitor changes in the surface properties of mud banks, and especially remote sensing, which, given the problems of field access, has been widely used for the analysis of coastal forms, physical processes, and mangrove ecology at various scales. In particular, topographic data-extraction from temporal series of satellite images and Lidar-derived digital terrain modelling have been used with success to highlight patterns of medium- (months) to long-term (years) mud–bank dynamics and coastal evolution.

The review depicted a highly complex system that has still left many questions unanswered regarding the dynamics of this coast. The objectives of the present paper are to highlight three themes that provide a full research agenda in the coming years. These themes will require more insight into the role of fluvial sediment supply from the Amazon drainage system, both as regards the Amazon River itself, and the smaller rivers that debouch on this Amazon-dominated coast, and should help in bridging the gap between advances on catchment hydrology and sediment budgets and that have been largely fostered by the ORE HYBAM and our understanding of the dynamics of the coastal terminus of the Amazon and Guiana Shield rivers. These themes are: (1) Amazon mud supply, and processes and timescales of mud–bank formation; (2) mechanisms and timescales of mud–bank migration; and (3) sand supply by the Guiana Shield rivers debouching on this coast.

2. Amazon mud supply, and processes and timescales of mud–bank formation

The influence of the Amazon on the sediment dynamics of the Amapá–Guianas coast essentially resides in the unique belt of mud banks that circulate alongshore. These mud banks constitute, however, only an estimated 15–20% of the muddy discharge from the Amazon (Augustinus, 1978; Wells and Coleman, 1978), a percentage that needs to be more thoroughly verified. This discharge progressively forms highly turbid suspensions (>1 g l⁻¹) in the vicinity of the mouth of the river that are the precursors of the mud banks. The formation of distinct mud banks that migrate alongshore as discrete sediment bodies suggests periodic (order of several years) and localized mud concentration mechanisms that are a major element of the future research agenda. Two areas of research are needed in order to gain a better insight on this theme. The first concerns the mud discharge from the Amazon, and the second the oceanographic context of mud–bank formation.

The Amazon is the world’s largest river system with a drainage basin of 6.1 × 10⁶ km² (Organization of American States, 2005). The mean annual water discharge at Óbidos (Fig. 1a), 900 km upstream of the mouth, has been estimated at 173,000 m³ s⁻¹ by Martinez et al. (2009) from continuous gauging between 1995 and 2007. Estimations of sediment discharge published over the last four decades have varied widely, ranging from 5 to 13 × 10⁶ m³ yr⁻¹, according to Martinez et al. (2009). Following careful monitoring of a suspended-sediment discharge network at Óbidos run by the ORE HYBAM between 1995 and 2007, Martinez et al. (2009) computed a mean annual mud discharge of about 754 × 10⁶ tonnes yr⁻¹, with a coefficient of variation of 8.6%. They also highlighted a good agreement (to within 1.8–3%) between their suspended-sediment concentrations and data derived from a MODIS space-borne sensor. A budget analysis by Wittmann et al. (2011), based on cosmogenic nuclides, suggests a similar value. Filizola and Guyot (2009) assessed the Amazon sediment yield from the Brazilian national data set comprising over 60 sites since the 1970s and have suggested a value of over 1000 × 10⁶ tonnes yr⁻¹. Martinez et al. (2009) have shown that compared to the relatively regular liquid discharge of the Amazon, there was more significant inter-annual variability in sediment discharge but the large Amazon floodplain has also been shown to have a significant buffering role on sediment budget variability.

The way variations in mud supply by the Amazon may play a role in mud–bank formation is not clear. An indication may,
Fig. 1. (a) A 2006 JERS-1 satellite image of the muddy Amazon–Orinoco coast, the world’s longest muddy coast. Mud banks start forming in the Cabo Cassipore area rather than along the coast nearer to the mouth of the Amazon. This is due to the significant offshore location of the non-confined estuarine turbidity maximum of the Amazon and westward along-shelf deflection of this maximum towards the coast near Cabo Cassipore, directly south of which the Amapá coast appears to be mud-starved (see text). (b) The oblique aerial photograph shows a typical mud bank in French Guiana partly colonized by mangroves and cut by drainage channels. The bare part of the mud bank in the background shows a series of linear mud bars. This mud bank is one of several (up to 15 or more) banks migrating at any time from the mouth of the Amazon River in Brazil to that of the Orinoco River in Venezuela.
however, be derived from the sheer volume of an average bank and the large number of mud banks. In any year, the number of mud banks throughout the 1500 km-long Amapá-Guianas coast may be 15 or more. The banks are spaced at intervals of 15–25 km, are up to 5 m thick, 10–60 km long and 20–30 km wide. The volume of each mud bank can contain from the equivalent of the annual mud supply of the Amazon to several times this annual supply. This, combined with the large number of mud banks at any time, suggests that periodic bank formation may not be principally related to the hydrology of the Amazon, such as through seasonal variations in mud discharge.

The other area requiring research concerns the context and mechanisms involved in the formation of individual mud banks. In other words, how does the quasi-continuous Amazon mud load, albeit with seasonal variations, go from streaming, away from the mouth of the Amazon, to discrete mud banks? The mud concentration processes that are a precursor to the formation of distinct mud banks start on the continental shelf seaward of the mouth of the Amazon in water depths of about 20–60 m, where much of the suspended-sediment discharged by the river is initially stored, via rapid and sustained fluid-mud concentration and trapping associated with fresh water–salt water interaction and ‘unconfined’ estuarine front activity (Geyer and Kineke, 1995; Kineke et al., 1996). The link, however, between these estuarine sediment concentration processes that presumably maintain a massive inner shelf turbidity maximum and the subsequent mud–bank belt still remains elusive. Mud is remobilized and transported shoreward and then alongshore by a complex combination of wave forcing, tidal currents, and wind-induced coastal currents, but the contributions of these transport mechanisms and their temporal and spatial frames are still to be elucidated. Nikiema et al. (2007) have shown, from coupling of a 3D hydrodynamic model with the bathymetry and the coastline, that a strong coastal current associated with the mesoscale North Brazil Current generates permanent northwestward extension of the sediment-charged Amazon plume, confirming earlier observations that attributed this net northwestward plume, and ambient shelf water motion, to a large-scale pressure gradient associated with this current system (Geyer et al., 1996). Molleri et al. (2010) have shown from satellite images of seawater salinity that the northwestern flow of the Amazon plume occurs in a narrow coastal band from January to April. This period also corresponds to the annual peak in trade winds and plume occurs in a narrow coastal band from January to April. This, combined with the large number of mud banks at any time, suggests that periodic bank formation may not be principally related to the hydrology of the Amazon, such as through seasonal variations in mud discharge.

3. Mechanisms and timescales of mud–bank migration

Downw Drift of the mouth of the Amazon, the dynamics of mud banks depend on their interactions with waves and currents, with the shoreline, and on in situ changes, including those associated with mangrove colonization and destruction. These interactions involve wave energy dampening, cross-shore and alongshore mud advection, mud–bank consolidation, fluidization and liquefaction, and mangrove colonization and removal. These processes lead to constant variations in mud concentrations and consolidation (Gratiot et al., 2007). In bank phases, these stages range from very high suspended-sediment concentrations (1–10 g l$^{-1}$), through fluid mud, to settled mud, which, in turn, ranges from under-consolidated ($<650$ g l$^{-1}$) to consolidated sediment beds ($>750$ g l$^{-1}$). Inter-bank zones are generally associated with less turbid waters (SSC of $<1–5$ g l$^{-1}$).

Under strong wave action, fluid mud pushed shoreward during the near- to-spring cycle results in overall accretion and increase in bank elevation. The mobilized mud layers generally form mud bars. The most important element of wave–mud interaction, however, is that of the migration of mud banks alongshore under the dominant influence of waves (Wells and Coleman, 1978; Gratiot et al., 2007), a phenomenon of overwhelming geological, geomorphic, ecological and economic importance on the Amapá–Guianas coast. Gratiot et al. (2007) showed that notable phases of increased wave energy were accompanied by higher annual rates of longshore mud–bank migration, but that the correlation was rather poor between the wave forcing parameter combining wave height ($H$) and period ($T$), $H^2T^2$, and migration rates because of the contribution of other mechanisms to bank migration, including wave incidence angle and wind stress. These other mechanisms constitute another important research area for the future. Changes in wave conditions provide, however, only a partial answer. The mean mud–bank migration rate from 1995 to 2000 was twice that from 1979 to 1984, for instance, even though the wave forcing parameter, $H^2T^2$, identified by Gratiot et al. (2007) from a 44-yr record of the ERA-40 wave data set was only 33% higher. It may be inferred from these observations that differences in migration rates may also account in part for variations in the spacing between the banks, and possibly bank morphology as well, notably where mud banks are ‘stretched’ alongshore.

On the basis of the foregoing, emphasis in research on the causes of temporal variations in migration rates should be placed on the analysis of both regional meteorological-hydrodynamic forcing and distant Atlantic forcing. Regional sources of variability that need to be investigated include variations in the North Brazil Current (Nikiema et al., 2007), density currents due to the Amazon freshwater plume, the effect of variations in trade-wind stress due to changes in wind speed and directions on the generation of compensatory currents from Amapá to the Orinoco. On a global scale, the role of storm tracks and storm intensity in the North Atlantic, such as those associated with the North Atlantic
Oscillation and El Niño events needs to be taken into account. These sources of hydrodynamic forcing provide scope for future studies. On a longer timescale, evidence for an overprint of the 18.6 yr nodal tidal cycle on bank migration rates and attendant changes in shoreline dynamics has been presented by Gratiot et al. (2008), emphasising the plausibility of a hypothesis proposed by Wells and Coleman (1981) on the role of this cycle in generating periodic phases of higher high-tide water levels that are favourable to more efficient wave energy incidence and bank migration. Another set of factors influencing migration rates involves local irregularities in the alongshore mud–bank migration corridor such as island and nearshore bedrock outcrops and rocky headlands in French Guiana that trap mud (Anthony and Dolique, 2004).

It has become clear in the course of ongoing research that river mouths and river discharge patterns, initially invoked as potential sources of migration-rate variability by Gardel and Gratiot (2005), may indeed influence migration rates. The migrating mud banks tend to imprint a northwestward deflection of the river mouths on the coast, generating mud capes (Fig. 1a). Exceptions to this are the larger rivers in Surinam and Guyana, such as the Maroni (drainage basin: 64,230 km²). The large liquid discharge from these bigger rivers appears to generate a hydraulic-groyne effect such as described by Todd (1968), Komar (1973) and Giosan et al. (2005) that may be responsible for more persistent mud accretion on coastal sectors updrift of these large river mouths by slowing down mud–bank migration and encouraging updrift mud concentration, and associated hindered muddy sedimentation downdrift due to significant offshore deflection of mud banks in transit alongshore. Such deflection by the hydraulic groyne should favour mud–bank liquefaction, which may be further enhanced by the larger waves offshore. Mud banks have been shown to evince a non-linear response to wave stress. Beyond a threshold forcing, the larger waves offshore. Mud banks have been shown to evince a non-linear response to wave stress. Beyond a threshold forcing, the larger waves offshore. Mud banks have been shown to evince a non-linear response to wave stress. Beyond a threshold forcing, the larger waves offshore. Mud banks have been shown to evince a non-linear response to wave stress. Beyond a threshold forcing, the larger waves offshore. Mud banks have been shown to evince a non-linear response to wave stress. Beyond a threshold forcing, the larger waves offshore.
where larger rivers debouching on the coast have supplied sand, significant bands of cheniers have been incorporated in the prograded muddy coastal plain. Cheniers are poorly developed in French Guiana and Amapá, probably because the debouching rivers are smaller, and, therefore, the sand supply to be expected from these rivers much smaller, and significantly blanketed by mud from the nearby Amazon which dominates the coastal progradational regime, inducing river-mouth deflection.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The three themes that form the research agenda set out in the preceding sections call for more detailed studies aimed at better elucidating the role of rivers of the Amazon basin in the morphodynamic processes and evolution (Fig. 2) of the 1500 km-long Amapá–Guianas coast. This coast is subject to the massive mud inputs that stream from the mouth of the Amazon as mud banks, the alternation of which with inter-bank phases generates markedly contrasting space- and time-varying coastal conditions. While the fine-grained sediment budget near the terminus of the Amazon River is now well constrained by studies carried out within the framework of the ORE HYBAM project, there is a need for the joint application of remote sensing, field monitoring and modelling methods in order to identify the percentage range of fine-grained sediment conveyed alongshore as mud banks from the Amazon river mouth. Partial mud–bank sediment budgets have been established for the French Guiana coast from SPOT image analysis (Gratiot et al., 2008), but linking such budgets with the Amazon budget has still to be carried out. While the fine-grained budget at the mouth of the river has been considered as relatively stable over time due to the smoothing effects of the Amazon flood basin (Wittmann et al., 2011), it is not clear as to whether this relative stability is translated in terms of the amount of mud entering the mud bank belt, which is conditioned not only by the river sediment discharge itself but also by the oceanographic processes involved in mud streaming alongshore. An ancillary element in this approach concerns the relationship between fluvial sediment input and mangroves, which can be remarkable archives of coastal environmental changes (Souza Filho et al., 2006). Assessing the variability of the Amazon sediment inputs to this coast is a long-term research effort to which careful analysis of mangrove forests could also contribute, because of the capability of such intertidal ecosystems to cope with changes in hydro-sedimentary processes. Given the importance of mangroves on the Amapá and French Guiana coasts and the close relationship between extensive mangrove development and mud banks, there is a ground-breaking challenge in elucidating this archive role of mangroves in Amazon sediment-input changes.

On a more local level, the influence of the much smaller Guiana Shield rivers may dominate the coastal dynamics. Two themes of interest in this regard are the direct effect of river liquid discharge on nearshore mud bank properties, and the supply of bedload involved in sandy beach and chenier formation. The liquid discharge of the larger of these Guiana Shield rivers appears to induce a hydraulic-groyne effect that needs to be taken into account in the analysis of spatial differences in muddy shoreline progradation. These differences can be quite marked on either side of these big river mouths due to this ‘groyne’ effect. Downdrift mud deficit induced by this effect may go hand in hand with the more common sand chenier formation exhibited by the downdrift sectors of the coast. There is a need, therefore, for a better comprehension of Guiana Shield sand inputs to the coast and of river-mouth dynamics, especially as regards the interaction between the river-mouth hydraulic jet and mud banks migrating alongshore. These themes (Fig. 2), as an adjunct to the objectives of the ORE HYBAM, offer scope for significant future research involving innovative field and remote sensing approaches, complemented by modelling.
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