Capturing transition features around a wing by reduced-order modeling based on compressible Navier–Stokes equations
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The three-dimensional transition in the flow around a NACA0012 wing of constant spanwise section at Mach number 0.3, Reynolds number 800, and incidence 20° is investigated by direct numerical simulation and reduced-order modeling. The interaction between the von Kármán and the secondary instabilities is analyzed. Irregular events in the flow transition modulating the spanwise undulation are highlighted and quantified. These transition features, including “local intermittencies” in the secondary instability pattern, are efficiently captured by a reduced-order model derived by means of the Galerkin projection of the compressible flow Navier–Stokes equations onto a truncated proper orthogonal decomposition basis.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the context of complex aerodynamic flow prediction, the investigation of the transition to turbulence is a challenging issue, especially for design purposes. To this end, the direct numerical simulation (DNS) is a powerful approach for physical analysis of fundamental mechanisms of the flow transition that appear at low Reynolds number and that persist at high-Reynolds number regimes. The Reynolds number is defined as \( \text{Re} = \rho u_c c / \mu_w \), where \( \rho_w \), \( u_c \), and \( \mu_w \) are fluid upstream density, velocity, and dynamic viscosity, respectively, and \( c \) is a characteristic length of the body, wing chord length in the present study. However, this approach demands a considerable number of degrees of freedom to capture the flow physics. This is also the case for the large eddy simulation (LES), as well as for hybrid (statistical-LES) turbulence modeling, to achieve prediction at high Re. Therefore, “physics-driven” reduced-order models (ROMs) are needed. The transition to turbulence around wings at high Re was analyzed by a great deal of works (e.g., Ref. 1), whereas it is less studied at low and moderate Re. The period-doubling mechanism in two-dimensional flows around a NACA0012 airfoil has been investigated in Ref. 2. In the incompressible case, the onset of the three-dimensional transition in the wake of a wing at high incidence has been studied in Ref. 3. The transition induced by compressibility effects in the high-transonic regime around a wing has been examined in two dimensions 4 and in three dimensions 5. These studies quantified the predominant wavelengths concerning the von Kármán, shear layer, and secondary instabilities, and the last analyzed the nature of the secondary instability by a global oscillator model. These works reported the high complexity of the flow transition in the incompressible and in the high-transonic regimes. Therefore, in the present study, three-dimensional transition phenomena are examined at the onset of compressibility effects as well as the ability of ROM in estimating them appropriately.

During the past two decades, ROMs of low-Re periodic and transient wall flows have been developed by means of proper orthogonal decomposition (POD)-Galerkin approach (e.g., Refs. 6 and 7). Recent studies have proven the efficiency of such ROMs for the prediction of nearly periodic and more chaotic three-dimensional flows on the basis of DNS (Ref. 8) or LES 9, for example, and for turbulent flow analysis and modeling 10,11. However, the majority of ROMs focus on the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations and only few studies deal with compressible flows. Assuming isentropic conditions, ROMs for compressible cavity flows have been developed 12. The difficulties induced by coupling thermodynamic and kinematic state variables in the compressible Navier–Stokes equations can be solved by considering an appropriate state formulation (Refs. 13 and 14, in two dimensions). In the present study, this formulation is utilized in the three-dimensional case and a consistent inner product is considered for POD. The present ROM efficiency is examined in respect of capturing three-dimensional transition features predicted by DNS, especially irregularities that appear in space-time evolution of flow quantities. This is a prerequisite before using ROM as a prediction tool for parametric studies within suitable confidence intervals. Section II briefly describes the numerical method. Section III focuses on DNS results. Section IV presents the ROM and results regarding its reliability.

II. NUMERICAL METHOD

The complete, time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations have been solved in three dimensions under a conservative form, in a general nonorthogonal curvilinear coordinate system. The ICARE/IMP7 (Ref. 4) in-house finite volume software for compressible flows around bodies has been employed. The Roe upwind scheme 15 with monotonic upstream schemes for conservative laws (MUSCL) approach 16 has been used for convection and pressure terms. Diffusion terms have been discretized by central differences and temporal
terms by an explicit four-stage Runge–Kutta scheme. Space and time schemes are second-order accurate. The computational domain is a C-type grid (369 × 89 × 101) of four chord lengths (c) in the spanwise direction, 7c from the trailing edge to the upstream outer boundary and 10c from the leading edge to the downstream outer boundary. The perfect gas equation is used as well as the Sutherland law to define the dynamic viscosity. The boundary conditions are no slip and constant temperature on the wing, the upstream flow temperature $T_u = 300$ K, Mach number $Ma = u_u / \sqrt{\gamma R T_u} = 0.3$, where $\gamma = 1.4$ is the polytropic coefficient and $R = 287$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ is the ideal gas constant, and Reynolds number $Re = 800$. Free stream conditions have been imposed at the far field boundaries, except downstream of the wing at the outlet boundary, where a first-order extrapolation has been used for the unknown variables. Neumann-type boundary conditions have been imposed on the lateral boundaries of the computational domain at both ends of the wing in a spanwise direction. Detailed grid convergence and time-step studies have been previously performed in two and three dimensions to ensure the validity of the software, as well as numerical tests concerning the computational domain size. Moreover, the flow has been slightly perturbed by a random field of small magnitude ($10^{-4} u_u$) introduced as free stream boundary condition for the transverse velocity component to shorten the transient phase toward appearance of the secondary instability. This technique had been verified in previous studies concerning similar flow configurations where it was shown that the small perturbation magnitude has no effect on the final instability development beyond the transient phase. A two-dimensional simulation of the same flow has been carried out by using the same grid (369 × 89) in two dimensions.

III. THREE-DIMENSIONAL TRANSITION IN THE FLOW AROUND A WING

The flow around a NACA0012 wing at 20° of incidence in the above mentioned conditions exhibits a strong unsteady character induced by the interaction between two instability modes, the von Kármán and the secondary instabilities. The von Kármán instability induces a nearly periodic alternating leading/trailing edge vortex shedding illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The Strouhal number associated with the fundamental frequency of this instability has been evaluated on more than 40 vortex shedding events of the established three-dimensional flow and is found equal to 0.55. This is in good agreement with incompressible flow simulations. The secondary instability appears as a large spanwise wavelength undulation of the von Kármán vortex rows, accompanied by “braidlike” structures of streamwise vorticity. This is illustrated in Fig. 1(b) by the isosurface of $Q$ criterion. The spanwise wavelength of the secondary instability is found in the range $\lambda_3 / c \in [0.74, 0.83]$. Considering an “equivalent bluff body” length scale defined as $l = c \sin(20^\circ)$, comparison can be made with circular cylinder wake studies at equivalent $Re = 800 \sin(20^\circ) = 274$. The A- and B-mode transition patterns studied experimentally in Refs. 20 and 21 and numerically in Ref. 18, for example, are characterized by $\lambda_3 / l \in [3, 4]$ and $\lambda_3 / l = 1$ wavelengths, respectively. In the present study, the predicted wavelength $\lambda_3 / l \in [2.2, 2.4]$ could be related with the development of the C-mode pattern set forward in Ref.
The C-mode transition, which has been observed in wakes with forced asymmetry, prescribed wavelength, and which was examined by a three-dimensional Floquet analysis, is characterized by an intermediate wavelength $\lambda_1/\ell = 2$. The significant decrease in the Strouhal number in the C-mode transition compared to two-dimensional case has not been observed in the present study. Furthermore, Fig. 1(b) shows spanwise events that modify the secondary instability pattern, as described in the following.

The present aerodynamic lift ($C_l$) and drag ($C_d$) coefficients are close to those reported in Ref. 3. The time-averaged coefficients are $C_l = 0.90$ and $C_d = 0.45$ in two dimensions, $C_l = 0.85$ and $C_d = 0.43$ in three dimensions. As in case of previous DNS, a reduction in the time-averaged values and amplitudes of the lift and drag coefficients is observed between two- and three-dimensional simulations. The present results show that the main features of the flow configuration of interest are rather similar to lower Mach number flows. However, compressibility effects arise in the present case. In the acceleration region on the upper side of the wing, the Mach number equals 0.45 and the relative density variations in the field are higher than 20% of the upstream density.

Figure 1(b) shows chaotic states in the spanwise evolution of the vortex filaments that have not been observed in the incompressible case. These consist of irregular appearance of "rarified" spanwise regions, where one spanwise-periodic event is missing and an irregular vortex structure appears instead, breaking the continuous undulation of the von Kármán vortex rows [see indicated areas in Fig. 1(b)]. The present study aims at tracking these events and at quantifying their impact in the flow transition. Figure 1(c) shows isosurfaces of Mach number, also indicating an irregular spanwise undulation and wavelength dispersion. The above mentioned irregularities can be observed in the recirculation region at the upper side of the wing, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). In this figure and in the following, time is nondimensionalized by chord length and upstream flow velocity. The monitoring starts after approximately 20 von Kármán vortex shedding events. In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), instantaneous spanwise velocity profiles in the recirculation region are plotted. Space/frequency analysis is carried out on these signals to quantify the wavelength variation along the span. A spectral analysis is performed along the span by considering a sliding window of width $2\Delta x_j = 0.8c$. The modulated transverse velocity signal ($u_3$) is used to evaluate the predominant wavenumbers. The modulated signal is defined by $u_3^d = u_3/A(u_3)$. The local amplitude $A(u_3)$ is estimated owing to the Hilbert transform $H(u_3)$ by $A(u_3) = |u_3 + iH(u_3)|$. The
predominant maximum energy wavenumbers [squares in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] are plotted as functions of $x_3/c$. These are defined by $\kappa(x_3,t) = \arg \max_{\kappa_0 \in P} P_{\kappa_0}(\kappa_0, t)$, where $P_{\kappa_0}(\kappa_0, t)$ denotes $\nu$ spatial power spectrum density (PSD) evaluated on the segment $[\alpha, \beta]$ along the span at time $t$. The Burg algorithm is applied for autoregressive PSD estimation. A strong wavelength (phase) modulation occurs along the span, as well as a large variation of the velocity amplitude. In the region where the phase modulation appears, there is a significant increase of the wavenumber of the velocity signal related with irregular structure appearance. Figure 2(d) shows the temporal evolution of the spatial power spectrum of $u_3$ along the whole span within the recirculation region. The predominant wavenumber $\kappa'(t) = \arg \max_{\kappa_0 \in P} P_{\kappa_0}(\kappa_0, t)$ exhibits a significant variation as a function of time [qualitative plot, dashed line in Fig. 2(d)]. This is closely associated with the occurrence of phase and amplitude irregularities, as illustrated in Fig. 2(c). This phenomenon that appears randomly along the span in time and space is called here “intermittency,” referring to the regular pattern of the secondary instability. Local irregularities can be associated with A-, B-, or C-mode transition in bluff body wakes. In particular, the vortex dislocation pattern or vortex-adhesion point consists of a junction of two adjacent von Kármán vortex rows, as mentioned also in the DNS study. Moreover, in the incompressible case, depicted a “losangelike” modulation of the spanwise vortices by using tomographic particle image velocimetry. The present observed intermittencies have not been reported in the incompressible case concerning the same flow and therefore are induced by flow compressibility. The origin of these irregular events could be investigated by using elliptic stability theory, on a single undulated vortex row excited by small spanwise perturbations that could depend on Mach number. This kind of study is beyond the objectives of the present work that focuses on elaboration of a ROM, able to capture the onset of compressibility, the secondary instability, and the above mentioned intermittent irregularities.

IV. REDUCED-ORDER MODELING FOR COMPRESSIBLE FLOWS

The ROM is constructed by performing a Galerkin projection of the compressible Navier–Stokes equations onto a truncated POD basis. This needs an appropriate formulation of the flow quantities, as well as a suitable, dimensionally consistent, inner product for POD basis extraction, as explicit in the following. This method was previously used to derive ROM in the high-transonic regime. The ROM approach relies on the assumption that the flow physics can be described by a reduced number of degrees of freedom. The present flow is governed by two main instabilities and shows a strong nearly periodic character induced by the von Kármán vortex shedding. Therefore this flow is, a priori, a good candidate for reduced-order representation. However, the flow transition is characterized by irregular events that are challenging to be captured by the low-dimensional approach. ROM method is detailed in Sec. IV A. ROM prediction results are reported and discussed in Sec. IV B.

A. Reduced-order modeling method

In this section, a dimensionally consistent definition of POD for compressible flows is set forward and the POD-Galerkin approach is applied to the fully compressible flow Navier–Stokes equations.

1. Proper orthogonal decomposition

In the context of model reduction, the POD is often used to extract the most energetic modes that are able to reconstruct the predominant flow structures. Assuming time-space separation, the POD consists in expanding the vector of state variables $v$ as a linear combination of specific deterministic spatial eigenfunctions.

$$v(x,t) = \hat{v}(x) + \bar{v}(x,t) = \bar{v}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{pod}} a_i(t) \Phi_i(x)$$

(1)

$\bar{v}$ and $\bar{v}$ are the mean and fluctuating state vectors. The state formulation considered in the following is

$$v = [1/\rho, u_1, u_2, u_3, p]$$

(2)

$\rho$ is the density, $u_i$ are velocity components, and $p$ is the pressure. The choice of this state formulation is justified in Sec. IV A. $N_{pod}$ is the number of retained POD modes. $a_i$ are time-dependent functions and $\Phi_i$ are orthonormal spatial modes. These are the successive solutions of the following optimization problem:

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \arg \max_{\Psi \in H^2(\Omega)} (\bar{v} - \Pi \delta \Psi)^2$$

(3)

The overline denotes time-averaging operator. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ is the spatial domain and $d$ is the number of state variables ($d=5$ in the three-dimensional case). $\Pi$ is the orthogonal projector onto the subspace spanned by the $r$th first modes. $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the spatial inner product.

In the fully compressible case, the kinematic variables are associated with two thermodynamic quantities. A dimensionally consistent inner product is reached by a normalization of each state variable contribution as follows:

$$(v^\dagger, v^\ddagger) = \frac{d}{\sigma_n^2} \int_{\Omega} v^\dagger v^\ddagger d\Omega,$$

(4)

with

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{T_r} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T_r} \bar{v}_n^2 dt dx.$$

(5)

$v^\dagger$ and $v^\ddagger$ are two given states. $\sigma_n^2$ is the space-averaged variance of the $r$th variable and $T_r$ is the time interval of the snapshot series. This inner product involves a systematic normalization procedure previously used in Ref. 30. This approach avoids dependency on a given nondimensional formulation of the governing equations. POD modes are determined by means of “snapshot-POD” technique.31
2. POD-Galerkin model

The compressible Navier–Stokes equations are expressed as quadratic fluxes by means of the previously defined state formulation (2), as reported in Ref. 13 for $i=1,2,3$,

$$\frac{\partial(1/\rho)}{\partial t} + u_\alpha \frac{\partial(1/\rho)}{\partial x_\alpha} - \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(1/\rho) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial t} + u_\alpha \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha} + (1/\rho) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\alpha} = (1/\rho) \frac{\partial \tau_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_\alpha},$$

(6)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \gamma p \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha} + u_\alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_\alpha} = \gamma \mu \frac{\partial^2 (p/\rho)}{\partial x_\alpha^2} + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} \tau_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $\tau_{ij} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \delta_{ij} \right)$. Greek subscripts are used to specify implicit summations in previous expressions and in the following. $\mu$ is the fluid dynamic viscosity, $Pr=0.72$ is the Prandtl number, and $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

The Galerkin projection of the Navier–Stokes equations (6) onto the truncated POD basis yields the following quadratic polynomial ordinary differential equation (ODE) system, for $i=1, \ldots, N_{pod}$:

$$\dot{a}_i = (C_i + C_{ij}^c) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{pod}} (L_{ij} + L_{ij}^c) a_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_{pod}} Q_{ijk} a_j a_k$$

$$= f_i(C_i^c, L_i^c, a),$$

$$a_i(t_0) = (\mathbf{v}(\cdot, t_0) - \bar{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi_i).$$

(7)

$C_i$, $L_{ij}$, and $Q_{ijk}$ coefficients are issued from the Galerkin projection while $C_{ij}^c$ and $L_{ij}^c$ ones are additional calibration coefficients included to ensure ROM accuracy as detailed in the following. The constant coefficients issued from the Galerkin projection are computed as follows:

$$C_i = (F_i^a - A_i^b, \Phi_i),$$

$$L_{ij} = (F_{ij}^a + F_{ij}^b + A_i^a - A_j^a - A_{ij}^{ab}),$$

$$Q_{ijk} = (F_{ijk}^a + A_i^a - A_j^a - A_{ijk}^{abc}).$$

(8)-(10)

$A_i^b$ and $F_{ij}^b$ terms involved in the implicit summations in Eqs. (8)-(10) are defined as follows: in the three-dimensional case,
FIG. 5. Same as Fig. 3(b) for snapshot series beyond the time interval of the original snapshot series used to extract POD basis (over one von Kármán vortex shedding cycle).

\[
A_{jk} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)} \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} - \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} \\
\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} \\
\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} \\
\gamma \mu \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} \\
\gamma \mu \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_j^{(1)}}{\partial \chi}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(11)

FIG. 6. (Color online) Selected POD mode isosurfaces, concerning the kinematic and thermodynamic quantities: $$\Phi_{1}^{\rho} = \pm 5.2 \text{ m/s}, \, \Phi_{1}^{T} = \pm 9.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa}, \, \Phi_{1}^{\rho} = \pm 7.1 \text{ m/s}, \, \Phi_{1}^{\rho} = \pm 41 \text{ m/s}, \, \Phi_{1}^{\rho} = \pm 3.5 \text{ m/s}, \, \text{and} \, \Phi_{1}^{T} = \pm 5.3 \text{ m/s}.$$ Light/dark gray: positive/negative valued isosurfaces. Dashed circles indicate irregularities in spanwise pattern.

Ref. 32 for a review of ROM calibration approaches. A linearized calibration method is adopted here in a similar way to Ref. 9 in the incompressible case. This approach consists in minimizing ROM prediction error with respect to reference dynamics issued from the projection of DNS snapshots onto POD basis,

\[
a_{\text{ref}}^{\text{pod}} = (\tilde{e}, \Phi_i),
\]

(13)

while controlling calibration cost. The linearized prediction error is evaluated as

\[
E(C', L') = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{ref}}} \int_{t_0}^{T_{x,i}} \left[ a_{\text{ref}}^{\text{pod}} - a_{\text{ref}}^{\text{pod}}(t_0) \right] dt + \int_{t_0}^{T_{x,i}} f_i(C', L', \alpha^{\text{ref}}) dt',
\]

(14)

where $$f_i$$ is the right-hand side of ROM (7). The error between reference dynamic derivatives and $$f_i$$, that is insensitive to accumulating phase errors, could also be envisaged for large integration periods. The following normalized error is considered in calibration procedure:

\[
E(C', L') = \frac{E(C', L')}{E(0_{\text{ref}}, 0_{\text{ref}})}.
\]

(15)

The calibration cost measures the weight of the calibration coefficients compared to those issued from the Galerkin projection [$C_i$ and $L_{ij}$ in Eq. (7)].
\[ C(C', L') = \frac{\|C\|_{N_{pod}}^2 + \|L\|_{N_{pod}}^2}{\|C\|_{N_{pod}}^2 + \|L\|_{N_{pod}}^2} \]  \tag{16}

The norms are defined by \( \|C\|_{N_{pod}}^2 = C^2 \) and \( \|L\|_{N_{pod}}^2 = L^2 \).

The calibration coefficients \( C_i \) and \( L_{ij} \) in Eq. (7) are thus found by minimizing the following function that balances ROM prediction error and calibration cost by means of a blending coefficient \( 0 < \theta < 1 \):

\[ J(C', L', \theta) = \theta \mathcal{E}(C', L') + (1 - \theta)C(C', L'). \]  \tag{17}

Minimizing \( J \) is equivalent to solve \( N_{pod} \) linear systems of size \( N_{pod} + 1 \). The blending coefficient \( \theta \) can also be regarded as a regularization parameter in the Tikhonov regularization framework.\textsuperscript{12,23} ROM integration is ensured by a fourth-order-accurate Runge–Kutta scheme.

**B. ROM prediction**

The time-dependent evolution of the flow transition in two and three dimensions is studied by considering two different series of flow fields containing \( N = 400 \) snapshots each. These data sets correspond to four periods of the established von Kármán vortex shedding. This time interval \( (T) \) is considered for both ROM calibration and integration. The relative statistical content of each POD mode extracted...
from these snapshot series is measured by the relative magnitude of the corresponding eigenvalue \( \lambda_i \) of the time/space two-point correlation matrix, with \( \lambda_N = 0 \). This is shown in Fig. 3, as well as the cumulative statistical content conveyed by the POD basis, defined by

\[
I_{N_{pod}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{pod}} \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i}
\]

(18)

In Fig. 3(a), the first eigenvalues exhibit a pairwise coupling as previously reported in Refs. 8 and 7 for periodic flows. Because of the present flow nearly periodic character, the eigenfunctions of the temporal correlation matrix are close to real Fourier modes whose eigenvalues are pairwise equal. The flow nearly periodic character is illustrated by normalized temporal correlation matrices \( K(t, t') = \frac{\langle \vec{e}(t), \vec{e}(t') \rangle}{\|\vec{e}(t)\| \|\vec{e}(t')\|} \) in Fig. 4. The pairwise coupling of the eigenvalues is less pronounced in the three-dimensional flow that exhibits aperiodic events. Most of the dynamic system statistical content is represented by the first POD modes in both two- and three-dimensional cases. However, Fig. 3 shows that the three-dimensional flow, which involves complex instability interactions compared to the two-dimensional one, requires more POD modes for the same \( I_{N_{pod}} \) (representation quality). \( I_{N_{pod}} = 99\% \) is chosen as a truncation criterion in the three-dimensional case and thus 28 modes are retained. For the two-dimensional flow, an eight-dimensional POD basis is considered.

The statistical content captured by the present POD basis beyond original snapshot series \( (t > t_0 + T_s) \) is quantified (Fig. 5). This is measured by

\[
I_{N_{pod}} = \frac{1}{T_{sd}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{pod}} \int_{t_0 + T_s}^{t_0 + T_d} (v^a - \bar{v}, \Phi) dt
\]

(19)

since \( \| \vec{e} \|^2 = d \) for the original snapshot series if inner product (4) is considered to define the norm. \( T_s \) is the time interval of the new snapshot series (one von Kármán vortex shedding cycle) and \( v^a \) are the new snapshots. A significant reduction in POD basis efficiency/relevance (≈30%) is observed in the three-dimensional case whereas the statistical content conveyed by the two-dimensional POD basis remains the same because of the strictly periodic character of the two-dimensional flow [Fig. 4(a)]. In the three-dimensional case, this reduction is related to the appearance of the previously mentioned aperiodic events. Considering this fact, ROM efficiency is examined over the original snapshots temporal horizon \( (t_0, t_0 + T_s) \) in the present study.

Selected three-dimensional spatial POD modes are shown in Fig. 6. Although they do not correspond to coherent structures, the three-dimensional POD modes efficiently identify the von Kármán and the secondary instabilities, in the present case. The first pair combination in the flow-field reconstruction yields the alternating vortex pattern. However, this vortex pattern is highly modulated in the three-dimensional case. This modulation is captured by the higher-order modes, as shown in Fig. 6. For example, mode 3 is related to the reconstruction of the secondary instability. This mode clearly exhibits a local modification of the spanwise pattern, whereas intermittency of the secondary instability occurs, as discussed in Sec. III (see indicated areas in Fig. 6). Higher-order modes present less organized patterns and can be associated with more chaotic phenomena related to the interaction between the two instability modes.

The time histories of selected POD reference coefficients \( a_i^{pod} \) (13) are shown in Fig. 7. As expected, the first POD
coefficients are close to periodic while higher-order ones are not. The coefficients associated with the secondary instability (modes 3 and 4) are approximately periodic at half frequency compared to the von Kármán instability. The higher-order coefficients display significant amplitude and frequency modulations.

While the uncalibrated three-dimensional ROM diverges after approximately 1.5 vortex shedding periods, convergence and satisfactory prediction are reached over the snapshots’ temporal horizon for the calibration cost $C=11\%$. The uncalibrated two-dimensional ROM yields qualitatively correct prediction over the four vortex shedding cycles. In the following, a calibration cost threshold $C=30\%$ is arbitrarily chosen for both two- and three-dimensional ROMs. Calibration diagram showing ROM linearized prediction error $\mathcal{E}$ (15) as a function of the calibration cost $C$ (16) in the three-dimensional case is presented in Fig. 8.

As shown in Fig. 7 for the three-dimensional flow, the calibrated ROM achieves prediction of the POD time-dependent coefficients that provide an efficient flow reconstruction even in case of nonperiodic evolutions, as detailed at the end of the present section.

The relative $L^2$ prediction error of the temporal coefficients is defined by

$$E_{\text{temp}}^\text{rel}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{a}^{\text{pod}} - \hat{a}^{\text{rom}})^2}{(\hat{a}^{\text{temp}})^2}},$$

where $\hat{a}^{\text{temp}}$ are issued from ROM (7) integration. This error remains small even for the higher order modes [Fig. 9(a)]. The accuracy of the ROM is confirmed by the low values of the state vector prediction error over the entire spatial do

The difference between ROM and POD errors is very small. This means that the major part of the ROM error with respect to “high-fidelity” results is induced by the POD basis truncation in both two- and three-dimensional cases.

The instantaneous aerodynamic coefficients can be determined from the state vector predicted by the ROM since the state vector includes both kinematic and thermodynamic variables. These coefficients present a good comparison with those predicted by DNS (Fig. 10). This is an interesting aspect concerning the use of ROM in design procedures. Instantaneous flow fields issued from DNS and ROM are compared at the final ROM integration time (last snapshot) in Fig. 11. The pressure coefficient is accurately estimated by the present reduced-order approach [Fig. 11(a)]. Moreover, the spanwise pattern including irregularities of the secondary instability is well captured [Figs. 11(b)–11(d)].
V. CONCLUSION

The three-dimensional transition in the flow around a NACA0012 wing of constant spanwise section, at low Mach number and high incidence, has been investigated. The appearance of preferential wavenumbers due to the von Kármán and secondary instabilities has been analyzed. Intermittent modulations of the secondary instability have been identified and quantified. The transition process has been captured by an appropriate ROM derived from the compressible flow Navier–Stokes equations by means of the POD-Galerkin approach.

A consistent inner product has been set forward for POD in compressible flow context where kinematic and thermodynamic variables are coupled. A specific state formulation allowed to derive, by Galerkin projection of the high-fidelity model onto POD basis, a low-dimensional nonlinear ODE system relevant to the prediction of main flow features. ROM accuracy was ensured by a suitable calibration procedure balancing ROM ability in simulating reference flow previously resolved by DNS and respect of the high-fidelity model.

The present ROM provides an efficient simulation of both kinematic and thermodynamic quantities. In particular, an accurate prediction of the irregular events occurring in the secondary instability pattern was achieved considering a strongly reduced number of degrees of freedom compared to DNS. ROM reliability has been assessed for flows previously resolved by DNS, with an emphasis on the capture of deviations from periodicity. Therefore, this study demonstrates the relevance of the POD-Galerkin approach for low-dimensional modeling of the transition to turbulence in the flow around a wing at the onset of compressibility.

A prospect of the present work is the investigation of ROM reliability beyond snapshots and calibration temporal horizon and for the prediction of flows not previously simulated by DNS. In this context, low-dimensional models are generally not expected to predict exactly all erratic perturbations about dominant flow features and in that case, a further reduction in the number of degrees of freedom could be envisaged in the present model toward least-order modeling. In the domain of applications, ROM can be regarded as a powerful “physics-based” interpolator for parametric studies in optimal shape design, for example, as well as a key enabler for multiphysics simulations, especially in fluid-structure interaction.
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